

DECLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

902062
901063
901904

Declassified by the Director of Marine Corps
History and Museums in accordance with the
provisions of GNO ltr Op-942323 Joy. Ser:
10770 P942 of 12 October 1971.

R. G. Giffney 2/28/77
Signature/date

48
CAG
Command Chronology

Oct - Dec 48

UNCLASSIFIED

DOWNGRADED AT 3 YEAR INTERVALS;
DECLASSIFIED AFTER 12 YEARS
DOD DIR 5200.10

DECLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

28/1
5750

01591

FEB 4 1969

(Unclassified upon removal of enclosure (1))

SECOND ENDORSEMENT on CO, 4th CAG ltr 1/JEH/rjl 5700 Ser:
01A340 dated 5 Dec 1968

From: Commanding General, Fleet Marine Force, Pacific
To: Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code A03D)

Subj: Command Chronology for the period 1 Oct 1968 to 31 Oct 1968

1. The subject chronology has been reviewed for completeness and is forwarded herewith.

W. E. Deeds
W. E. DEEDS
By direction

Copy to:
CO, 4th CAG

1- H. 5
Action TO
Info TO
PR To
File No 52 Orig NEF
No of Copies 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

UNCLASSIFIED
S90208

88150

3K/mo

5750

Ser

18 DEC 1968

0239068

[REDACTED] L (Unclassified upon removal of enclosure (1))

FIRST ENDORSEMENT on CO, 4th CAG ltr 1/JEH/rjl 5700 Ser:
01A340 dtd 5 Dec 1968

UNCLASSIFIED
From: Commanding General, III Marine Amphibious Force
To: Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code A03D)
Via: Commanding General, Fleet Marine Force, Pacific

Subj: Command Chronology for the period 1 Oct 1968 to
31 Oct 1968

1. Forwarded.

M. C. Dalby
M. C. DALBY
BY DIRECTION

UNCLASSIFIED

Copy 1 of 5 Copies

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

HEADQUARTERS
4th Combined Action Group
III Marine Amphibious Force
FPO San Francisco 96602

1/JEH/rjl
5700
5 Dec 1968

COPY 4 OF 25 COPIES
SER: 01A340

From: Commanding Officer
To: Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code AO3D)
Via: Commanding General, III Marine Amphibious Force

Subj: Command Chronology for period 1 Oct 1968 to 31 Oct 1968

Ref: (a) MCO 5750.2
(b) FMFPACO 5750.8

Encl: (1) 4th Combined Action Group Command Chronology

1. In accordance with the provisions of references (a) and (b), enclosure (1) is submitted.
2. Downgraded at 3-year intervals
Declassified after 12 years
DOD Dir 5200.10

J. E. Greenwood
J. E. GREENWOOD

DECLASSIFIED

HEADQUARTERS
4th Combined Action Group
III Marine Amphibious Force
FPO San Francisco 96602

COMMAND CHRONOLOGY

1 October 1968 - 31 October 1968

INDEX

PART I	ORGANIZATIONAL DATA
PART II	NARRATIVE SUMMARY Command, Operations, Training Personnel and Administration Logistics Civic Action Medical
PART III	SEQUENTIAL LISTING OF EVENTS
PART IV	SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS Spot Reports Messages Letter of CO, 4th CAG, 1/JRB/rlg over 3100 dtd 7Sep68 PSYOPS Order 1-68 Group Order 1540.1 Group Order 3121.1 October AO Map

PART I

ORGANIZATIONAL DATA

1. DesignationCommander

Headquarters
4th Combined Action Group
III Marine Amphibious Force
FPO San Francisco 96602

LtCol J. E. GREENWOOD 1 - 31 Oct68

Subordinate Units

CACO 4-1	GySgt R. I. LEISTER	1-13 Oct68
	1stLt F. J. ROSSI	14-31 Oct68
CACO 4-2	Capt J. L. MATTHEWS	1-18 Oct68
	Capt W. S. BLAHA	19-31 Oct68
CACO 4-3	1stLt W. M. WENTWORTH	1-18 Oct68
	SSgt C. E. WRIGHT	19-31 Oct68

2. Location

Headquarters, 4th CAG	1-31 Oct68	Quang Tri Combat Base
CACO 4-1	1-31 Oct68	Cam Lo District
CACO 4-2	1-31 Oct68	Mai Linh District
CACO 4-3	3-31 Oct68	Trieu Phong District

3. Staff Officers

Group 4 Executive Officer	Maj D. R. DICKEY	1-2 Oct68
	Maj R. M. COOPER	3-31 Oct68
S-1/AdminO	1stLt J. E. HILLMAN	1-31 Oct68
S-3	1stLt F. J. ROSSI	1-2 Oct68
	Maj D. R. DICKEY	3-31 Oct68
S-4	CWO R. A. GREER	1-31 Oct68

4. Average Strengths

<u>USMC OFF</u>	<u>USMC ENL</u>	<u>USN</u>
8	272	21

PART II

NARRATIVE SUMMARY

COMMAND, OPERATIONS, AND TRAINING

During October, 4th CAG activated a combined action company headquarters, five combined action platoons, and one mobile training team. This action increased the 4th CAG structure to a level of three companies, twelve platoons, and two mobile training teams. (See Part IV for a map representation of the areas assigned these elements.)

CACO 4-1. CACO 4-1 Headquarters was located at Cam Lo District Headquarters where it controlled the operations and training of three combined action platoons, each of which operated initially from a fortified compound. On 24 Oct 1968, CAP 4-1-1 moved to Dong Ha District (YD 230630) and the CAP was redesignated as 4-1-4. The old 4-1-1 compound in An Hung (YD 122593) was turned over to Popular Force Platoon #69.

CACO 4-2. CACO 4-2 Headquarters was located at Mai Linh District Headquarters where it controlled the operations and training of five combined action platoons. CAPs 4-2-1 and 4-2-2 maintained fortified compounds; CAPs 4-2-3, 4-2-4, 4-2-5 operated without compounds in a completely mobile posture.

CACO 4-3. CACO 4-3 Headquarters was activated on 3 Oct 1968 at Trieu Phong District Headquarters. The units of CACO 4-3 were activated as follows: 4-3-1, 3 Oct 1968; CAP 4-3-2, 4 Oct 1968; CAP 4-3-5, 5 Oct 1968; CAP 4-3-4, 6 Oct 1968. None of the CAPs in CACO 4-3 maintain fortified compounds.

The expansion of 4th CAG had been authorized under force levels established earlier in the summer. The specific expansion plan, however, was submitted on 9 August 1968 by letter from CO, 4th CAG to the Director of the Combined Action Program at III MAF. (See Part IV.) CG III MAF disapproved the recommendation that a CAP be placed in Huong Hoa (Cua) but approved the remainder of the plan and forwarded it to CG I Corps for concurrence. A delay of several weeks followed. During this time, the necessary personnel were transferred to 4th CAG. They were retained at 4th CAG Headquarters, organized into platoons, and given extensive training. Although hampered somewhat by the uncertainty of how long the waiting period would last, the training was considered effective, and the units were well prepared as a result of it.

On 30 September, CO 4th CAG was notified verbally by the Combined Action Program Director that CG I Corps had given his concurrence to the plan. Units were activated systematically over the next few days. They were warmly welcomed everywhere, particularly in Trieu Phong District

ENCLOSURE (1)

where large crowds gathered with flowers and food when the Marine elements of the CAPs arrived.

During the month, considerable command and staff attention was devoted to planning for the support of the new pacification programs that were announced by the Government of South Vietnam and officials of Quang Tri Province. A staff study was ordered and completed on all CAP areas of coordination to determine the alterations and adjustments that would enable 4th CAG to best support the 90-day pacification program scheduled for the period 1 Nov 1968 to 31 Jan 1969. This program identified 30 contested hamlets that were to receive priority attention in an effort to upgrade them to the "secure" category.

In addition, serious consideration was also given to the possibility of current Paris peace negotiations leading to a cease fire and the impact such a development might have upon 4th CAG activity. Tentative plans were developed to subdivide the Marine elements of all CAPs into fire-teams in order to support Province forces that were expected to go into contested hamlets in an effort to establish a permanent GVN presence in as many areas as possible.

In both planning efforts, 4th CAG was greatly assisted by information and guidance received from the Combined Action Program Director in Danang. The timely receipt of this information enabled 4th CAG to be of greater assistance to the Province Senior Advisor and far more effective in dealing with Vietnamese counterparts than would have been the case if it had to rely only on information from Vietnamese/advisory channels.

4th CAG also took cognizance of pending developments which may effect the course of the entire Combined Action Program. Word was received that PF units in the near future are to be assigned additional missions involving (1) increased efforts against VC; (2) detection and elimination of corruption and corrupt officials; (3) installation and training of hamlet/village officials; and (4) organization of hamlet self-defense forces. It was also learned that PF elements are to receive training at the Vung Tau National Training Center and through mobile teams to qualify them for these new missions. In effect PF units are to assume a role akin to that of the Revolution Development Cadre. Initial steps were taken to acquaint 4th CAG personnel with these developments and to keep abreast the evolution of the Vietnamese pacification program which the CAG has been tasked to support.

The overall level of activity and instances of enemy contact were low during October. A majority of the CAPs experienced no contact, thus following a pattern that had been set in previous months. Continuation of this pattern reaffirmed the need for moving several platoons that had already accomplished their primary training and pacification missions. This applied particularly to CAPs 4-1-1, 4-1-2, and 4-1-3 in Cam Lo District. On 7 Sep 1968 CO 4th CAG, with the approval of the Quang Tri Province Chief, had requested permission to relocate CAP 4-1-1 from Cam Lo to Dong Ha District. (See Part IV.) CG III MAF approved the

ENCLOSURE (1)

proposal and forwarded the request to CG I Corps for concurrence. This was obtained on the 20th of October, and the move was made four days later. A decision on the other two CAPs had not been reached by the end of October. This decision was delayed primarily by the extensive shifting of RF/PF units throughout the Province to support the new pacification plans and by the obvious necessity of integrating CAP employment with the employment of all other available elements. The hamlets of Quat Ka and Bich Grang in Cam Lo District, however, were under serious consideration as possible sites. Both appear suitable should such moves fit in with the overall Province plans as finally developed.

Two new orders of significance were published by 4th CAG in late September and put into effect in October. Group Order 1540.1 Evaluation of Popular Force Platoons, (See Part IV), directed CACO commanders to evaluate all PF platoons associated with them in accordance with the MACV's Territorial Forces Evaluation System (TFES). Group Order 3121.1, Hamlet Evaluation System, (See Part IV), likewise directed CACO commanders to evaluate the level of pacification of all hamlets within their areas of coordination in accordance with MACV's Hamlet Evaluation System (HES). In both cases CACO commanders are required to make their findings available to the District Senior Advisor having responsibility for preparing the TFES and HES reports. The basic purpose for these orders is twofold: first, to upgrade the quality of the TFES and HES reports and thus ensure more accurate evaluation of CAP training and pacification efforts; second, to focus attention of CACO commanders on specific areas of weakness and enable them to direct the efforts of their commands more effectively to these areas.

During the month, the following operations were conducted:

<u>Day Patrols</u>	<u>Night Patrols</u>	<u>Ambushes</u>	<u>LPs</u>
283	277	293	285
<u>Enemy Casualties</u>			
<u>KIA</u>	<u>POW</u>	<u>Detainees</u>	<u>Wons Captured</u>
3	8	24	20

PSYOPS. An active PSYOPS program was conducted during the month of October with the basic theme being "Destroy VC Infrastructure". Approximately 182,000 leaflets were distributed by the CAPs. Armed Propaganda Teams were employed for 43 hours by CAPs in carrying out the theme. There were noticeable benefits derived from the program as on two occasions VC were located through the information and assistance provided by civilians.

TRAINING. Emphasis was placed on individual weapons marksmanship during the month. Marine and Popular Force members received instruction on basic firing techniques of marksmanship and concentrated on zeroing weapons and

ENCLOSURE (1)

familiarisation firing. All CAPs completed the first of four scheduled periods at the range. In accomplishing the primary mission of the Combined Action Program, training the Popular Forces to assume responsibility for the security of their own area, CAP units completed the following on-the-job training: 283 day patrols, 277 night ambushes, 293 ambushes, and 285 listening posts.

A new procedure was initiated in drafting training schedules. All training schedules are drafted in conjunction with District Chiefs in order to ensure the necessary support for training the Popular Forces. In addition, training schedules are now produced in Vietnamese and English.

The 4th Combined Action Group Headquarters continued the emphasis of specialized training for CAP personnel who are assigned special billets in intelligence, operations, PSYOPS, and Civic Action. Special instruction was provided the above areas during the month on several occasions.

Mobile Training Teams 4-1 and 4-2 completed a 15-day training cycle with Popular Force platoons 60 and 62 in Mai Linh District. The training was accomplished primarily through on-the-job training which included 30 day patrols, 18 night patrols, 42 night ambushes, 10 listening posts, two day-long operations, 6 days of live firing on the range, and 29 MEDCAPs. In addition some 51 hours of formal instruction and range work were given.

