

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
MILITARY SEA TRANSPORTATION SERVICE, PACIFIC  
NSC, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94625

P-01B  
25 MAR 1968

From: Chief Inspector, Military Sea Transportation Service, Pacific  
To: Master, USNS GENERAL JOHN POPE (T-AP 110)  
Commanding Officer, Military Department, USNS GEN. JOHN POPE (T-AP 110)  
Via: Commander, Military Sea Transportation Service, Pacific  
Subj: Formal Administrative Inspection of USNS GENERAL JOHN POPE (T-AP 110)  
for fiscal year 1968 (MSTS Report 5041-7)  
Ref: (a) COMSTS INSTRUCTION 5041.5  
Encl: (1) Summary Report of Inspection

1. In compliance with reference (a), the formal administrative inspection of the USNS GENERAL JOHN POPE (T-AP 110) for fiscal year 1968 was completed on 14 March 1968. The previous formal administrative inspection for fiscal year 1967 was completed on 30 March 1967.
2. Every item listed in MSTS inspection check lists was investigated and found to be in accordance with COMSTS instructions or other directives of higher authority, except as noted herein.
3. The Inspection Party was constituted as follows:

Chief Inspector	CAPT J. S. BAILEY, USNR
Assistant Chief Inspector	CAPT R. L. PETERSON
Inspectors for Deck Department	LT D.L. HETHERINGTON, USNR and Mr. R. C. QUICK
Inspector for Deck Communications	Mr. J. H. GLASCO
Inspector for Navigation	QM1 J. R. MORIN, USN
Inspector for Engine Department	LT S. D. GUTHRIE, USNR
Inspector for Steward Department	Mr. R. E. LOVGREN
Inspectors for Purser Department	Mr. R.W.M. KEATING and Mr. A. REYES
Inspectors for Military Department	LGDR L. P. GORLEY, USN, CWO-4 J. S. DANAHER, USN and PNC A. H. MEHUS, USN
Inspector for Military Communications	LT P. E. CORNELIUS, USNR
Inspector for Chaplain's Activities	CAPT A. R. COOK, CHC, USN
Inspector for Special Services	Mr. F. VAN TASSEL
Inspector for Medical and Preventive Medicine	HM1 F. C. ELOSISIN, USN
Inspector for Damage Control	Mr. H. ROBINSON
Inspector for Safety	Mr. J. E. MILES
Recorder	YN1 J. KORUP, USN

P-01B  
25 March 1968

Subj: Formal Administrative Inspection of USNS GENERAL JOHN POPE (T-AP 110)  
for fiscal year 1968 (MSTS Report 5041-7)

4. The Master of the ship and officers responsible for the various records and functions inspected are as follows:

		<u>DATE REPORTED</u>
Master	Henry L. HEINZ Gerard W. BERLIN	10 APR 67 15 NOV 66
Chief Engineer	Everett G. QUINN Leonard L. CLEMONS Everett G. QUINN	23 AUG 67 1 JUL 67 1 SEP 66
First Officer	Rennie COLLINGE Donald I. BERNEY William CUNNINGHAM	23 AUG 67 23 MAR 67 31 AUG 66
Radio Officer	Joseph E. MORGAN	9 NOV 66
Chief Steward	Joseph R. RHODES Murphy P. LAURENT Joseph R. RHODES	18 DEC 67 30 OCT 67 24 OCT 66
Purser	Felix M. RICAUD Juan D. MONTENEGRO Felix M. RICAUD	12 DEC 67 31 OCT 67 20 MAY 67

5. Major deficiencies noted during this inspection are set forth in enclosure (1). The responsibility for correction of all deficiencies rests with the Master unless otherwise indicated. All minor deficiencies have been called to the attention of the Master for immediate corrective action.

6. The officers and crew presented an excellent appearance. Uniforms were in accordance with CMPI 594. Morale was excellent.

