



Christian Anti-Communism Crusade

P. O. BOX 890
124 E. FIRST ST
LONG BEACH, CALIF. 90801
HEmlock 7-0941

August 1, 1979

U.S. COMMUNISTS STATE THEY CAN ONLY WIN THROUGH THE OFFENSIVE OF INTERNATIONAL COMMUNISM

It is amazing, but there are still people in powerful positions who believe that U.S. Communism is an indigenous national movement with no organic links to world communism. These are much the same people who believed that the Vietcong were Vietnamese nationalists and patriots and that the Vietnam war was a Civil War. Such delusions are proof against facts and reason. Nothing the communists say or do can convince them.

The U.S. Communists proudly proclaim that they are a part, and a dependent part, of a world communist movement. One recent statement to this effect is published in the June, 1979 edition of POLITICAL AFFAIRS, the Theoretical Journal of the Communist Party, U.S.A., the largest and most active Communist Party in this country and which has a record of total submission to the Communist Party of the Soviet Union. Consider this statement taken from a draft resolution of the Central Committee of the C.P.U.S.A. on the Afro-American Struggle:

"Victory in the national struggle can be gained in our day only as a function of the international struggle of the working class against monopoly and imperialism... This struggle unfolds on a particular sector of the common global front of the unitary world revolutionary process." (Page 21)

Let us analyze this statement. What does it say?

1. Communist Victory

The U. S. Communists are engaged in a struggle within the U.S.A. in which they seek victory. What do they mean by victory? They have stated on numerous occasions that their objective is that the U.S. Communist Party shall exercise a monopoly of political power within the U.S.A. and impose the "Dictatorship of the Proletariat." Once in power, the communists would use their own military forces to destroy the economic system of Free Enterprise known as Capitalism, and to replace it with collective ownership and a fully planned economy. They would also destroy the capitalist state, particularly such state agencies as: a) The military forces; b) The police; c) The U.S. Congress, state legislatures, and local authorities; d) The judicial system; e) The bureaucracy; and, f) The educational system; and construct a socialist state with new communist-controlled institutions to replace it.

2. Path to Victory

The U.S. Communists affirm they will not be able to achieve this by their own resources and actions. They will only be able to do it as "a function of the

International Struggle." What is the "International Struggle?"

The article states that the respective sides are "the working class" and "monopoly and imperialism." These terms are abstractions but, to the communists, they have their concrete manifestations. The "working class" allegedly controls the Soviet Union, the East European Satellites, Afghanistan, South Yemen, Ethiopia, Angola, Mozambique and Cuba, while "monopoly and imperialism" control the U.S.A., Western Europe and Japan. Therefore, the "International Struggle" is between these groups of countries.

3. It's a World War

The world revolutionary process is a unitary process. It therefore requires a unitary command. This supreme command is the privilege and responsibility of the Soviet Union as it has the moral authority because it is the "First Country of Socialism" and the military authority because it possesses the weapons and the material resources.

This unity manifests itself in diversity. The struggle has many fronts just as the war against Hitler and his allies had many fronts in World War II. Although many of the commanders of these fronts had much local autonomy, there was a Supreme Command which selected priorities, allotted resources and coordinated tactics.

Peaceful Revolution

From time to time the Soviet Communists pay lip service to the concept that the communist party of each individual country has the responsibility of seizing and exercising power in that country. What they actually mean is shown by their selection of examples of spontaneous national action and peaceful revolution. Moscow is now presenting the brutal takeover of the three Baltic Republics: Latvia, Lithuania, and Estonia, as shining examples of peaceful revolution.

This claim that the communist conquest of the Baltic countries was the result of peaceful internal revolution is made in the February, 1979 edition of the leading Soviet theoretical journal, KOMMUNIST. According to the writer, Lithuania, Latvia, and Estonia proved to be the *weak link in the imperialist chain*. "The victory of the 1940 revolutions marked a new breach within the imperialist system and one more step in the development of the world's revolutionary process initiated by the Great October Revolution."

These revolutions, KOMMUNIST said, were the 'first victorious revolutions in history not accompanied by armed risings or civil war' and confirmed Lenin's predictions of the 'possibility for a peaceful yielding of power by the bourgeoisie under circumstances in which its opposition has been doomed to failure in advance.' At this point, KOMMUNIST added the significant comment that 'under present circumstances such a course of events has become more realistic.'