PERSONNEL AND ADMINISTRATION

PERSONNEL. The critical shortage of sergeants (E-5) in the MOS billet 0311 remains. As of 31 October there were nine sergeants/0311s on the rolls while the allowance is 18. Another significant personnel shortage occurred in the 3041/3051 MOS field (sergeant and below) where at one time only one of the five qualified personnel were available to fill billets.

ADMINISTRATION. There were no significant developments in administration.

PROMOTIONS. The following promotions were effected:

To LCpl	4	(2 meritorious)
To Cpl	8	(1 meritorious)
To Sgt	3	(1 meritorious)

OFFICERS DROPPED

<u>Rank</u>	<u>MOS</u>	<u>Reason</u>
1st Lt	0302	PCS in conn w/emerg lv
Capt	0302	III MAF fordu

ENLISTED DROPPED ROTATION

<u>MOS</u>	<u>E-8</u>	<u>E-7</u>	<u>E-6</u>	<u>E-5</u>	<u>E-4</u>	<u>E-3</u>	<u>E-2/1</u>	<u>Total</u>
0311				2	7	5	3	17
3041				1	3			4
1811					1			1
2143					1			1
2542					1			1

TRANSFERRED TO III MAF

0311				1			1	2
0141			1					1

TRANSFERRED TO 3D MARDIV

0311					1		1	2
------	--	--	--	--	---	--	---	---

TRANSFERRED TO CAMP BUTLER

0311					1	1	1	3
------	--	--	--	--	---	---	---	---

OFFICERS JOINED

<u>Rank</u>	<u>MOS</u>	<u>Number</u>
Major	0802	1
Captain	0302	1

ENLISTED JOINED

<u>MOS</u>	<u>E-8</u>	<u>E-7</u>	<u>E-6</u>	<u>E-5</u>	<u>E-4</u>	<u>E-3</u>	<u>E-2/1</u>	<u>Total</u>
0311				2		1		3
0141				1				1

CASUALTIES. The following casualties were received:

KIA	0
WIAE	3
WIANE	0
NBCE	1
NBCNE	11
DAI	1

LEGAL

	<u>Completed</u>	<u>Pending</u>
SCM	1	0
Office Hours	2	0
Investigations	1	3

AVERAGE STRENGTH FOR OCTOBER

<u>USMC OFF</u>	<u>USMC ENL</u>	<u>USN</u>
8	272	21

AVERAGE NON-EFFECTIVES FOR OCTOBER

<u>USMC OFF</u>	<u>USMC ENL</u>	<u>USN</u>
0	32	1

LOGISTICS

The 4th Combined Action Group received two M37B1 trucks during the month. All excess vehicles, six M-35 2½-ton trucks, and five M-38 ½-ton trucks, were turned into FLSG-B. The motor transportation section continued to experience difficulty in obtaining parts for M-37 3¼-ton trucks and M-151 ½-ton trucks; however, all vehicles continued to operate with only a 5% deadline rate. Total mileage for the month was 7,625 miles. The shortage of AN/PRC-25 radios was alleviated when 15 such items were received from CG FMFPac on temporary loan. A total of 36 such radios remain on priority O2 requisitions.

The following T/E items remained on priority O2 requisitions at the end of the month: five (5) machine guns, .50 caliber; ten (10) M-2 compasses; one (1) M274A2 truck platform; one (1) M105A2 1½ ton trailer; four (4) M107A1 1½ ton water trailers; one (1) AN/PSR-1 detecting set; and one (1) PV-382 generator. The above items considered critical at this time are the compasses and water trailers.

The physical development of Group Headquarters was greatly improved as 17 SEA huts were completed. The work was accomplished as a self-help project. Portions of the Headquarters, supply, motor transportation, communications and medical, were moved to higher ground in conjunction with the building project in order to avoid the high water that flooded a portion of the CP area during September and October.

CIVIC ACTION

During the month of October all CAPs participated in civic action projects which included over 8,800 medical treatments and the distribution of food stuffs, clothing, school supplies, and other miscellaneous items. Additionally, over 5,000 gifts were distributed throughout Quang Tri Province during the Children's Mid-Autumn Festival.

Numerous construction projects were completed, the most significant of which include, two dispensaries, a bridge, a dwelling that had been

ENCLOSURE (1)

destroyed by friendly fire, a barbershop, and a well. Satisfactory progress continued on two school houses being constructed. In addition, funds in the amount of 2500 \$VN were made available through the Dong Ha District, Senior Advisor to CAP 4-1-4. These funds were used to begin repairs on a war damaged dispensary in their new AO.

A voluntary contribution in the amount of \$237 donated by the officers and men of 4th CAG was presented to 3d Marine Division G-5 for the Children's Hospital, Dong Ha. Corporal D. M. BARRY also contributed \$30 to buy gifts for the Children's Mid-Autumn Festival. A Civic Action NCO was appointed in each CAP. These NCOs met with the Group Civic Action section periodically to discuss and formulate a six-month Civic Action plan.

MEDICAL

Each CAP and Mobile Training Team is assigned a Navy Hospital Corpsman who has responsibility for the basic medical needs of the Marines and the Popular Force personnel. The 14 field Corpsmen also conducted an active MEDCAP program during the month as 8,839 patients were treated. PF corpsmen were trained on a daily basis in conjunction with the MEDCAP program and daily sick calls.

Medical support beyond the CAG level was provided by the 3d Medical Battalion, 3d Marine Division. Dental services were provided by Headquarters Battalion Dental Clinic, 3d Marine Division, Quang Tri Combat Base.

Hospital corpsmen strength continued to remain adequate. The training level of new corpsmen was consistent, and they were qualified to assume an assignment in the field upon arrival.

A general classification breakdown of military patients treated follows:

a. upper respiratory infection	44
b. skin disease	56
c. diarrhea and dysentery	20
d. fever of undetermined origin	6
e. injuries, non-combat	7
f. injuries, combat	2
g. venereal diseases	5
h. other	115

PART III

SEQUENTIAL LISTING OF EVENTS

031000H October 1968 - One Popular Force soldier from Platoon #58 drowned while crossing river during operation at YD 373505.

031200H October 1968 - CAP 4-3-1 activated in Trieu Phong District (YD 315585). CAP is operating as a mobile CAP.

031200H October 1968 - CACO 4-3 activated at Trieu Phong District Headquarters. (YD 329558)

040800H October 1968 - MTT #2 started training PF platoon #62 (YD 329100).

041200H October 1968 - CAP 4-3-2 activated in Trieu Phong District (YD 342585). CAP is operating as a mobile CAP.

051200H October 1968 - CAP 4-3-3 activated in Trieu Phong District (YD 355570). CAP is operating as mobile CAP.

061100H October 1968 - CAP 4-3-3 discovered 5000 pounds of rice while moving to day time activity.

061200H October 1968 - CAP 4-3-4 activated in Trieu Phong District (YD 365551). CAP is operating as a mobile CAP.

111430H October 1968 - CAP 4-3-3 encountered VC platoon while moving to weapons cache. Results: three .45 cal grease guns, three SKS rifles, one M-2 carbine, and one Chinese sub-machinegun were captured.

121200H October 1968 - MTT #1 finished training PF Platoon #60.

121730H October 1968 - CAP 4-3-4 was moving to suspected weapons cache when fired on by unknown number of VC. Resulting action: one VC KIA, one USMC WIA, MEDEVACED.

180800H October 1968 - MTT #1 started training PF Platoon #61 (YD 362496).

191100H October 1968 - One Chieu Hoi rallied to CAP 4-3-4.

221000H October 1968 - CAP 4-3-4 was conducting a daylight patrol and encountered four VC. With the assistance of PF soldiers who impersonated VC, all four were captured.

221330H October 1968 - Popular Forces informed CAP 4-3-4 of two Chieu Hois. CAP 4-3-4 sent patrol out and picked up the two Chieu Hois.

231200H October 1968 - MTT #2 completed training of PF Platoon #62.

231845H October 1968 - While moving to night ambush site, two Chieu Hoi rallied to CAP 4-3-4.

251815H October 1968 - CAP 4-3-3 located two 500 lb bombs in their AO. Arrangements were completed for EOD to recover same.

261200H October 1968 - CAP 4-1-1 redesignated as CAP 4-1-4 and relocated to Dong Ha District (YD 230630) to work with PF Platoon #78.

281030H October 1968 - CAP 4-3-3 located two more 500 lb bombs at YD 357575 and YD 355573. Arrangements were made for EOD to recover.

290700H October 1968 - CAP 4-1-4 received 159 pieces of ordnance, friendly and enemy, from civilians. VIP funds were expended as rewards.

290800H October 1968 - MTT #2 started training PF Platoon #66. (YD 323539)

301600H October 1968 - CAP 4-1-4 received 88 pieces of ordnance from civilians. VIP funds were expended as rewards.

311115H October 1968 - CAP 4-2-1 conducted sweep at YD 374498 and located six VC in tunnel. Four VC surrendered and two refused to surrender. Resulting action, two enemy KIA, four VC captured, and two friendly WIA.

311900H October 1968 - CAP 4-3-4 had one Chieu Hoi rally at their CAP.

DECLASSIFIED

PART IV

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

ENCLOSURE (1)

DECLASSIFIED

4th CAG Command Chronology, Oct 1968
SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

TAB

- 1) Spot Reports
- 2) 4th CAG OUT Messages
- 3) Ltr, 4th CAG to Dir, Combined Action Program, "4th CAG proposed expansion plan for QUANG TRI Province, August 1968", 9 Aug 1968 (w/Overlay of proposed sites)
- 4) Ltr, 4th CAG to CAP Director, III MAF, "Withdrawal of Marines from CAP 4-1-1; Establishment of new CAP 4-1-4", 7 Sep 1968
- 5) 4th CAG "PSYOPS Operation Order 1-68 (Operation Destroy VC Infrastructure)", 10 Sep 1968
- 6) 4th CAG "Group Order 1540.1, "Evaluation of PF Platoons!", 20 Sep 1968
- 7) 4th CAG Group Order 3121.1, "Hamlet Evaluation System", 27 Sep 1968
- 8) AO Map

List added/4Mar69

HAVO - (Where) IN Quang Tri PROVINCE ID 373505 COORD,
 KM OF .

CHARLIE - (When, DTG INCIDENT OCCURRED) 031000H October 1968

DELTA - (Who, What, How) Popular Forces Soldier drowned while crossing river
during operation with CAP 4-2-1. Victim was with Popular Force Platoon
number 58 form Mai Linh District.

ECHO - (Results) Recon Dive team was collaed to recover the body.
CAP 4-2-1 provided security.

FOXTROT - Fr/KIA neg GOLF - Fr/WIA neg HOTEL - Fr/MIA 1 (PT)

INDIA - En/KIA neg (C) JULIET - En/Capt'd neg KILO - En/Sua neg

LIMA -(Weapons Captured) neg

MIKE - (EQUIPMENT/DOCUMENTS) neg

NOVEMBER - (Command Action Taken) _____

OSCAR - (Initial, Interim, or Final Report) final report

ENCLOSURE (1)

Tah 1

SPOT REPORT

ALPHA - (Unit sending Report) CAGO 4-3

BRAVO - (Where) IN Trien Phong Dist, PROVINCE XD 366584 COORD,
_____ KM _____ OF _____.

CHARLIE - (When, DTG INCIDENT OCCURED) 061100H Oct 1968.

DELTA - (Who, What, How) CAP 4-3-3, while on patrol found 5,000 lbs rice,

_____.

ECHO - (Results) Rice was bagged and returned to District Headquarters.

