

1. Review of Attack:

a. Federal Court:

i. District Court of Georgia
ii. " " of D.C.

2. Flagging action:

1. Who can initiate

2. What for

3. Why

4. Result of flagging action

i. a. retention

b. eliminate

c. separate

ii. reasons for elimination, retention
separation

a. Questioning a
[substandard
performance of H]

Who can

What for

Why

When

3. Recourse of Individual:

1. f/B complaint

2. Fed. / or Military suit of:

a. prohibition

b. Mandamus

Memo for Record:

14 May '71 1:40 - 2:00 pm

To: Stuart, Off. Ct.
M. J. Taylor, Off. Ct.
Kearney for, O.C.

Spoke about what plans with R
stated a number of ways to go -
eliminate, Supermarket, advertisement at
level remain when possible
not necessary to determine if
and attend performance,
but if performance was of
the standard expected by
the Army of a man of
his age, grade, experience -

That R was involved with more than
just at the State Dept - that
he was under investigation by
FBI for more evidence that day etc
looked for a lot of information from
USA and returned today (14 May)
to see what has been changed with it
"Culpable for the same reason" etc

✓ 3447 File 10 ✓

1. Sec of Army for (Pres) alter-ego of Pres can reduce
2. take away Star/DSM and issue of letter of reprimand -
3. See same then brief written for Matt O'Donnell
4. Fulbright letter ✓
5. Fight a/i system or go to Hill
 - a. "Outside Political Pressure"
 - b. Fed. Ct. District / injunction a/i Fed. Ct.

0 → Constitutional vs. Application of

On Ct. of Claims for \$!!!
3447

AR 635-105 / How came
bd to see if
or why he should
stay in Army

⑦ ⑦ Unfairness / Double Jeopardy
Moral Violation and Double Jeopardy

✓ General Counsel for U.S. Army is the
Culprit !!!

Judge

1. Bill ~~Shall~~ ^{Schultz}

U.S. Attorney!

2. Jack Brinkley / Congressman from
Pa.