Thus, the Soviet Communists classify the surrender of a country to the military might of the red army as "peaceful" revolution. They also consider this a "realistic" way to the "development of the world's revolutionary process initiated by the Great October Revolution."

Scenario for the U.S.A.

It requires little insight to see how this could be applied to the U.S.A. It is simply the application

of the formula that I have used over and over again. An ancient Roman Senator allegedly concluded every speech with the statement: "Carthage must be destroyed." I feel kin to him as I give the formula for communist conquest so often: EXTERNAL ENCIRCLEMENT, PLUS INTERNAL DEMORALIZATION, PLUS THERMONUCLEAR BLACKMAIL, LEADS TO PROGRESSIVE SURRENDER. Every country conquered by communism adds to the forces encircling the U.S.A.

The Role of Communist China

In this article we have considered the programs and objectives of the Soviet Union and the communist forces affiliated with it, with special reference to the C.P.U.S.A. The Chinese Communists have a similar but independent long-range program.

The Chinese Communists are determined to prevent the Soviet Communists from attaining their objective in their present drive to world power as this would prevent the Chinese from attaining the stature necessary to mount their own program for world conquest.

This Chinese determination acts simultaneously as an accelerator and a brake on the Soviet program. It is an accelerator because it impels the Soviet Union to hasten to establish world power before China can develop its economic and military potential; it is a brake because the Soviets do not wish to drive the U.S.A. and China into a military alliance.

The first essential for the defeat of the "unitary world revolutionary process" is to recognize its existence and to understand its dynamics. While we ignore the directive force of communist doctrine and insist on regarding communist offensives as being motivated solely by nationalism, we are doomed to being constantly deceived and surprised. What Cuban national interest is served by the presence of 50,000 Cuban troops in Africa? What Russian national interest demanded an investment of \$6 million per day in Cuba? Such actions are consistent conduct for people motivated by the visions and imperatives of Marxian-Leninism but certainly not of those motivated by narrow nationalism.

It is as important for our leaders to study and understand the dynamics provided by communist dialectics as it is for generals to study military science and for doctors to study pathology.

THE BIG LIE--THE DOCTRINE OF CLASS

Communism is based upon a big lie. This monstrous falsehood is that the citizens of capitalist countries such as the U.S.A. are divided into two mutually exclusive and conflicting classes (the working class or proletariat, and the business class or bourgeoisie) with separate values and rights.

According to this doctrine, there is no such thing as a "human right"; there is only a "class right". The only "right" possessed by the bourgeoisie is the right to be destroyed.

This doctrine justifies the inhuman and evil genocide taking place in Vietnam. Those being driven out to sea are allegedly members of the bourgeoisie receiving their "class rights".

Even the authorities in Communist China have painted out the absurdity of the idea that millions of capitalists existed in a country with an economy as backward as that of Vietnam.

Nevertheless, the communists continue to proclaim brazenly this doctrine of class. An article by a Russian theoretician, K. Yatskevich, in the June 29 edition of the DAILY WORLD, captioned "'Human Rights' or the Rights of a Class," contains these statements:

"Marxism-Leninism teaches us that any phenomenon in the life of society should be viewed not in abstract terms, but scientifically, from the vantage point of relations between classes in modern society.

"The U.S. concern over the observance of 'human rights' has quite a definite ideological aim behind it. On this basis they would have the world believe that the imperialists exclude the political or class principle from their domestic policy and from their relations with other states, leaving only the moral observance of the abstract 'rights of man.'

"This is a preposterous bluff, and besides an outrageous piece of interference in the internal affairs of sovereign socialist states." (Page 9)

RUSSIA'S VIETNAM: ETHIOPIA Vs. ERITREA

(When Communists fight Communists, as reported by Communists)

All over the world, communists are fighting and killing communists, thus reducing to absurdity the claim of Marxism that the triumph of communism means the abolition of war.

One intra-communist war that has received little attention is the war between Ethiopia and Eritrea. Here is a report on that war which first appeared in the English Trotskyist weekly newspaper, *Socialist Challenge*, and which is taken from the July 2 edition of the U.S. Trotskyist magazine, *INTERCONTINENTAL PRESS*:

"Russia's Vietnam" is an inexact and incorrect description. But on the emotional level it does sum up what is going on in Eritrea.

Led by Soviet generals and serviced by Cuban and Soviet "advisers," the Ethiopian military regime launched its most serious offensive against Eritrea on 18 November. Subsequent fighting has led to the recapture of all the major towns held by the nationalists since early 1977.