_____.

FOXTROT - Fr/KIA Neg GOLF - Fr/MIA Neg HOTEL - Fr/MIA Neg
INDIA - En/KIA Neg (C) JULIET - En/Capt'd Neg KILO - En/Sus Neg
LIMA - (Weapons Captured) Neg
MIKE - (EQUIPMENT/DOCUMENTS) 5,000 lbs rice
NOVEMBER - (Command Action Taken) _____

_____.

OSCAR - (Initial, Interim, or Final Report) Final Report

ENCLOSURE (1)


SPOT REPORTALPHA - (Unit sending Report) CACO 4-3BRAVO - (Where) IN Quang Tri PROVINCE 360600 (EN) COORD,
 KM OF .CHARLIE - (When, DTG INCIDENT OCCURED) 111430H October 1968.DELTA - (Who, What, How) CAP 4-3-3, while enroute to weapons cache encountered
approx one VC Platoon at a distance of 300 metersECHO - (Results) Popular Forces opened fire and VC fled to the North:after
sweep of Village patrol continued to weapons cache at YD 362617 found weapons
and returned to command post.FOXTROT - Fr/KIA Neg GOLF - Fr/WIA Neg HOTEL - Fr/MIA NegINDIA - En/KIA Neg (C) JULIET - En/Capt'd Neg KILO - En/Sus NegLIMA - (Weapons Captured) 3 .45 cal grease guns; 3 SKS rifles, 1 M-2 carbine;
1 chinese sub machinegunMIKE - (EQUIPMENT/DOCUMENTS) NegNOVEMBER - (Command Action Taken) Weapons returned to District HeadquartersOSCAR - (Initial, Interim, or Final Report) Final ReportENCLOSURE (1)


SPOT REPORTALPHA - (Unit sending Report) CACG 4-3BRAVO - (Where) IN Quang Tri PROVINCE YD 398563 COORD,
_____ KM _____ OF _____.CHARLIE - (When, DTG INCIDENT OCCURRED) 121730H October 1968.DELTA - (Who, What, How) While enroute to weapons cache with Chieu Hoi CAP 4-3-4 encountered two VC looking into house approximately 30 meters from weapons cache. VC opened fire and CAP returned same, killing one VC woman and wounding one VC Man. Wounded man escaped, documents on woman proved she was member of village Cadre (VC). One USMC WIA evac by vehicle to Quang Tri.ECHO - (Results) Documents turned over to District Chief.FOXTROT - Fr/KIA Neg GOLF - Fr/WIA One HOTEL - Fr/MIA NegINDIA - En/KIA One (C) JULIET - En/Captrd Neg KILO - En/Sus NegLIMA - (Weapons Captured) One M-16 rifle, one SKS rifle and assorted documents.MIKE - (EQUIPMENT/DOCUMENTS) documents (turned into District Chief)

NOVEMBER - (Command Action Taken) _____

OSCAR - (Initial, Interim, or Final Report) Final Report

ENCLOSURE (1)

SPOT REPORT

ALPHA - (Unit sending Report) CACO 4-3

BRAVO - (Where) IN Quang Tri PROVINCE YD 380553 COORD,
KM OF .

CHARLIE - (When, DTG INCIDENT OCCURED) 191100H October 1968.

DELTA - (Who, What, How) Chieu Hoi rallied at CAP 4-3-4 and was taken to
CACO Headquarters. Hoi Chan was elderly man with no weapon and negative
intel info.

ECHO - (Results) Hoi Chan turned over to District Headquarters.

FOXTROT - Fr/KIA Neg GOLF - Fr/MIA Neg HOTEL - Fr/MIA Neg

INDIA - Fr/KIA Neg (C) JULIET - Fr/Captrd Neg KILO - Fr/Sua Neg

LIMA - (Weapons Captured) None

MIKE - (EQUIPMENT/DOCUMENTS) None

NOVEMBER - (Command Action Taken)

OSCAR - (Initial, Interim, or Final Report) Final Report

ENCLOSURE (1)

SPOT REPORTALPHA - (Unit sending Report) CACO 4-3BRAVO - (Where) IN Quang Tri PROVINCE YD 404552 COORD,
_____ KM _____ OF _____.CHARLIE - (When, DTG INCIDENT OCCURED) 221330H October 1968.DELTA - (Who, What, How) PF's informed CAP 4-3-4 of two Chieu Hoi.ECHO - (Results) PF's and Marines of CAP 4-3-4 picked up Hoi Chans. Hoi Chans informed CAP of three more Chieu Hoi's. (VC). CAP went to apprehend with neg results. Returned to CP with Hoi Chans, called for pick-up.FOXTROT - Fr/KIA Neg GOLF - Fr/WIA Neg HOTEL - Fr/MIA NegINDIA - En/KIA Neg (C) JULIET - En/Captrd Neg KILO - En/Sua NegLIMA - (Weapons Captured) NoneMIKE - (EQUIPMENT/DOCUMENTS) NoneNOVEMBER - (Command Action Taken) Chieu Hoi's picked up by CACO and returned to district headquarters.OSCAR - (Initial, Interim, or Final Report) FinalENCLOSURE (1)
[REDACTED]

SPOT REPORTALPHA - (Unit sending Report) CACQ 4-3BRAVO - (Where) IN Quang Tri PROVINCE YD 377565 COORD,
 KM OF .CHARLIE - (When, DTG INCIDENT OCCURRED) 231000H October 1968.DELTA - (Who, What, How) CAP 4-3-4's PF's imitating VC, tricked two VC into an ambush set up by CAP 4-3-4 at above grid. One VC and one NVA were captured. Upon making a sweep of area CAP 4-3-4 found a tunnel with two VC inside. The two VC surrendered because they were outnumbered.ECHO - (Results) four captured (one NVA)FOXTROT - Fr/KIA Neg GOLF - Fr/MIA Neg HOTEL - Fr/MIA NegINDIA - En/KIA Neg (C) JULIET - En/Captrd four KILO - En/SusNegLIMA - (Weapons Captured) Negative weaponsMIKE - (EQUIPMENT/DOCUMENTS) two books of documentsNOVEMBER - (Command Action Taken) POW's turned over to District Headquarters.OSCAR - (Initial, Interim, or Final Report) Final Report

ENCLOSURE (1)

SPOT REPORTALPHA - (Unit sending Report) CACO 4-3BRAVO - (Where) IN Quang Tri PROVINCE YD 385562 COORD, KM OF .CHARLIE - (When, DTG INCIDENT OCCURRED) 231845H October 1968.DELTA - (Who, What, How) While CAP 4-3-4 was moving to night position, two
Chieu Hoi's turned themselves over to the CAP.ECHO - (Results) Will keep Hoi Chans overnight and turn them into District
Headquarters in the morning.FOXTROT - Fr/KIA Neg GOLF - Fr/MIA Neg HOTEL - Fr/MIA NegINDIA - En/KIA Neg (C) JULIET - En/Capt'd Neg KILO - En/Su NegLIMA - (Weapons Captured) NegMIKE - (EQUIPMENT/DOCUMENTS) NegNOVEMBER - (Command Action Taken) Turned Hoi Chans over to District Headquarters.OSCAR - (Initial, Interim, or Final Report) Final ReportENCLOSURE ()


SPOT REPORT

ALPHA - (Unit sending Report) CACO 4-3

BRAVO - (Where) IN Quang Tri PROVINCE YD 362581 COORD,
_____ KM _____ OF _____.

CHARLIE - (When, DTG INCIDENT OCCURED) 251815H October 1968.

DELTA - (Who, What, How) Observer Chopper told CAP 4-3-3 of a 500 lb bomb in
their AO.

ECHO - (Results) Set up security and XXX called for EOD Team. Sweep of area
disclosed another 500 lb bomb.

FOXTROT - Pr/KIA Neg GOLF - Pr/MIA Neg HOTEL - Pr/MIA Neg

INDIA - En/KIA Neg (C) JULIET - En/Capt'd Neg KILO - En/Sua Neg

LIMA - (Weapons Captured) Neg

MIKE - (EQUIPMENT/DOCUMENTS) Neg

NOVEMBER - (Command Action Taken) EOD came to CAP location, took out one bomb
and blew one in river.

OSCAR - (Initial, Interim, or Final Report) Final Report

ENCLOSURE. (1)

SPOT REPORTALPHA - (Unit sending Report) CACO 4-1BRAVO - (Where) IN Quang Tri PROVINCE YD 229631 COORD.KM OF CHARLIE - (When, DTG INCIDENT OCCURED) 290700-1700H October 1968.DELTA - (Who, What, How) Local civilians volunteered information and directions to the location of 159 pieces of friendly and enemy ordnance.ECHO - (Results) Ordnance was picked up.FOXTROT - Fr/KIA Neg GOLF - Fr/WMANeg HOTEL - Fr/MIA NegINDIA - Fr/KIA Neg (C) JULIET - Fr/Capt'd Neg KILO - Fr/Sua NegLIMA - (Weapons Captured) assorted enemy ordnanceMIKE - (EQUIPMENT/DOCUMENTS) NoneNOVEMBER - (Command Action Taken) EOC called to dispose of ordnance, VIP payments were made on spot to civilian personnel turning in info or directions.OSCAR - (Initial, Interim, or Final Report) Final ReportENCLOSURE (1)

SPOT REPORTALPHA - (Unit sending Report) CACO 4-1BRAVO - (Where) IN Quang Tri PROVINCE YN 229631 COORD,
 KM OF .CHARLIE - (When, DTG INCIDENT OCCURED) 300800-1600H October 1968.DELTA - (Who, What, How) Local civilians turned in information and directions
to CAP on the location of 88 pieces of friendly and enemy ordnance.
ECHO - (Results) Ordnance picked up.
FOXTROT - Fr/KIA Neg GOLF - Fr/MIA Neg HOTEL - Fr/MIA NegINDIA - Fr/KIA Neg (C) JULIET - Fr/Capt'd Neg KILO - Fr/Sus NegLIMA - (Weapons Captured) assorted enemy ordnanceMIKE - (EQUIPMENT/DOCUMENTS) NegNOVEMBER - (Command Action Taken) EOB called to dispose of ordnance. VIP payment
made on the spot for information and direction to location of ordnance.OSCAR - (Initial, Interim, or Final Report) Final Report

ENCLOSURE (1)

SPOT REPORTALPHA - (Unit sending Report) CACO 4-2BRAVO - (Where) IN Quang Tri PROVINCE YD 374498 COORD,
 KM OF .CHARLIE - (When, DTG INCIDENT OCCURED) 311115H October 1968.DELTA - (Who, What, How) CAP 4-2-1 was conducting a sweep when
they located one tunnel.ECHO - (Results) Upon searching the tunnel, they located some VC and
received a burst of automatic fire. Two friendly personnel wounded and
one VC surrendered. One VC surrendered was used to get three other VC to
surrender. Two other VC would not surrender.FOXTROT - Fr/KIA neg GOLF - Fr/MIA 2 HOTEL - Fr/MIA negINDIA - En/KIA 2 (C) JULIET - En/Captrd VC 4 KILO - En/Sua negLIMA - (Weapons Captured) Two AK47 rifles, one AK47 magazineMIKE - (EQUIPMENT/DOCUMENTS) food items, assorted clothing and web gear.NOVEMBER - (Command Action Taken) POWs taken to District Headquarters
along with weapons.OSCAR - (Initial, Interim, or Final Report) final report

ENCLOSURE (1)

SPOT REPORTALPHA - (Unit sending Report) CAGO 4-3BRAVO - (Where) IN Quang Tri PROVINCE YD 380542 COORD,
 KM OF .CHARLIE - (When, DTG INCIDENT OCCURED) 311900H October 1968.DELTA - (Who, What, How) CAG 4-3-4 was at day position when Chieu Hoi walked up and
turned himself in.ECHO - (Results) Hoi Chan gave info of troop build up and mortar tube.
Said 60 VC had tube, were to use it at YD 371574.FOXTROT - Fr/KIA Neg GOLF - Fr/WIA Neg HOTEL - Fr/MIA NegINDIA - En/KIA Neg (C) JULIET - En/Capt'd Neg KILO - En/Sgt NegLIMA - (Weapons Captured) NegMIKE - (EQUIPMENT/DOCUMENTS) NegNOVEMBER - (Command Action Taken) Informed CAG and C.I.T.OSCAR - (Initial, Interim, or Final Report) Final Report

ENCLOSURE (1)

DRAFTER J E HILLMAN
SECT ADMINPHONE 4TH CAG
CWO _____

ROUTINE 021039 Z OCT 68

FM: FOURTH CAG
TO: CG III MAF
(ATTN: DIRCAF)

UNCLAS EFTO

RELOCATION OF PF PLT: ACTIVATION OF NEW MOBILE CAP

1. POTTSVILLE 4-2-5 WAS ACTIVATED 1 OCT 1968 AT AN THAI VILLAGE MAI LINH DISTRICT (YD360531). IT IS WORKING WITH PF PLATOON #67 AND WILL OPERATE AS A MOBILE CAP.

BT

CCN _____ REL BY _____ TIME _____

ENCLOSURE (1)
[REDACTED]

Tab 2

DRAFTER J E GREENWOOD
SECT S-3 PHONE 4TH CAG
CWO _____

PRIORITY 030535 Z OCT 68

FM: FOURTH CAG
TO: CG III MAP
(ATTN: DIRCAP)

UNCLAS EFTO

RELOCATION OF PF PLATOON: ACTIVATION OF NEW MOBILE CAP

A. FOURTH CAG 021039

1. CANCEL REF A

POTTSVILLE 4-2-5 WAS ACTIVATED 1 OCT 1968 AT AN THAI VILLAGE MAI LINH DISTRICT (YD360511) IT IS WORKING WITH PF PLATOON NO 67 AND WILL OPERATE AS A MOBILE CAP.