The result has been the uprooting of large sections of the population, the exacerbation of food shortages to famine proportions and the retreat of the nationalists into the countryside.

Perhaps as many as a third of the four million Eritreans are now refugees. By last July the numbers in Ethiopian-occupied Asmara had shrunk from 250,000 to less than 100,000. Thirty thousand more were made homeless in the Ethiopian capture of Keren in November.

The civilian casualties in this latest offensive have been horrific. The Eritrean Relief Association reports:

"In large areas crops have been burnt to ashes. About 160 villages have suffered heavy damage and 40 of them have been completely ruined. 5,000 civilians are reported seriously wounded or dead, and 8,000 others require medical attention."

Even worse is the nature of those casualties. People are not just killed and maimed; they are killed and maimed with Napalm B, cluster bombs and defoliant poisons. And all this in the name of socialism.

The plight of the Eritreans is forgotten on all sides. Being victims of the Soviet Union does not qualify them for Western aid. The British government has sent a measly £75,000 to the Red Cross and Red Crescent.

It refuses to send to the Eritrean Relief Association, the only such organisation operating in the war zones, because it is

linked to the Eritrean Peoples Liberation Front.

What aid there is never reaches those who need it. When the Eritreans took Massawa they discovered undistributed Red Cross food supplies.

John Pilger, one of an honourable handful of journalists to take up the Eritreans' case, has reported the human cost caused by the lack of medical supplies. Eritrea's 16—yes sixteen—trained doctors have virtually none of the most basic medical aids. For example, an Eritrean doctor writes to Pilger on how amputations are performed:

"The lucky few are given anaesthetic. But for most there is only surgical spirit and a mouth gag. This is terrible for the younger boys . . . but there is nothing we can do. We have nothing."

Almost all the refugees suffer from malaria and dysentery and one child in three has tuberculosis or trachoma or severe malnutrition or all three.

The two major Eritrean liberation organisations have disciplined, numerous and effective guerilla forces—probably militarily superior to anything of their type since the Vietnamese NLF.

EPLF communiques repeatedly point to Soviet and Cuban officering as the explanation for this sudden transformation.

These claims are difficult to substantiate since they come only from the Eritrean political organisations and a tiny number of journalists who have visited the country in recent weeks.

Nevertheless the evidence is impressive. Many refugees report the presence of Cuban troops operating the Ethiopian artillery and flying their MIG-19s, 21s, and 23s. One of them told Dan Connell of Reuters:

"We saw white men with the Ethiopians. Most of them were Cubans. . . . I saw them firing. The Ethiopian militia was in

front, and the whites were behind them firing the big weapons which were on heavy trucks."

The EPLF claims that the offensive is being led by two Soviet generals. Connell says that one of these is General Petrov, who led the assault on the Ogaden last year.

Under Petrov are 11 top Soviet officers and more than 1,000 other commissioned and non-commissioned officers. The EPLF also says Soviet warships have been bombarding the coastline and landing troops and supplies.

These reports are not definitive proof. But they offer strong evidence of one of the most brutal crimes against socialism by its self-styled proponents.

Yet, even on the rare occasions it mentions Eritrea, the left has almost totally ignored the possibility that Cuban and Soviet troops have been used.

Ethiopian rulers from Haile Selassie to Mengistu have trumpeted the need for unity.

But what unity is possible between oppressed peoples when one of the nations is burning the flesh off the other with Napalm B or penetrating its guts with the deadly needles of anti-personnel cluster bombs?

A joint Ethiopian-Cuban communique issued at the time of Fidel Castro's visit to Addis Ababa last September said that the "Cuban side also reaffirmed its absolute opposition to any kind of secession and expressed its firm belief that Ethiopia would solve all its problems in line with the principles of Marxism-Leninism."

The latter phrase is in danger of becoming newspeak for genocide.

Please remember the Christian Anti-Communism Crusade in your will.

AN AMERICAN COMMUNIST VISITS THE SOVIET UNION

A letter signed "A Friend of the PLP" appeared in the June 27 edition of CHALLENGE, the Revolutionary Communist Newspaper, published by the Progressive Labor Party. Members of the PLP consider themselves genuine communists, while they believe the Soviet Union is ruled by "revisionists." The impressions of this communist are interesting and informative. Where is this new and perfect man that is allegedly to be created by communism?

The Reversal of Workers' Power ***Racism, Revisionism and Capitalism***

Dear Challenge:

I recently returned from a trip to the Soviet Union and I think your readers might be interested in reading about my experiences and impressions. My contacts with the working class were, unfortunately, very limited, but I did manage to meet one official in the "Communist" Party and to visit with two "dissidents" in their homes. I also got to wander around freely in downtown Moscow and Leningrad and to discuss conditions with a research scientist whom I had met at one of the universities.

The reluctant "Communist."

Throughout my trip I kept asking people if they were Party members, because I wanted to talk to some to find out how they think, but I couldn't find anyone who was (or would admit to it). One of our tour guides said she didn't join the Party because one had to go to too many meetings, do "social work," and "understand politics completely." She just wasn't interested. The second guide's response was more revealing: "What? Me in the Party? No, only high officials are in the Party, and they're all men." The notion that the Party should consist mainly of workers, including women (!), was apparently completely foreign to this one, despite extensive indoctrination in "Marxism-Leninism."

My first and only encounter with an admitted Party member occurred on my last night there in the hotel bar, where some of the people in my group were trying to stay up all night to fight jet lag. A fellow sat down at our table and introduced himself as the director of the hotel industry in the city and asked us how we were enjoying our stay. When I asked him if he was a member of the "Communist" Party, he became very defensive and apolo-

getic: "Yes, I am sorry. I am a communist. Why do you ask me this? I am sorry; I have come to socialize and you bring up politics. Yes, I am sorry, but I am a communist." and so on. He finally calmed down when I assured him that I wasn't asking as a challenge, but only because I really wanted to meet a communist. He pointed out some people at the next table, one of whom was a KGB (secret police) agent who was watching him, a woman he said was a prostitute, and two black men who were visiting from Africa. He asked us to send him some books on hotel administration when we got back to the U.S. because there weren't any in the Soviet Union and he had to do everything on his own.

During this conversation the two black men got up from their table and one of them patted the prostitute on the head, at which point she kicked him. Startled, he began to walk away, but she followed and kicked him again, yelling something in Russian. At that point the man began kicking back. The KGB agent and the "communist" ran over and separated the two of them, walking the man out the door and the woman back to her table. When he got back to us, the "communist" began apologizing again, not about being a "communist" this time but about how sorry he was that we had to see a "European" woman being treated this way by "savages" (!). "Our government tries to be friendly to these people but you see what they're like." We Americans all looked at each other in amazement.

The workers. I had no extensive discussions with workers, mainly because of the language barrier, but I did get to observe them and to chat with some of them a little bit. I got a strong sense of honesty and discipline, for what that's worth. When I got on a

bus, there was no one watching to make sure that people paid the fare, but everyone did anyway. The bus was so crowded that I couldn't get to the coin box, so the woman standing next to me took my six-kopek coin and passed it back through the bus for me. To my amazement, my two kopeks change came back a few minutes later. People waited in line in the shops without complaining, though two people yelled at me pretty angrily when I accidentally went to the front of one line and got waited on right away. Many people were friendly and excited to meet an American, but others were cold and afraid of to be seen with one. Children kept running up to us and asking for "guma"—chewing gum—and adults kept asking for American cigarettes.

Impressions.

It seems to me that the situation in the Soviet Union is just crying out for a revolutionary party and that the PLP should take seriously its internationalist responsibility to help initiate one there. There may be a left opposition in the U.S.S.R., but I saw no sign of one, and I think the more sophisticated sections of the Soviet ruling class are probably glad to have the right-wing dissidents around, because by comparison to them and their virulent anti-workerism the government and its propaganda look pretty good. I got the feeling that the workers' cynicism was only skin-deep, and that an organization that took Marxism-Leninism seriously and made a bold and open push for workers' power would elicit an enthusiastic response from many of them. I could be wrong, but if world war is really on the horizon, we'd damn well better find out.

- A Friend of PLP

Reprinted below is an account of how communists are treating Christians in Angola. Some people are skeptical of such reports, but no informed and reasonable individual should doubt the capacity of the communists to create hell upon earth. The eagerness of hundreds of thousands of Vietnamese to pay their life-savings for the privilege of taking their families and setting forth upon the vast ocean in small and often leaky boats, confronting death from drowning, starvation, thirst, exposure and pirates, with no assured destination, provides irrefutable evidence of this.