BT

CCN _____ REL BY _____ TIME _____

ENCLOSURE (1)

DRAFTER E J ROSSI
SECTS-3 PHONE 4TH CAG
CWO

PRIORITY 040431 Z OCT 68

FM: FOURTH CAG
TO: CG III MAP

UNCLAS EFTO

CACO/EAP ACTIVATION

1. ON 3 OCT 68 THE FOLLOWING UNITS WERE ACTIVATED:

A. CACO 4-3 AT TRIEU PHONG DISTRICT HQ (YD 329558)

B. CAP 4-3-1 AT DAU KINH VILLAGE (YD315585) OF TRIEU PHONG DISTRICT
IT WILL OPERATE AS A MOBILE CAP.

BT

CCN REL BY TIME

ENCLOSURE (1)

DRAFTER D R DICKEY
SECT S-3 PHONE ATH CAG
CWO _____

PRIORITY 051137 Z OCT 68

FM: FOURTH CAG
TO: CG III MAF
(ATTN: DIRCAP)

UNCLAS EFTO (FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY)

CAP ACTIVATION

1. CAP 4-3-2 WAS ACTIVATED ON 4 OCT 68 IN VILLAGE OF TRIEU HOA (YD342585) IN THRIEU PHONG DISTRICT. IT WILL OPERATE AS A MOBILE CAP.
2. MOBILE TRAINING TEAM NO TWO WAS ACTIVATED ON 4 OCT 68 IN THE VILLAGE OF LANG TICH TRUONG (YD 328496). IT WILL TRAIN PF PLATOON NO SIX TWO.

BT

CCN _____ REL BY _____ TIME _____

ENCLOSURE (1)

DRAFTER D R DICKEY
SECT S-3 PHONE 4TH CAG
CWO _____

PRIORITY 070157 Z OCT 68

FM: FOURTH CAG
TO: CG III MAF
(ATTN: DIRCAP)

UNCLAS EFTO (FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY)

CAP ACTIVATION

1. ON 5 OCT 1968 CAP 4-3-3 WAS ACTIVATED IN THE VILLAGE OF TRIEU THANH (YD 355570) TRIEU PHONG DISTRICT. IT WILL OPERATE AS A MOBILE CAP.

BT

CCN _____ REL BY _____ TIME _____

ENCLOSURE (1)
[REDACTED]

DRAFTER D R DICKEY
SECT S-3 PHONE 4TH CAG
CWO _____

PRIORITY 070645 Z OCT 68

FM: FOURTH CAG
TO: CG III MAF
(ATTN: DIRCAP)

UNCLAS EFTO (FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY)

1. ON 6 OCT 68 CAP 4-3-4 WAS ACTIVATED IN THE VILLAGE OF TRIEU THAI
(YD 365551) IN TRIEU PHONG DISTRICT. IT WILL OPERATE AS A MOBILE CAP.

BT.

CCN _____ REL BY _____ TIME _____

ENCLOSURE (1)

DRAFTER D R DICKEY
SECT S-3 PHONE 4TH CAG
CWO _____

PRIORITY 250303 Z OCT 68

FM: FOURTH CAG
TO: CG III MAF
(ATTN: DIRCAP)

CONFIDENTIAL EFTO

CAP RELOCATION

1. ON 24 Oct 68 THE FOLLOWING UNIT WAS RELOCATED:
 - A. CAP 4-1-1 RE-LOCATED TO YD 230630 IN DONG HA DISTRICT
 - B. CAP 4-1-1 WILL BE WORKING WITH POPULAR FORCE PLATOON NUMBER 78.

BT

CCN _____ REL BY _____ TIME _____

ENCLOSURE (1)
[REDACTED]

DRAFTER D R DICKEY
SECT S-3 PHONE 4TH CAG
CWO _____

PRIORITY 301005 Z OCT 68

FM: FOURTH CAG
TO: CG III MAF
(ATTN: DIRCAF)

UNCLAS EFTO

MTT-TWO TRAINING OF POLAR FORCE UNIT

1. ON 29 OCT 68 MTT-2 COMMENCED TRAINING PF PLT #66 AT LOC YD323539 IN
THE VILLAGE OF MINH HUONG.

BT

CCN _____ REL BY _____ TIME _____

ENCLOSURE (1)
[REDACTED]

HEADQUARTERS
4th Combined Action Group
III Marine Amphibious Force
FPO San Francisco 96602

1/JRB/rlg
3120
9 Aug 1968

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

From: Commanding Officer
To: Director, Combined Action Program

Subj: 4th CAG proposed expansion plan for Quang Tri Province, August 1968

Ref: (a) Telcon of 7 July 1968 with Director, CAP

Encl: (1) Overlay of proposed CAP sites.

1. In accordance with the request made by reference (a), the following proposed expansion plan for Quang Tri Province is submitted:

a. Mai Linh District. Establish one new CAP at YD 3551 (Dai Nai An Thai). This CAP would be under CACO 4-2's control and would be designated: 4-2-5.

b. Huong Hoa District. Establish one new CAP at YD 0952 (Cua Valley). This CAP would fall under the control of CACO 4-1. It would be designated: 4-1-4.

c. Trieu Phong District. Establish one CACO Headquarters, 4-3, at YD 3355 and CAPs 4-3-1, 4-3-2, 4-3-3, and 4-3-4 at YD 3257, YD 3458, YD 3557, and YD 3554 respectively.

2. With the exception of the CAP for the Cua Valley, 4-1-4, all proposed CAP sites are within the present RD area. And in the foreseeable future, the Cua Valley is scheduled to become an RD area. Presently, Cua is a "Boom" area and plans are being formulated to resettle 2000 more refugees there in the near future. If it is our intention to keep the Cam Lo CAPs, and currently it is, then the Cua Valley is a logical extension for CACO 4-1.

3. The plan I've proposed is heartily endorsed by Mr. Brewer, and all the District Chiefs concerned. Personal liaison has been made with all involved.

4. Presently, the 4th CAG has two CAPs which are ready for activation. It is planned to allocate these CAPs to CACOs 4-1 and 4-2 for insertion into Huong Hoa and Mai Linh. Additionally a third CACO Headquarters has been formed and is now ready for insertion into Trieu Phong District Headquarters. The three elements mentioned are scheduled to leave this

ENCLOSURE (1)

Tab 3

Headquarters on 10 August, and can be activated when the expansion plan is approved. Current personnel allocation plans call for us to receive 50 men on 11 August 1968. These men would receive additional training at our Headquarters and would be ready for insertion into Trieu Phong on 24 Aug 1968 as CAPs 4-3-1, 4-3-2, and 4-3-3. The fourth CAP for Trieu Phong would be ready for insertion on approximately 10 Sep 1968.

5. Lastly, the RD expansion plans are headed north in Trieu Phong, and this area would be a natural for us to expand into. We could easily establish, in my opinion, 10 additional CAPs in Trieu Phong District East of route one and North of Quang Tri City.

J. R. BROWN Jr.
Acting

Info copy:
Senior Province Advisor

ENCLOSURE (1)

HEADQUARTERS

4th Combined Action Group
III Marine Amphibious Force
FPO San Francisco 96602

6/JEG/wgh
3100
7 Sep 1968

From: Commanding Officer
To: Commanding General, III Marine Amphibious Force
(Attn: CAP Director)

Subj: Withdrawal of Marines from CAP 4-1-1; Establishment of new
CAP 4-1-4

Ref: (a) ForO 3121.4B

1. Commanding General, 3d Marine Division and Commanding Officer, 2d ARVN Regiment have jointly requested the establishment of a new CAP in the vicinity of YD 228630 in Dong Ha District some 2000 meters north of Dong Ha City. The CAP operating area would be astride Route 1 within Cam Xuan and Cam Hoa Villages. It would contain three refugee hamlets of several thousand people and would dominate some suspected routes of enemy infiltration. PF Platoons 78 and 79 are presently operating in this area and both would be available to cooperate with the Marine element. Additionally, the area is one that would enable the CAP to lend support to the resettlement of Cam Vu area and to the protection of the miracle rice crop effort to be made north of the Cam Lo - Mieu Giang River - two major projects in the new six-month plan for Quang Tri Province. Upon investigation it was found that the proposed site meets the criteria set forth in paragraph 10 of reference (a).

2. Assuming that additional forces cannot be made available to increase the total number of CAPs authorized the 4th CAG, it is recommended that the Marines be withdrawn from CAP 4-1-1 and reassigned to form the new CAP 4-1-4 as outlined above. CAP 4-1-1 was formed in March 1967. Since that date PF Platoon #69 has been trained in the subjects outlined in paragraph 12 of reference (a). Its leaders and personnel are considered to be well trained, loyal, and proficient. In the opinion of the Cam Lo District Chief, his advisor, and the undersigned, the platoon is capable of assuming responsibility for the CAP compound and conducting independent operations in an effective manner.

3. Approval of this proposal would require CACO 4-1 to coordinate with two District Headquarters as the area under consideration for CAP 4-1-4

ENCLOSURE (1)


6/JEG/wgh
7 Sep 1968

is located in the newly formed Dong Ha District. In view of the small number of CAPs assigned CACO 4-1, this is not considered a significant factor.

J. E. GREENWOOD

Copy to:

CG, 3dMarDiv
Senior Advisor, Quang Tri Province
Senior Advisor, 2d ARVN Regt
Senior Advisor, Dong Ha District
CO, CACO 4-1

HEADQUARTERS
4th Combined Action Group
III Marine Amphibious Force
FPO, San Francisco, 96602

3/DHD/rlg
3000
10SEP 1968

Psyops Operation Order 1-68 (Operation Destroy VC Infrastructure)

1. Situation

a. Enemy Forces. The target of this operation is the VC infrastructure in each CAP area of influence.

b. Friendly Forces Available

- (1) Armed Propaganda Teams
- (2) Vietnamese Informational Services (VIS)
- (3) DIOCC Personnel
- (4) Hamlet/Village Officials
- (5) Police Field Forces

2. Mission. All 4th CAG units will conduct an aggressive psychological warfare campaign against the VC infrastructure in their areas of influence.

3. Execution

a. Concept of Operation. Commencing on DI day all CACO's will tailor their units operations to effectively emplement operation "Destroy VC Infrastructure".

b. 4th CAG S-3 will:

- (1) Coordinate all CACO's operations.
- (2) Provide posters, leaflets, and other appropriate materials stressing operations theme.
- (3) Provide "VIP" funds as needed.
- (4) Provide speakers, APTs and photo coverage as required.

ENCLOSURE (1)

Tab 5

c. CACOs will:

- (1) On DI - two: arrange meetings of all Hamlet/Village Officials to explain nature of operation and request their assistance.
- (2) On 26 Sep 1968 have each CAF place appropriate posters in their hamlet areas.
- (3) On Sep 28, 29, 30 - have each CAF conduct patrols throughout their hamlet areas distributing leaflets with theme of operation.
- (4) On Oct 2, 3 - have CAF patrols establish check points on trail rivers, and roads used by Vietnamese nationals. Each check point will check ID cards against "Black lists" and take appropriate action. Additionally, check point units will distribute leaflets.
- (5) On Oct 4, 5, 6, 7 - have Armed Propaganda teams rotate through each CAF AC stressing theme of operation.
- (6) On Oct 9, 10, 11 - have PFF and CAFs conduct joint operations in CAF hamlet's to comb out VCS.
- (7) Oct 13, 14 repeat sub paragraph c.(3).
- (8) Oct 17, 18 repeat sub paragraph c.(4).
- (9) Oct 20, 21, 22, 23 repeat sub paragraph c.(6) in selected areas.
- (10) Oct 25 repeat sub paragraph c.(1).

d. Coordinating Instructions

- (1) DI Day is 26 Sep 1968 (tentative).
- (2) Include detailed information in weekly activities report as to progress of operation "Destroy VC Infrastructure".
- (3) Submit comments and recommended areas for improvement to the 4th CAG Headquarters no later than 5 days after conclusion of the operation.
- (4) This order effective for planning on receipt and for execution upon order.

4. Administrative and Logistics. Normal procedures apply.

5. Command and Communication-Electronics. No change from present procedures.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT REQUESTED

J. E. GREENWOOD
Lieutenant Colonel U. S. Marine Corps
Commanding

ENCLOSURE (1)
[REDACTED]

HEADQUARTERS
4th Combined Action Group
III Marine Amphibious Force
FPO San Francisco 96602

1/JEH/rjl
GruO 1540.1

20 SEP 1968

GROUP ORDER 1540.1

From: Commanding Officer
To: Distribution List

Subj: Evaluation of PF Platoons

Encl: (1) Instructions for completion of TFES Ledger Card
(2) TFES Ledger Card Format
(3) Mission Categories and Ledger Card Questions

1. Purpose. To promulgate instructions concerning the evaluation of the effectiveness of PF platoons and the success of the Combined Action Program training efforts.

2. Background

a. The Military Advisory Command, Vietnam, has developed an elaborate evaluation system to measure the effectiveness of the Republic of Vietnam's Armed Forces. Part of it, called the Territorial Forces Evaluation System (TFES), deals with PF units and requires that District Senior Advisors submit monthly reports reflecting the status of all PF platoons in their District. These reports are of particular interest to the Combined Action Program. As they reflect the status of the PF platoon combined in CAPs, they are in reality a measure of the success of our training efforts and the effectiveness of the whole Combined Action Program. Beyond this they are useful in helping to identify areas requiring special attention and units in greatest need of training.

b. As a practical matter, however, these reports have several weaknesses. Their accuracy is low. There are simply too many PF units for District Senior Advisors to evaluate them accurately each month. Further, the evaluations involve subjective judgments, which tend to weaken the usefulness of the report particularly when comparing units in different districts. Finally, while the reports are detailed they do not necessarily address all of the items that can serve to measure PF effectiveness.