The report below is taken from ENCOUNTER, the official newspaper of the Christian League of Southern Africa and the Rhodesian Christian Group:

CHRISTIANS MASSACRED IN ANGOLA

Pastors and Christians are being killed and churches desecrated and burned according to reports coming from Angola.

MPLA soldiers brought cattle into the church on the Evangelical Mission at Chilezzo, near Andulo in Central Angola. They slaughtered the cattle inside the church, cut off the heads of the animals and placed them on the pulpit and communion table. A sign on the pulpit announced "These are the Gods you must now worship".

The pastor of the church, Aurelio Chicanha Saunge, together with 150 Christians were massacred.

The wood from the church benches was used to construct a bridge over the nearby Quine river, because "it is more important for the people to have a bridge than a church". The mission has been transformed into a military base.

Other missions which have been turned into military bases for the MPLA after the Christians have been murdered, include Chissamba, Dondi, Bungue and Elemde.

Although a few missionaries remain on in Ango-

la, they are only permitted to do medical and educational work. No missionary is permitted to do evangelistic work.

By contrast, there is complete religious liberty in the zones controlled by Unita. Pastors have organised churches on each of their bases, and thousands gather to hear the Word of God preached. The meetings are marked by great fervour and enthusiasm. However these believers desperately need Bibles and hymnbooks, and

have launched an appeal for 50 000 copies of a hymnbook in Portuguese and three of the local languages.

Dr Jonas Savimbi, the Unita leader, constantly visits the different bases of the movement in order to maintain morale at a high level. He is often welcomed by crowds singing Christian hymns. When this happens, he and his delegation usually join in and sing the hymns with the people.

Savimbi is a Christian, having made a profession of salvation as a teenager. The pressure of political activities has however forced him to relegate his spiritual life to a secondary plane.

Christians in both MPLA and Unita controlled parts of Angola appeal to God's people around the world to uphold them in prayer during these days of great suffering.

KNOW YOUR ENEMY

A correspondent has just ordered 2,000 copies of the envelope sticker containing this statement:

The Communist Plan for the Conquest of the U.S.A. is: "External encirclement, plus internal demoralization, plus thermonuclear blackmail, leads to progressive surrender."

He accompanied his order with this observation: "You should get this formula more widely known. It is very timely."

You may increase public knowledge of the plans of the communists for the conquest of the U.S.A. by using the envelope stickers and bumper stickers with the formula. We will gladly send as many as you can use. Send your request to Box 890, Long Beach, California 90801

Mrs. Barbara Morgan served as coordinating hostess for the Crusade Silver Jubilee Banquet and did an outstanding job.

Barbara is convinced that many of the friends of the Crusade are eager to help the Crusade's struggle against communism in a practical and personal way. One course of action that is most helpful is to introduce friends to the Crusade newsletter.

Barbara has written the following letter with the hope and conviction that many of you will use it as a model in writing to recruit your friends. Write or type it just as it is or modify it any way you please but do send the names of your friends to the Crusade and the letter to them:

Dear (name of your friend)

We know that you are as much concerned about the state of our nation as we are. We all seem to find it difficult to totally understand some of the forces which seem to be gnawing away at our foundations.

As a matter of fact, there are times when it seems as if we need some good pest control which could clear up some of the negative thoughts and actions which seem to sprout up and thrive like crabgrass. Unfortunately, there is no such easy answer.

Information and action is the only thing that will help to save our country in the form we would wish for.

Through the past twenty years, we have been receiving information from Dr. Fred Schwarz and the Christian Anti-Communism Crusade. Dr. Schwarz is a scholar who has made the study of communism, its techniques and its goals, his life's work. He has a much clearer view of what is happening in our world because he sees it through the background of consistent, dedicated, enlightened scrutiny of Marxist methodology.

In spite of his years of delving into the murkiest of doctrines, Dr. Schwarz has maintained his intellectual approach, his carefully reasoned analysis, his temperate response and his sense of humor.

Because we feel that you will benefit from his information, we have taken the liberty of adding your name to the mailing list of the Crusade for a six-month period. During that time, please read the material you receive. If you do not find it of concern and interest to you, let us know and we will have your name removed. If you find it worthwhile, let Dr. Schwarz know by sending him some financial contribution to help his work along.

Very truly yours,

(Your personal signature)

For a free copy of the Crusade newsletter, envelope stickers, bumper stickers, literature or information, write to:

CHRISTIAN ANTI-COMMUNISM CRUSADE, P. O. Box 890, Long Beach, California, 90801

Editor: Dr. Fred Schwarz

The following interview with an educated black Rhodesian merits serious consideration by President Carter and U.N. Ambassador Young:

“Give Rhodesia a Chance”

Professor Lewis Mutuma, born in Rhodesia, was educated in Rhodesia, England, the United States of America and Canada. Mutuma now lives in Windsor, Ontario and teaches social sciences at Wayne State University in Detroit.

While he was a student in Rhodesia Mutuma was involved in agitation and anti-government activities. This led to his detention and eventual exile. Dr. Mutuma has published several articles in scholarly journals on Southern Africa, the Middle East, and North American



Lewis Mutuma

society.

Professor Mutuma, besides being a married man, is also an accomplished and dynamic speaker.

Alan Wilding: Professor Mutuma, you state that you were involved in riots and disruptions during your university days in Rhodesia. It all sounds very radical.

Prof. Mutuma: Oh yes, it was very radical. We didn't know any different. I used to carry Mao's red book, valued property at the time. You were a big man if you were seen with that little book; hiding it from the police, because you just didn't find that book in Rhodesia. It was banned long ago.

Our actions weren't due to infiltration or anything. I think we were driven to the limit, and we had our backs up against the wall. There was no communication with the outside. We couldn't even read Time magazine, or Newsweek, or anything.

We used Marxism but it was only a straw to grasp at. The only solution to the problem seemed to be to fight.

A.W.: What caused you to change your radical attitude?

Mutuma: After I escaped detention in Rhodesia, I went to England for a while, and then to the United States. Believe it or not, I first went to a Christian college and even though I was very bitter at that time, I was given a scholarship.

For the first time I found the white man willing to help me. He would invite me to his home. I could eat with him. I slept with him in the college dormitory. He accepted me as I was. All of a sudden I became human

rather than an alien in my own country. At this point I became aware that not all white people are bad.

A.W.: What changed the situation from the riots and destruction to the present situation?

Mutuma: I studied Communism in detail, and I found out that it was not African. It was an alien ideology. The Africans tend to confuse communal life with Communism. There is a vast difference between those two words. Living in a communal way is different from living under Communism. People do not understand that. Once they begin to distinguish between them, and see them as two different ideologies, then they will see the light.

A.W.: How would you evaluate Smith's leadership compared with other leaders in Africa?

Mutuma: It's perfect, when you look at what is taking place in Tanzania and Zambia. How many countries in Africa today tolerate the opposition in elections? None!

But at least in Rhodesia we have that, and we should cherish it and keep it, for the time being. Let's see how it works.

A.W.: What kind of help does Africa need?

Mutuma: This is where I see Rhodesia as the ideal situation. We have a multiracial society, in which the administration is going to be run and managed by the whites. So efficiency will be maintained. It takes

me two weeks to make an emergency phone call to Ghana or Nigeria. Can you imagine what will happen to the mail?

Yet I will pick up the phone, and in two minutes I am talking to my father in Salisbury. These things are very important, no matter how minute it might seem. In the operation of our world as far as health, economy, communications, and politics are concerned, efficiency counts a great deal to the survival of the nation.

A.W.: Why do the whites in Rhodesia still have the military and security forces in their hands?

Mutuma: They are scared, and this is quite legitimate. Otherwise things might turn out to their disfavour. Give them a chance to develop things gradually.

A.W.: What does the African want most from his government?

Mutuma: The average poor African needs food, needs health service and adequate social service and representation in the political institutions. He needs all these things. This is why the people were dancing in the street during the elections in Rhodesia, because they basically have it made, more than any other state in Africa. They have been given the right to vote. Give them a chance and let's see what will happen.

A.W.: Do you think that the attitude of white Rhodesians has changed?

Mutuma: It has been going through a transformation for quite some time now. It's going to continue to change but it will take time. We should not expect an overnight change.

A.W.: What factors contributed to the dichotomy in Rhodesia between blacks and whites?

Mutuma: This was brought about by the white man's mentality. The thought process was that 'we don't belong here, we are here temporarily.' Britain helped to reinforce this mentality by giving them British passports and telling them that they were British subjects. In a way it was a good thing that independence was declared.

The new generation kept coming up and stated that they were not British; that they would not be accepted even if they went there; and that we should establish our home here and contribute to the survival of this nation.