Tab 6

Gru0 1540.1

20 SEP 1968

3. Objectives

a. To assist DSA in preparation and improvement of TFES Reports: CACO and CAP personnel are in excellent positions to assist their DSA in the preparation of accurate TFES reports not only for the platoons with which they are combined to form CAPs, but also for the other PF platoons which they encounter operationally.

b. To collect pertinent data on PF units associated with the Combined Action Program: In view of its primary mission of training PF, the Combined Action Program should have readily available an accurate, detailed information file on every PF platoon that has been in any way involved with the program. Among other items this file should contain:

- (1) Copies of monthly TFES report as submitted by DSA
- (2) Roster of PF showing hamlet name and coordinates of area from which recruited
- (3) Brief comments on specific PF soldiers covering attitude, ability, potential, etc
- (4) Training schedules
- (5) Dates training began
- (6) Daily present-for-duty strength of PF Platoon.

4. Action

a. To assist in attaining the above objectives, CACO CO's will carry out the following:

- (1) Familiarize themselves with the TFES reporting procedures by studying enclosure (1) which has been extracted from MACV Dir 335-13; reading TFES District Advisor's Handbook and other materials available from the DSA; and by reviewing past reports submitted for PF units in, or proximate to, their CAPs.
- (2) Evaluate PF platoons in CAPs on a continuing and detailed basis using the TFES format.
- (3) Make evaluation results available to DSA and offer assistance in helping with the evaluation of other platoons if so desired. Ensure that DSA is made aware of standards used in evaluation.
- (4) Prepare information folder on each PF platoon

ruo 1540.1

20 SEP 1968

associated with a CAP. It should contain specific items listed in paragraph 3b above and any other information that in the opinion of the CACO would be useful for accurate evaluation of the unit.

b. The 4th CAG Inspector/Training Officer will:

(1) Periodically inspect information folders held at CACOs.

(2) Obtain copies of all TFES reports from Quang Tri RF/PF Advisor.

(3) Review TFES reports and suggest modifications or revisions in training as necessary to correct discrepancies noted therein.

(4) Promulgate questions or requests for information as necessary to give clear insight into effectiveness of training and progress of PF units.



J. E. GREENWOOD

DISTRIBUTION: "C"

GruO 1540.1

20 SEP 1968

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION OF TFES LEDGER CARD

1. Unit Designation. At the top of the card record numerical designation of the PF platoon and the names of the district.

2. On-Hand Weapons and Vehicles.

a. On-hand weapons and vehicles (M-2 carbines, Browning Automatic rifles, M-79 grenade launchers, M-16 rifles, mortars, recoilless rifles, machine guns, V-100 armored cars and one-ton trucks) as authorized for the unit. Reporting of specific numbers of on-hand items in each unit may be determined through inspection of appropriate A&DSL Company issue records in combination with systematic on-site spot-counts in selected RF/PF units on a rotational basis. During a given month, however, tabulations in a specific unit will be determined through one of the two following options:

OPTION 1: Inspection of appropriate A&DSL Company issue records.

OPTION 2: On-site count of specific on-hand items at RF/PF unit.

b. Count option (1, 2) utilized to determine the on-hand weapons and vehicles.

3. Personnel Strengths. Assigned strength and the average strength present for duty on a typical duty day. Strength data will be recorded separately for officers, NCO's and enlisted for the RF and by platoon leader, assistant platoon leader and squad leaders, and enlisted for the PF.

4. Mission Essential Items. Code number (1, 2, 3, 4) of the appropriate response for each of the five mission essential item questions (Enclosure 3).

5. Mission Performance. Code letter (A, B, C, D, E) corresponding to the appropriate response for each of the five mission performance questions (Enclosure 3).

6. Problems. Code number (1, 2, 3, 4, 5) of the appropriate response to each of the seven problems (Enclosure 3).

7. Visit Date. Date of the last visit by U. S. personnel to the prime base.

8. Location and Missions. Record the geographical location

ENCLOSURE (1)

Gru0 1540.1

and mission(s) of all operational bases maintained by the unit. While many RF/PF units are located in a single prime base, others are known to be deployed in one or more separate outposts which constitute a fixed base of operations.

a. Location. In column 2 of the table, record the UTM coordinates (two letters and six digits) of the location of the unit's headquarters (prime base) as well as the UTM coordinates of each physically separated outpost. (Only one record for each outpost is desired. For example, if two squads of a PF platoon are located in one outpost, only one record is required for that outpost.) If CAP is mobile and does not maintain a compound, this fact should be noted here.

b. Mission(s). From the generalized list of RF/PF mission statements contained in the TFES District Advisor's Handbook in the section "Mission Categories and Definition of Questions for TFES Ledger Card" (Enclosure 3), select the one mission code corresponding to the primary mission of each prime base and each outpost, where applicable, and record that mission code in column 3 of the table. If the forces at a location have more than one mission, list secondary/contingency mission codes as necessary in columns 4, 5, and 6.

c. Village and Hamlet Name. In column 7 record the name of the village in which the prime base and each outpost is located. In column 8 record the name of the hamlet closest to the base and each outpost. It is recognized that in some cases an outpost may be distant from hamlets; nevertheless, the name of the nearest hamlet is required. The DSA will determine the U. S. hamlet identification number assigned in the Hamlet Evaluation System (HES), then record the last four digits.

Training Record

a. Record the month and year of basic training, refresher training, Motivation Indoctrination Training (MIP), and Revolutionary Development (RD) Training, as appropriate.

b. Record, as appropriate, the team designation of either currently or previously assigned Mobile Advisory Team (MAT) or Combined Action Platoon (CAP), the date of MAT/CAP team assignment to the unit, and the date withdrawn (the date training was completed).

ENCLOSURE (1)

CONFIDENTIAL
(When filled in)

Gru0 1540.1
20 SEP 1968

UNIT _____
(Numeric dog and type)

DISTRICT _____

TERRITORIAL FORCES EVALUATION SYSTEM (TFES) LEDGER CARD
NOTE: TO BE RETAINED BY THE DISTRICT ADVISOR

ON-HAND WEAPONS & VEHICLES										ASG STR				PED STR		
COUNT	M-2		M-79	M-16				V-100	I-T	RF	OFF	NCO	ENL	OFF	NCO	ENL
OPTION	CBN	BAR	GL	RIFLE	MORT	RCR	MG	AC	TRK	PP	PLR	SLR	ENL	PLR	SLR	ENL
										JUL						
										AUG						
										SEP						
										OCT						
										NOV						
										DEC						
										JAN						
										FEB						
										MAR						
										APR						
										MAY						
										JUN						

(When filled in)

ENCLOSURE (2)

DECLASSIFIED

CONFIDENTIAL
(When filled in)

Gru0 1540.1
20 SEP 1968

	MISSION ESSENTIAL ITEMS					MISSION PERFORMANCE					PROBLEMS							VISIT DATE
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
JUL																		
AUG																		
SEP																		
OCT																		
NOV																		
DEC																		
JAN																		
FEB																		
MAR																		
APR																		
MAY																		
JUN																		

DECLASSIFIED

ENCLOSURE (2)

CONFIDENTIAL
(When filled in)

DEPLOYMENT TABLE											
OPERATIONAL BASES	UTM COORDINATES	MISSION CODES				VILLAGE IN WHICH UNIT IS LOCATED	NAME OF NEAREST HAMLET	US HAMLET ID NO.*			
		PRIME	SECONDARY								
PRIME BASE											
OUTPOST 1											

*Last 4 digits only

DATE OF TRAINING (MO/YR)				ASSIGNMENT OF MTT/MAT/CAP TEAM		
BASIC	REFRESHER	MIP	RD	TEAM DSG	DATE ASG	WITHDRAWN

REMARKS/ADDITIONAL PROBLEMS:

ENCLOSURE (2)

GruO 1540.1
20 SEP 1968

Cl, MACV Dir 335-13

MACV-TFES

TERRITORIAL FORCES EVALUATION SYSTEM

(TFES)

MISSION CATEGORIES
AND DEFINITION OF QUESTIONS
FOR TFES LEDGER CARD

ENCLOSURE (3)

1540.1

20 SEP 1968

TPES MISSION CATEGORIESMISSION
CODESGENERALIZED MISSION STATEMENTS

1

SECURITY FOR HAMLETS AND VILLAGESSpecific missions may include:

- protection of the villagers, local officials and GVN cadre/teams.
- protection of hamlet and village administrative offices.
- day and night patrols and ambushes in and around populated areas.
- application of resources control measures.

SECURITY FOR THE DISTRICT/PROVINCE TOWNSpecific missions may include:

- protection for the inhabitants.
- protection for local, district and/or province officials.
- security for local administrative offices and headquarters.
- day and night ambushes and patrols in and around the town.
- application of resources control measures.

3

SECURITY FOR KEY MILITARY INSTALLATIONSSpecific missions may include:

- defense of communications sites, airbases, ammunition storage sites, POL storage sites, artillery units training centers, etc. (other than just the unit's outpost)
- defense of unit headquarters (other than sector or subsector headquarters within the district/province town).
- security for prison or detention camp.

4

SECURITY FOR KEY ECONOMIC INSTALLATIONSSpecific missions may include:

- protection for local utilities such as power generation stations, water pumps, irrigation dams, etc.
- protection for local commercial ventures such as warehouses, cement plants, sugar refinery, rice mill, etc.

5

SECURITY OF LINES OF COMMUNICATIONSpecific missions may include:

- clearing of road or waterway.
- patrolling of a road or waterway.
- escort of convoys.

ENCLOSURE (3)

Gru0 1540.1

TFES MISSION CATEGORIES (Cont'd)**MISSION
CODES**

- protection of bridges or ferry sites.
- traffic control and resources control checkpoints.
- denial of road or waterway use by the VC with a checkpoint.
- frontier guardpost.

6 RESERVE AND REACTION FORCES

Specific missions may include:

- reserve force for reaction to VC initiated activity.

7 OFFENSIVE OPERATIONS

Specific missions may include:

- combined search and destroy operations with other RF/PF, ARVN, and FVMAF forces.
- hunter killer teams.
- patrol and ambush operations set up to interdict known or suspected VC movement routes.

8 CIVIC ACTION

Specific missions may include:

- participation as the military part of a military/civilian team.
- construction of roads, bridges, housing, offices, etc.

9 INTELLIGENCE COLLECTION

Specific missions may include:

- clandestine operations through organized intelligence nets of sympathizers and informants.
- reconnaissance patrols (armed or disguised) into known VC areas.
- raids on minor targets for information collection purposes.
- application of counter intelligence measures.

10 TRAINING

Specific missions may include:

- training at provincial or other training centers.
- in-place training by Mobile Advisory Team (MAT), Combined Action Platoon (CAP), Mobile Training Team (MTT), or other specially

ENCLOSURE (3)

Gru0 1540.1

20 SEP 1968

TFES MISSION CATEGORIES (Cont'd)MISSION
CODES

constituted and externally supported training team, such as Motivation Indoctrination Training (MIP).

- NOTE: On-the-job training without external support is a part of the basic mission of unit and should not be separately specified under this mission category.

- 11 IN RECRUITMENT OR RECONSTITUTION
- 12 ADMINISTRATION
- 13 OTHER

MISSION ESSENTIAL ITEMS

1. In your judgment, which of the following best characterizes the unit's INDIVIDUAL WEAPONS with respect to assigned strength?

- 1. More than 90% have serviceable weapons.
- 2. Approximately 90% to 75% have serviceable weapons.
- 3. Approximately 75% to 50% have serviceable weapons.
- 4. Less than 50% have serviceable weapons.

2. In your judgment, which of the following best characterizes the unit's BARRIER MATERIALS?

- 1. More than adequate.
- 2. Generally adequate.
- 3. Marginal.
- 4. Critically inadequate.

3. In your judgment, which of the following best characterizes the unit's on-hand MUNITIONS?

- 1. More than adequate to perform mission.
- 2. Adequate to perform mission.
- 3. Less than adequate to perform mission.
- 4. Critically short.

4. In your judgment, which of the following best characterizes the unit's INDIVIDUAL CLOTHING AND EQUIPMENT?

ENCLOSURE (3)

Gr00 1540.1
20 SEP 1968

1. More than 90% of authorized items are on-hand.
2. Approximately 90% to 75% of authorized are on-hand.
3. Approximately 75% to 50% of authorized are on-hand.
4. Less than 50% of authorized items are on-hand.

5. In your judgment, which of the following best characterizes the unit's authorized RADIO EQUIPMENT?

RF ONLY

1. Eight operational.
2. Six to seven operational.
3. Four to five operational.
4. Three or less operational.

PF ONLY

1. More than two operational.
2. Two operational.
3. One operational.
4. None operational.

PERFORMANCE RELATED TO UNIT MISSION

Considering the ASSIGNED MISSION of the unit, select the one rating that BEST FITS the unit for each of the following five scales.

1. Responsiveness to orders:

- A. Dependable and timely.
- B. Dependable but not always timely.
- C. Dependable but usually slow.
- D. Undependable and slow.
- E. No response.

2. Weapons proficiency:

- A. Excellent with little room for improvement.
- B. Good but could be improved.
- C. Satisfactory.
- D. Marginal.
- E. Completely inadequate.

3. Leadership and unit esprit:

- A. Outstanding.
- B. Good but could be improved.
- C. Satisfactory.
- D. Marginal.
- E. Completely inadequate.

4. Plans for use of supporting fires, organic to the unit, and/or from nearby ARVN & FVMAF forces (mortar and/or artillery and/or air):

- A. Excellent with little room for improvement.
- B. Good but could be improved.

ENCLOSURE (3)

GruO 1540.1

20 SEP 1969

- C. Satisfactory.
- D. Marginal.
- E. Completely inadequate.

5. Posture:

- A. Always aggressively seek and engage enemy force (or, if defensive mission, aggressively conduct reconnaissance).
- B. Frequently seek and engage enemy (or, if defensive mission, frequently conduct reconnaissance).
- C. Seldom seek engagement with enemy (or, if defensive mission, seldom conduct reconnaissance).
- D. Neither seek nor avoid engagement with the enemy (or, if defensive mission, indifferent towards conducting reconnaissance).
- E. Intentionally avoid engagement with enemy (or, if defensive mission, intentionally avoid reconnaissance).

PROBLEMS RELATED TO UNIT EFFECTIVENESS

Select the one most appropriate answer in each of the following problem areas:

1. **OUTPOST CONSOLIDATION:** The consolidation of squad size and smaller outposts into platoon operational bases (as required by AB 143 and the Combined Outpost Study, Dec '66):

- 1. Has been fully accomplished.
- 2. Is being accomplished on schedule.
- 3. Is currently delayed (for such reasons as lack of construction/barrier materials).
- 4. Has not begun.
- 5. Not applicable.

2. **IN-PLACE TRAINING:** In-place training programs are conducted by the unit:

- 1. 6 or more hours per week.
- 2. 4-5 hours per week.
- 3. 2-3 hours per week.
- 4. Less than 2 hours per week.

3. **FIREPOWER:** Compared to VC units of equivalent size operating in the area, the unit's firepower is:

- 1. Superior.

ENCLOSURE (3)

Gru0 1540.1

2. Approximately the same.
3. Somewhat inferior.
4. Significantly inferior.

4. LEADERSHIP/PROMOTION: Unit participation in the FY 68-69 Force Structure Increase Program (FSI) to select and recommend qualified enlisted personnel for advancement to NCO and officer ranks is:

1. Enthusiastically emphasized.
2. Good but could be improved.
3. Limited and reflects indifference.
4. Suppressed.
5. Unit appears to be unaware of the program.

5. COORDINATION OF LOCAL DEFENSE: Coordination for mutual support in local defense (for example, preparation of integrated sectors of observation and fields of fire, integrated fire support plans, and plans for deployment of reaction forces) with other RF/PF units, RD Cadre, and/or other friendly forces in the immediate vicinity is:

1. Always accomplished.
2. Usually accomplished.
3. Sometimes accomplished.
4. Never accomplished.
5. Not applicable.

6. CIVIC ACTION: The unit's participation in civic action and self-help projects (such as construction of education, health, and sanitary facilities and distribution of commodities) is:

1. Continuous.
2. Frequent.
3. Infrequent.
4. Negligible.

7. RAPPORT WITH POPULACE: The attitude of the populace and local leaders toward the unit is one of:

1. Active cooperation.
2. General acceptance.
3. Indifference.
4. Active hostility.
5. Unable to judge.

ENCLOSURE (3)

HEADQUARTERS
4th Combined Action Group
III Marine Amphibious Force
FPO San Francisco 96602

1/JEG/rjl
Gruo 3121.1
27 Sep 1968

GROUP ORDER 3121.1

From: Commanding Officer
To: Distribution List

Subj: Hamlet Evaluation System

Encl: (1) Annex C to Joint Directive 4-67 MACCORDS
(2) Hamlet Evaluation Worksheet
(3) Items to be Considered in Selecting Response
to Problem Questions
(4) Hamlet Evaluation Sheet

1. Purpose. To promulgate information relative to the Hamlet Evaluation System and to issue instruction to Combined Action Program personnel concerning their responsibility toward this evaluation system.

2. Background

a. Pacification planners in Washington, at CINCPAC, and at Headquarters Military Assistance Command, Vietnam use a fully automated procedure, called the Pacification Evaluation System (PACES), to measure, evaluate, and report the status of pacification throughout the Republic of Vietnam. A subordinate part of PACES, the Hamlet Evaluation System (HES), is of particular concern to the Combined Action Program. As its name implies, the HES looks in detail at the hamlets in RVN and attempts to measure their degree of pacification on a monthly basis.

b. Primary responsibility for providing the information needed by the HES rests with the District Senior Advisor (DSA). He has been directed to maintain a HES Data Collection Plan that identifies the RVN and US civil and military personnel who have access to information required to complete the detailed hamlet study. Next, it is his job to coordinate with these officials, obtain the information, and record it in the Hamlet Evaluation Worksheet (HEW) that he maintains for each hamlet. The HEW, in turn, is then used as a reference each month to correct and update a set of computer-printed sheets called the Hamlet Evaluation Summary Form (HESF). These forms contains 18 elements of information related to pacification considerations and 19

Tab 7

CRUO 3121.1
27 Sep 1968

additional elements concerned with potential problem areas within hamlets. These 37 elements enable pacification planners to make a reasonably accurate evaluation of a hamlet's status.

c. Since Combined Action Platoons provide security for hundreds of hamlets in I Corps and have been given an additional mission of assisting the pacification efforts in all of them, Combined Action Program personnel are directly concerned with the Hamlet Evaluation System. They are often in a better position than anybody else to supply much of the information required to complete HEWs and HESFs. Beyond this, the entire Hamlet Evaluation System is, at least in part, a yardstick for measuring the effectiveness of Combined Action Platoons in providing security and in supporting the general pacification efforts.

3. Objectives

a. To assist DSAs in preparation of accurate HES reports. - - As there are over 450 hamlets in the province to be evaluated each month, DSAs are faced with a considerable task. Without the complete support of all knowledgeable parties, they cannot hope to produce thorough evaluations. As it is in the best interest of the Combined Action Program that the HES reports be accurate useful tools, and that they properly reflect the Program's pacification efforts, Combined Action Personnel must take an active part in HES work and assist the evaluation however possible.

b. To give Combined Action Program personnel a better insight into pacification efforts in hamlets within their areas. - - The recent closer alignment of Combined Action Platoons with the Revolutionary Development effort, places greater importance on the platoon's mission of supporting pacification efforts. By working with the HES, Combined Action Program personnel will gain a better insight into pacification progress and be able to make an increased contribution to this effort.

4. Action. In order to obtain the objectives outlined above, the following actions are directed:

a. CACO Commanders will:

(1) Familiarize themselves with the HES by studying the enclosures to this order and the explanatory materials available from their respective DSAs.

(2) Survey areas assigned to their Combined Action Platoons and identify all hamlets in which those platoons are expected to influence the pacification effort.

GruO 3121.1
27 Sep 1968

(3) Conduct a continuous evaluation of these hamlets utilizing a HEW (enclosure (2)) and guidelines contained in enclosure (3). Make this information available to the DSA and offer to assist him in any reasonable manner with the hamlet evaluation task.

(4) Maintain a detailed information folder for every hamlet influenced by personnel of your CACO. This folder should include:

- (a) Completed copy of enclosure (4)
- (b) Census information
- (c) Black list/intelligence information
- (d) Record of inspection visits by CACO CO or his staff
- (e) Other information considered helpful in pacification evaluation

b. The 4th CAG Inspector/Training Officer will:

(1) Maintain close liaison with the province advisor responsible for HES reports.

(2) Obtain information as necessary from Province to maintain up-to-date files reflecting the evaluation of all hamlets falling within the operating areas of Combine Action Platoons.

(3) Analyze HES data and direct attention to areas in which better support could be given pacification efforts.


J. E. GREENWOOD

DISTRIBUTION: "6"

JOINT DIRECTIVE 4-67

MACCORDS FIELD REPORTING SYSTEM

ANNEX C
RCS-MACCORDS-03-67THE PACIFICATION EVALUATION SYSTEM

1. PURPOSE: To provide instructions and guidance for Pacification Evaluation System (PACES) reports.

2. GENERAL:

a. Pacification planners and decision makers at Headquarters, MACV, CINCPAC and in Washington use the PACES as a vital management device and place great importance on the timely receipt of PACES information. Therefore, accurate and complete reporting and expeditious and reliable report processing are essential for each of the subordinate systems.

b. PACES is a fully automated procedure for objectively measuring, evaluating and reporting the status of pacification throughout the Republic of Vietnam (RVN). Component subsystems of PACES are the Hamlet Evaluation System (HES), Area Assessment System (AAS), GVN 1967 RD Program Evaluation System (PES), Program Evaluation System/Historical (PES), RD/Team Evaluation System (TES) and RF/PF Evaluation System (FES). A description of each subsystem is contained in respective Appendixes to this Annex.

3. RESPONSIBILITIES:

a. The Corps Deputy for CORDS is responsible for the accuracy and timeliness of PACES field reporting.

b. The Province Senior Advisor is responsible for submission of certain reports and for monitoring reports from District Senior Advisors as outlined in the Enclosures to this Annex.

c. The District Senior Advisor is responsible for basic HES reporting.

4. PROCEDURES: The Enclosures to this Annex contain the procedures, instructions and required reports for each subsystem of PACES.

ENCLOSURE (1)

Gruo 3021.1
27 Sep 1968

5 Appendixes

1. Hamlet Evaluation System (RCS - MACCORDS-03-67)
2. Area Assessment System (RCS - MACCORDS-03.1-67)
3. Program Evaluation System (PES and PES/H) (To be published) (RCS - MACCORDS-03.2-67)
4. RD Team Evaluation System (To be published) (RCS - MACCORDS-03.3-67)
5. RF/PF Evaluation System (To be published) (RCS - MACCORDS-03.4-67)

5 10/10/68 (1)

GruO 3021.1
27 Sep 1968

JOINT DIRECTIVE 4-67

MACCORDS FIELD REPORTING SYSTEM

APPENDIX 1 to ANNEX C (RCS-MACCORDS-03-67)

THE HAMLET EVALUATION SYSTEM

1. PURPOSE: To provide instructions and guidance for the preparation and submission of the Hamlet Evaluation System (HES) Report.

2. GENERAL:

a. The HES Report provides the data base for the Hamlet Evaluation System. Reports based on HES are forwarded to the highest levels of government. Therefore, expeditious and reliable handling of the components of the HES Report is essential.

b. Four forms are used:

(1) Hamlet Evaluation Worksheet (HEW): A form to be used by district advisors to facilitate the initial recording of hamlet evaluations, population and problem areas. (Tab A)

(2) Hamlet Evaluation Summary Form (HESF): An automatic data processing form on which the district advisor records hamlet evaluations, problem responses and other data from the HEW. (Tab B)

(3) GVN Hamlet Classification Form: An automatic data processing form on which the province advisor records GVN hamlet security classification data and New Life Development hamlet statistics. (Tab C)

(4) Remarks Form: A form used by district and province senior advisors to explain unusual situations such as population shifts, unusual answers, contradictions, etc. (Tab D)

c. The above four forms are classified CONFIDENTIAL when completed.

3. RESPONSIBILITIES:

ENCLOSURE (1)

Gru0 3021.1
27 Sep 1968

a. The District Senior Advisor (DSA) will:

(1) Coordinate, as necessary, with GVN civil and military officials and US personnel to obtain information required to complete the Hamlet Evaluation Summary Form.

(2) Use the Hamlet Evaluation Worksheet to record individual hamlet assessments and then submit the Hamlet Evaluation Summary Form in two copies to the Province Senior Advisor each month. The Hamlet Evaluation Summary Form will include only changes from the preceding month.

(3) Explain unusual changes in hamlet status and sign the Remarks Form (Tab D) to accompany the Hamlet Evaluation Summary Form.

b. The Province Senior Advisor (PSA) will:

(1) Review the Hamlet Evaluation Summary Forms submitted by district advisors and submit explanatory comments on any nonconcurrences with evaluations made by a DSA. No evaluation entries made by the DSA may be changed without his concurrence.

(2) Be responsible for conducting the hamlet evaluations and preparing the Hamlet Evaluation Summary Forms for those districts having no DSA assigned.

(3) Sign the Remarks Form (Tab D) initiated by the DSA.

c. The PSA will prepare and submit the GVN Hamlet Classification Form based on information obtained from GVN sources

PROCEDURES

The DSA, using the Hamlet Evaluation Worksheet, will evaluate all hamlets in the district except those under VC control. The DSA is encouraged to record reasons for selected evaluations and problem areas in the Remarks Form (Tab D) affixed to the Hamlet Evaluation Summary Form.

b. Each hamlet to be rated is evaluated in terms of six basic factors:

(1) Viet Cong Military Activities

(2) Viet Cong Subversive and Political Activities.

(3) Friendly Defensive and Security Capabilities.

ENCLOSURE (1)

Gru0 3021.1
27 Sep 1983

(4) Administrative and Political Activities.

(5) Health, Education and Welfare Activities.

(6) Economic Development.

c. Under each of these factors are three specific indicators designed to represent the status of RD for that factor. Five categories for each indicator are described and represented by letters E through A. The evaluator selects one category for each indicator which best represents the current status of the hamlet.

d. The summary statements on the Hamlet Evaluation Worksheet may not describe exactly the status of the hamlet being rated. A more detailed explanation of each indicator is provided at Tab E. These explanations provide guidance to assist in determining each rating.

e. The Hamlet Evaluation Worksheet also provides a multiple choice form to facilitate assessment of problem areas at the hamlet level. These assessments should be made on the basis of the best information available and often will represent the evaluator's opinion. A more detailed explanation of each problem area question is provided at Tab F.

f. Entries made on the Hamlet Evaluation Worksheet will be transferred to the Hamlet Evaluation Summary Form. The worksheet and one copy of the summary form will be retained by the district advisor. The original and one copy of the summary form will be forwarded to the province team for review.

g. District evaluations should reach the province team NLT the first day of the month.

h. The province team, after reviewing the Hamlet Evaluation Summary Form, may include comments or nonconurrences with the Remarks Form originated at the district and affixed to the summary form. The original Hamlet Evaluation Summary Form and Remarks Form will be attached to the original copy of the GVN Hamlet Classification Form, prepared by the province advisor, and forwarded directly as "bullet copy" to Headquarters MACV, ATTN: MACCORDS-RAD, to arrive by the eight day of the month.

i. The second copy of the Hamlet Evaluation Summary Form and Remarks Form, together with the second copy of the GVN Hamlet Classification Form, will be forwarded through channels. Intermediate headquarters are encouraged

ENCLOSURE (1)

GRNO 3021.1

27 Sep 1966

to comment on the submissions, using the Remarks Form of the Hamlet Evaluation Report.

j. MACCORDS-RAD will review, analyze and process the submissions and return updated, pre-printed Hamlet Evaluation Summary Forms and GVN Hamlet Classification Forms to provinces and districts. These forms then will be used by province and district advisors to record corrections and changes for submission the following month.

5. RECISSIONS: Joint OCO/MACV Directive 1-67, 2 January 1967.

6 Tabs

- A. Hamlet Evaluation Worksheet (FOUO published separately)
- B. Hamlet Evaluation Summary Form (FOUO published separately)
- C. GVN Hamlet Classification Form (FOUO published separately)
- D. Remarks Form (Published separately)
- E. Detailed Guidance - Indicators (FOUO published separately)
- F. Detailed Guidance - Problems (FOUO published separately)

ENCLOSURE (1)

Support of hamlet self-defense force other than PF by district and/or province officials:

- ☐ 1. Received adequate support as requested.
☐ 2. Some support received but inadequate.
☐ 3. Support promised but not received.
☐ 4. Support needed but none promised.
☐ 5. Has self-defense force but outside support not required.
☐ 6. Has no self-defense force.
☐ 7. Unknown.

9. Supplies from outside hamlet or village for self-help projects:

- a. From GVN sources
☐ 1. On time and adequate.
☐ 2. Delayed but adequate.
☐ 3. Inadequate and delayed.
☐ 4. Promised but none received.
☐ 5. Promised for future delivery.
☐ 6. None required.
☐ 7. Unknown.
- b. From non-GVN sources
☐ 1. On time and adequate.
☐ 2. Delayed but adequate.
☐ 3. Inadequate and delayed.
☐ 4. Promised but none received.
☐ 5. Promised for future delivery.
☐ 6. None required.
☐ 7. Unknown.

10. Access to drinking water:

- a. Local sources of drinking water are inadequate. ☐ 1. Yes ☐ 2. No ☐ 3. Unknown
b. Plans and/or work underway to improve local drinking water supply ☐ 1. Yes ☐ 2. No ☐ 3. Unknown

11. Refugee problems:

- a. What percent of the hamlet population are temporary residents who are refugees?
☐ 1. None or very few ☐ 4. More than 50%
☐ 2. 10-25 % ☐ 5. 100% (refugee camps only).
☐ 3. 25-50% ☐ 6. Unknown.
- b. Is GVN assistance adequate to enable them to subsist?
☐ 1. None required. ☐ 4. Not applicable (refugees only).
☐ 2. Required and adequate. ☐ 5. Unknown.
☐ 3. Required but inadequate.

12. Tax collection (in kind or in cash):

- a. By the Viet-Cong. ☐ 1. Yes ☐ 2. No ☐ 3. Unknown
b. By the GVN ☐ 1. Yes ☐ 2. No ☐ 3. Unknown

13. US Advisor's access to hamlet:

- a. By surface from district headquarters:
☐ 1. Weapons not necessary.
☐ 2. No special security arrangements necessary but weapons must be carried.
☐ 3. Special security arrangements necessary.
☐ 4. Not feasible except by accompanying an operation.
☐ 5. Access unfeasible.
☐ 6. Unknown.
- b. From airstrip or helicopter pad nearest to hamlet:
☐ 1. Weapons not necessary.
☐ 2. No special security arrangements necessary but weapons must be carried.
☐ 3. Special security arrangements necessary.
☐ 4. Not feasible except by accompanying an operation.
☐ 5. Access unfeasible
☐ 6. Unknown.

14. Condition of main routes from hamlet to village center:

- ☐ 1. In good repair, adequate for current traffic.
☐ 2. Being repaired or improved (relocated, dredged, new bridge, etc.)
☐ 3. Difficult or dangerous to travel because of poor repair.
☐ 4. Impassable (flooded, bridge out, stream dried up, etc.)
☐ 5. Unknown.

HAMLET EVALUATION WORKSHEET*

DIRECTIONS FOR COMPLETING HAMLET EVALUATION WORKSHEET: All hamlets are to be evaluated except those that are considered by the subsector evaluator to be under VC control. It is suggested that a separate Worksheet be used for each evaluated hamlet. During the month, update the evaluation and the problem responses as necessary. At the end of the month review the worksheets. It is recommended that the response selected for each of the 18 indicators be entered in the righthand column. Entries that differ from those made in the preceding month should be transferred to the Hamlet Evaluation Summary Form (HESF) for transmittal to MACV in Saigon. If worksheet entries are made in pencil, the same form can be used repeatedly.

1. Identify the hamlet by name and location at the top of the Worksheet. Type of hamlet refers to AD Plan hamlet types: Ap Doi Moi (ADM), Ap Tan Sinh (ATS), Ap Cong Co (ACC), or Ap Binh Dinh (ABD). Use a check mark to indicate whether or not the hamlet is in a National Priority Area (NPA). Enter the best available population figure and indicate by a check mark whether the figure is reliable or unreliable. A reliable figure would fall within 10% of an accurate head count in the opinion of the subsector evaluator. Use a check mark to record that the hamlet has been visited during the month by a US District Team member or other designated US personnel and/or by a member of the GVN District staff.

2. For each of the 18 indicators, select the response that best represents the hamlet conditions during the month. The brief responses given on the Worksheet are intended to summarize steps in progress from E to A. Refer to Joint MACV-OCO Directive 1-87, 2 Jan 87, Annex E, Guidance for Evaluating HES, for more complete discussion. It should be understood that within each indicator, signs of progress in one rating, "D" for example, are implied in a related "C" rating if not repeated in the description of the "C" rating. The responses refer to the hamlet itself unless otherwise stated.

3. Enter in the boxes at the far right the number that represents the confidence you have in the validity of the information upon which your ratings for each factor were based: (1) No confidence; (2) Low confidence; (3) Medium confidence; (4) High confidence; (5) Complete confidence.

4. Changes in the wording of indicators are printed in upper case.

HAMLET PROBLEMS DURING MONTH

Select the one best answer for each section of each question

1. Incidents of misconduct by friendly elements adversely affecting friendly relations with the hamlet populace:

- a. US Military: ☐ 1. None; ☐ 2. Minor only; ☐ 3. Serious; ☐ 4. Inapplicable; ☐ 5. Unknown
b. Other FVMAF: ☐ 1. None; ☐ 2. Minor only; ☐ 3. Serious; ☐ 4. Inapplicable; ☐ 5. Unknown
c. ARVN: ☐ 1. None; ☐ 2. Minor only; ☐ 3. Serious; ☐ 4. Inapplicable; ☐ 5. Unknown
d. RF/PP: ☐ 1. None; ☐ 2. Minor only; ☐ 3. Serious; ☐ 4. Inapplicable; ☐ 5. Unknown
e. RD Team: ☐ 1. None; ☐ 2. Minor only; ☐ 3. Serious; ☐ 4. Inapplicable; ☐ 5. Unknown

2. Actions by friendly elements during military operations adversely affecting relations with hamlet populace: (including but not limited to fire from any type of weapon, destructive passage within hamlet area, defoliation)

- ☐ 1. None;
☐ 2. Minor only.
☐ 3. Serious
☐ 4. Unknown.

3. Corruption or tyranny of hamlet or village officials:

- ☐ 1. No indications
☐ 2. Rumored.
☐ 3. Suspected but no proof.
☐ 4. Solid indication.
☐ 5. Unknown.

Continued on page 3.

FOUO
CONFIDENTIAL WHEN FILLED IN

FOUO
CONFIDENTIAL WHEN FILLED IN

HAMLET EVALUATION WORKSHEET

MACRDS

NAME OF EVALUATOR

DISTRICT _____ VILLAGE _____ HAMLET NAME _____ GVN HAMLET NO. _____ TYPE OF HAMLET _____ NPA _____ HAMLET POPULATION _____
 Hamlet visited by US _____ GVN _____ Yes _____ No _____

☐ Reliable figure
☐ Unreliable figure

FACTORS		Conditions in Hamlets under Varying Degrees of GVN Control			
Indicators	E	D	C	B	A
1. VC Military Activities a. Village Guerrilla (G) Unit	VII Gv combat effective (no one identified or eliminated; VC VII defenses largely intact).	VII Gv reduced somewhat in men & defenses; can attack in plain strength from within VII or 1-2 HRS TRAVEL TO HAMLET.	Military control of VII broken, most G identified. 50% losses, hangers destroyed, actively below plat level; can harass but not prevent GVN activities in hamlet.	VII G control reduced to 1-2 hamlets on VII periphery or 2-3 HRS TRAVEL TO HAMLET; could make desperation raid. Activities of Gv from adjacent VII limited by no harvest or by friendly defensive.	VII G remains driven out. No threat of harassment or intimidation from Gv in adjacent VII.
b. External Forces (EF) (Prov. Main Force unit in district)	EF intact; may have base. A can make company-size attacks WITHIN A FEW HRS TRAVEL TO HAMLET. Hamlet or VII may have been fired on and/or assaulted during month.	Unit in district reduced up to 25%; EF otherwise as in E.	Unit in district reduced up to 50% in men & arms, or base near hamlet destroyed. No VC operations company-size or larger, no mortar or 82 fire near hamlet during month.	Base in district OR WITHIN 8 HRS TRAVEL to hamlet destroyed; unit in district only marginally effective. Not capable of company-size operations.	Unit in district eliminated or ineffective; no attacks by platoon or larger & no mortar, ROCKET, or 82 fire in district or near hamlet. Attack possible only from outside district.
c. Military Incidents Affecting Hamlet	Armed VC units in hamlet at night; sometimes in day; MAY HAVE FIRED ON OR ATTACKED OR OVERRUN HAMLET. Little or no GVN authority at night. Routes to hamlet may be interrupted by day; may have been ambushed near hamlet.	GVN activity under harassment. MAY BE MINES and/or frequent sniping on routes to hamlet; VC night activity in hamlet.	Long range fire at night; VC activity in adjacent hamlets. May be occasional sniping AND/OR MINES on routes to hamlet.	No incidents in hamlet during month; infrequent harassments within VII or nearby.	No incidents including harassments in VII or nearby hamlets or on routes to VII during month.
2. VC Political & Subversive Activities a. Hamlet Infrastructure	Party cadre underground by day but free to intimidate populace at night. No assurance party structure or leaders uncovered.	Members and most leaders of VC hamlet organizations uncovered & neutralized but HAMLET undercover agents still operative.	Initial indicators most of Party apparatus identified; some agents under VII or Dist control still operative.	All normal Party apparatus identified & dealt with; agents under VII or Dist control eliminated.	Whole Party apparatus APPEARS TO HAVE BEEN eliminated or neutralized.
b. Village Infrastructure	Despite some individual identification, VII apparatus intact, functioning.	Some VII cadre eliminated but apparatus fairly effective.	Most key members of VII apparatus identified, up to half neutralized, effectiveness curtailed.	Most of VII apparatus leaders eliminated; almost all members identified. Little coordinated VC activity in VII.	VII organization wiped out. Few covert agents directed from outside but stymied by friendly security organizations.
c. Activities Affecting Hamlet	VC apparatus can undermine GVN in hamlet by incidents ranging from propaganda thru terrorism & sabotage, at least at night. ALL TAXATION BY VC.	No overt propaganda but terrorism or sabotage during past month. VC TAXATION PREDOMINANT.	No overt VC incidents in hamlet, few signs of covert subversion but SOME CONTINUING VC TAXATION OR EXTORTION SUSPECTED.	No subversion DETECTED in hamlet & no incidents in adjacent hamlets during month.	No subversive activity DETECTED in VII during month.
3. Security (Friendly Capabilities) a. Hamlet Defense Plan & Organization	No night defense except by external forces although required. PFP PRESENT DURING DAY.	Day & night defense by external forces & PFP BUT local DEFENSE FORCE recruiting & training MAY BE underway.	Local warning & communication systems operative; some armed local defenders but main reliance is on ANALYSIS AND TREATY or external defense. IN URBAN AREAS, POLICE OR GUARDIANSHIP INADEQUATE.	Defense force organized BUT ONLY PARTIALLY EFFECTIVE; adequate plan and communications for its use. IN URBAN AREAS, ADEQUATE POLICE BY DAY.	Adequate defense force & adequate defense plan, following poor RD plan WHERE APPLICABLE, IN URBAN AREAS ADEQUATE POLICE BY DAY AND NIGHT.
b. Friendly External Force (PEF) Assistance	PEF ARE INADEQUATE IN STRENGTH to meet needs for arty, air support, reaction ground forces, etc.	PEF meets needs but only effective marginally, e.g., delayed response, no night response, not aggressive, etc.	Availability and effectiveness of PEF generally meet needs.	Need for PEF less than E, can be met adequately.	Need for PEF very slight; can be met adequately.
c. Internal Security Activities	No agents, no intelligence from public except possibly sporadic police informant.	No complete intelligence system, a few trained or voluntary informants; some data from census grievance WHERE OPERATIVE.	Hamlet chief gets useful info (rob. informants & some volunteers & from census grievance WHERE PRESENT).	Effective informant system; considerable useful info from public.	EFFECTIVE INTERNAL SECURITY APPARATUS COVERS HAMLET: hamlet security depot, police, informant system, willing public participation.
4. Administrative & Political Activities a. GVN Governmental Management	APPOINTED NON-RESIDENT GVN officials, marginally effective, IRREGULARLY present, only in daytime.	Some local participation in hamlet management; GVN officials usually present but only in daytime.	Managerial groups resident, mostly local; appointed or elected, usually present at night.	Complete managerial group fully resident includes elected hamlet chief; fully functioning but with some external support SUCH AS RD TEAM OR OTHER GVN WORKERS.	EFFECTIVE elected hamlet governing body; ELECTED VII COUNCIL; all officials in hamlet & VII residents.
b. GVN Response to Popular Aspirations (Grievance Redress Program)	NO CONSIDERATION BY LOCAL OFFICIALS TO POPULAR ASPIRATIONS. No census activity or grievance program.	OCCASIONAL RESPONSE BY LOCAL OFFICIALS TO POPULAR ASPIRATIONS. Census grievance program MAY HAVE BEEN started in hamlet or VII.	Some individual grievances being resolved; IN HAMLET OR VII, local census grievance program completed.	More GVN actions being taken to meet people's grievances & aspirations IN HAMLET OR VII.	Substantial evidence of GVN efforts to meet aspirations. RESIDENT GVN grievance representative IN RD HAMLET OR VII.
c. Information/PSYOP Activities	Little or none.	OCCASIONAL PUBLIC INFO activity public indifference.	Frequent activity even if indirect, public impact evident; civic associations beginning to start.	Systematic coverage; increased awareness of gov't programs & personnel. Increased numbers of rallies; people participating freely where civic associations are functioning.	RESIDENT VILLAGE OFFICIAL executing regular program. Active public awareness of GVN personnel; impending elections, district programs, etc.; civic associations active and effective.
5. Health, Education & Welfare a. Medical Services & Public Health	NO OR INFREQUENT VISITS TO HAMLET OR VII BY MEDCAP OR SIMILAR TEAM.	Only periodic, scheduled visits TO HAMLET OR VII BY MEDCAP OR SIMILAR TEAM.	Full-time coverage by external means. MAY include ambulatory intervention, immunizations, MAY BE MEDIC & MEDICAL PERSONNEL IN VII, BUT MODERATELY TRAINED & EQUIPPED.	MEDICAL PROGRAMS, FACILITIES, SUPPLIES, TRAINED PERSONNEL (AT LEAST MEDIC & MIDWIFE) ACCESSIBLE BUT OVERCROWDED, OVERWORKED IN SHORT SUPPLY.	ADEQUATE MEDICAL PROGRAMS, HOSPITAL FACILITIES, SUPPLIES, TRAINED PERSONNEL READILY ACCESSIBLE, GENERAL PUBLIC PARTICIPATION.
b. Education	No GVN-sponsored educational facilities; may be informal instruction by VII elders, monks, cash priest.	Some PARTTIME formal instruction ACCESSIBLE BUT no permanent classrooms OR CLASSROOMS NOT FULLY USED.	Formal, full-time public primary education program in permanent classrooms IN HAMLET OR VII, but overcrowded as to about 50% of children not enrolled.	AT LEAST 60% OF CHILDREN receive primary education in READILY ACCESSIBLE permanent classrooms, may be some adult classes.	AT LEAST 90% OF CHILDREN receive primary education in readily accessible permanent classrooms; secondary school accessible; adult education program.
c. Welfare	No social welfare activity.	Some welfare activity BY GVN AND/OR FOREIGN SOURCES to aid needy families, but basic need not determined.	Basic needs determined, some being met.	Basic needs being met; some special benefits being paid by GVN.	Basic needs satisfied; special benefits clarified & being paid; benefit channels continue to function.
6. Economic Development a. Self Help Activity; Civic Action	None.	People assist in selecting projects but outsiders do most of work.	Projects from RD OR OTHER PROVINCE PROGRAMS OR CIVIL ACTION teams with local consent & some LOCAL participation in these abroad.	All programmed projects under way, chosen to satisfy aspirations; popular participation with outside aid.	Some projects completed; local pride general, continuing external interest and support for new projects. IN SOME URBAN AREAS NO SUCH PROJECTS NEEDED.
b. Public Works (require outside agencies; affect at least village)	SOME TYPE OF PUBLIC WORKS MUCH NEEDED BUT none planned or undertaken.	Some detailed planning AT DISTRICT for projects under RD OR OTHER GVN programs.	Planned projects suitable & meet public needs; some work started.	Priority projects underway; resources at hand; PEOPLE AWARE OF ADVANTAGES PROJECTS WILL PROVIDE.	PROJECTS WELL ALONG OR COMPLETED; PUBLIC IS USING NEW FACILITIES. IN SOME URBAN AREAS NO SUCH PROJECTS NEEDED.
c. Economic Improvement Programs (Farming, Fishing, Livestock Raising, New Urban Industries, etc.)	None.	Some planning at district; a few basic programs started IN VII.	VN TECHNICAL WORKERS IN VII PARTTIME; basic programs underway; people increased.	GVN TECHNICAL WORKERS RESIDENT IN VII; more advanced programs started; increased popular support and participation.	Most programs well advanced in response to popular demand & continuing participation; OR SUCH PROGRAMS NOT NEEDED.

Reported Evaluation as of	
1a	
1b	
1c	
Confidence level	
2a	
2b	
2c	
Confidence level	
3a	
3b	
3c	
Confidence level	
4a	
4b	
4c	
Confidence level	
5a	
5b	
5c	
Confidence level	
6a	
6b	
6c	
Confidence level	

FOUO
 CONFIDENTIAL WHEN FILED

000 3121.1
27 Sep 1968

1. Items to be considered in selecting responses to problem questions

a. Problem 1a through 1e. Incidents of misconduct by friendly elements adversely affecting friendly relations with the hamlet populace.

Ratings

1. None - Friendly elements present, no incidents adversely affecting relations. In the case of an already hostile populace, no further impairment.

2. Minor only - Affecting relations of one or two families; small personal grievances.

3. Serious - Affecting relations in majority of populace; disruption of relations with entire hamlet.

4. Inapplicable - No friendly forces present.

5. Unknown.

b. Problem 2. Incidents by friendly elements during military operations adversely affecting relations with hamlet populace.

Ratings

1. None - No damaging actions or no friendly operations in the vicinity.

2. Minor only - Affecting relations with only a few persons or families.

3. Serious - Affecting relations with majority of populace; disruption of relations with entire hamlet.

4. Unknown.

c. Problem 3. Corruption or tyranny of hamlet or village officials.

1. No indications.

2. Rumored - third or fourth - hand information.

3. Suspected but no proof - second-hand information.

4. Solid indication - first-hand witnessing of effects of corruption.

Enclosure (3)

GruO 3121.1
27 Sep 1968

5. Unknown.

d. Problem 4. Support of hamlet self-defense force other than PF by district and/or province officials.

Ratings

1. Received adequate support as requested - a self-defense force was initiated, aid was sought and given.

2. Some support was received but was inadequate.

3. Support was promised but not received.

4. Support needed but none promised - a request was made but either denied or not answered.

5. Has a self-defense force but outside support is not required.

6. Has no self-defense force.

7. Unknown.

e. Problem 5a and 5b. Supplies from outside hamlet or village for self-help projects.

Ratings.

1. On time and adequate - a self-help project was initiated, aid was sought and given on time.

2. Delayed but adequate - the required aid was late in arriving.

3. Inadequate and delayed - aid was given but was late and inadequate for the local project.

4. Promised but none received.

5. Promised for future delivery.

6. None required - either no self-help project underway or needs met locally.

7. Unknown.

f. Problem 6a. Local sources of drinking water are inadequate. Either there is no readily available supply of water or the water which is available is unsanitary.

Enclosure (3)

Gru0 3121.1
27 Sep 1968

Ratings

1. Yes.
2. No.
3. Unknown.

g. Problem 6b. Plans and/or work underway to improve local drinking water supply. The answer to this is dependent upon answer to 6a.

Ratings

1. Yes.
2. No.
3. Unknown.

h. Problem 7a. Refugees are those persons displaced by war who intend to reside only temporarily in the hamlet being evaluated. Estimate the percent of population who are refugees in the rated hamlet.

Ratings

1. None or very few.
2. 10 - 25%
3. 25 - 50%
4. More than 50%
5. 100% (refugee camps only). This classifies the hamlet being evaluated as a refugee camp.
6. Unknown.

1. Problem 7b.

Ratings

1. None required.
2. Required and adequate.
3. Required but inadequate - This answer covers aid not arriving, arriving late, or simply not being enough to meet the needs.

Enclosure (3)

Gru0 3121.1
27 Sep 1968

4. Not applicable (refugees only). This question does not apply to those hamlets in which no refugees reside.

5. Unknown.

j. Problem 8a and 8b. Tax Collection (in kind or cash). Is there tax collection of any kind carried out by representatives of VC or GVN?

Ratings

1. Yes.

2. No.

3. Unknown.

k. Problem 9a and 9b. US Advisor's access to hamlet.

Ratings

1. Weapons not necessary - This answer to both questions indicates the highest degree of security and confidence in accessibility.

2. No special security arrangements necessary but weapons must be carried. This indicates that there is some danger in travel but not enough to block access.

3. Special security arrangements necessary - the danger in access is restrictive.

4. Not feasible except by accompanying an operation. Large scale support needed for any approach to be safe.

5. Access unfeasible. The access is through such heavily enemy-held positions that there is no access. Ratings obtained from outside source.

6. Unknown.

1. Problem 10. Condition of main routes from hamlet to village center.

Ratings

1. In good repair, adequate for current traffic.

2. Being repaired or improved (relocated, dredged, new bridge, etc.)

Enclosure (3)

Gruo 3121.1
27 Sep 1968

- repair. 3. Difficult or dangerous to travel because of poor
etc.) 4. Impassable (flooded, bridge out, stream dried up,
5. Unknown.

Enclosure (3)

27 Sep 1968

HAMLET EVALUATION SHEET

VILLAGE: _____ POPULATION: _____
 HAMLET: _____ DATE CAP ENTERED AREA: _____
 ID #: _____ HAMLET CHIEF: _____
 COORD: _____ OTHER OFFICIAL/CONTACTS: _____
 CVA SERIAL: _____

FACTOR JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN

1a _____
 1b _____
 1c _____
 2a _____
 2b _____
 2c _____
 3a _____
 3b _____
 3c _____
 4a _____
 4b _____
 4c _____
 5a _____
 5b _____
 5c _____
 6a _____
 6b _____
 6c _____

NO. STATIS: _____
 NO. IMPROVING: _____
 NO. WORSENING: _____

ruo 3121.1
27 Sep 1968PROBLEM JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN

1a

1b

1c

1d

1e

2

3

4

5a

5b

6a

6b

7a

7b

8a

8b

9a

9b

10

ENCLOSURE (4)

DECLASSIFIED

Tab 8, Oct 68

DECLASSIFIED