7. The following grades and mark-percentages are recommended:

<u>DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>GRADE</u>	<u>MARK-PERCENTAGE</u>	<u>WEIGHT</u>	<u>FACTOR</u>	<u>WEIGHTED GRADE</u>
DECK	F	89.87	x	25	22.47
Navigation	E	92.00	x	5	4.60
Communications	G	82.00	x	5	4.10
ENGINE	E	89.95	x	25	22.49
STEWARD	E	91.20	x	20	18.24
PURSER	O	95.02	x	10	9.50
MILITARY	E	91.39	x	10	9.14
				100	90.54

FINAL OVERALL GRADE - EXCELLENT

J. S. BAILEY  
CAPT, USNR

## SUMMARY REPORT OF INSPECTION

### DECK DEPARTMENT - GENERAL PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION

There were no major deficiencies on the previous inspection.

There were no major deficiencies on the current inspection.

Personnel administration continues to be carried out in an excellent manner. Departmental instructions are very comprehensive.

A grade of EXCELLENT with a mark-percentage of 90 is recommended.

### DECK DEPARTMENT - DEPARTMENT ADMINISTRATION

There were four major deficiencies on the previous inspection. All had been corrected.

There were no major deficiencies on the current inspection.

Four minor deficiencies were found during the current inspection. Three of these minor deficiencies were missing log entries. It is felt that more careful use of the Test, Drill and Inspection Record (MSTSPAC Form 3500/6 (7/67)) would eliminate these minor deficiencies in the future. Also, in some instances deck officers should be more explicit in log entries of required inspections and tests.

A grade of GOOD with a mark-percentage of 85 is recommended.

### DECK DEPARTMENT - CLEANLINESS AND PRESERVATION

There was one major deficiency on the previous inspection. This had been corrected.

There were no major deficiencies on the current inspection.

It was noted that the magnesite decks in all troop compartments, ladder wells and passageways were painted. It is recommended at all paint be removed, approved sealer/stain be applied and waxed. (Reference: Chapter 9140 Ch-1 15 Jul & original dated 1 Dec 1966 Naval Technical Manual and COMSTSINST 9140.1 Encl (1) Para 2.). The deck department cleanliness and preservation on the POPE was outstanding. The deck department personnel were very interested and helpful on the inspection..

A grade of EXCELLENT with a mark-percentage of 94.70 is recommended.

### DECK DEPARTMENT - NAVIGATION

There were no major deficiencies on the previous inspection.

There were no major deficiencies on the current inspection.

#### DECK DEPARTMENT - NAVIGATION (CONT'D)

The chart house was in excellent condition. The layout of pubs and charts was outstanding. The navigation department appears to be well organized. All bridge spaces are in excellent condition and all items located in store-rooms are stowed very neatly.

A grade of EXCELLENT with a mark-percentage of 92 is recommended.

#### DECK DEPARTMENT - CARGO PROCEDURES

There were no major deficiencies on the previous inspection.

There were no major deficiencies on the current inspection.

All current directives are being complied with. Complete instructions for watch officers' guidance are readily accessible.

A grade of EXCELLENT with a mark-percentage of 90 is recommended.

#### DECK DEPARTMENT - COMMUNICATIONS

There were two major deficiencies on the previous inspection. Both had been corrected.

The following major deficiency existed on the current inspection:

D-1: Dust has been allowed to accumulate in the main and high frequency radio transmitters.

R-1: Clean up and keep this electronic equipment free of dust.

Radio station logs, message files and records were up-to-date and maintained in the prescribed manner. Equipment was in good operation condition, however, more attention to the preventive maintenance program is required to prevent buildup of dust in the equipment.

A grade of GOOD with a mark-percentage of 82 is recommended.

<u>DECK DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>GRADE</u>	<u>MARK-PERCENTAGE</u>	<u>WEIGHT</u>	<u>FACTOR</u>	<u>WEIGHTED GRADE</u>
General Personnel Admin.	E	90.00	x	10	9.00
Pers. Appearance & Bearing	E	90.00	x	5	4.50
Department Administration	G	85.00	x	10	8.50
Cleanliness & Preservation	E	94.70	x	25	23.67
Cargo Procedures	E	90.00	x	5	4.50
Preventive Medicine	E	90.00	x	5	4.50
Damage Control	E	88.60	x	25	22.15
Safety	G	87.00	x	15	13.05
			100		89.87

Departmental grade - EXCELLENT

The following are responsibilities of the Master and First Officer, but not functions of the Deck Department

	<u>GRADE</u>	<u>MARK-PERCENTAGE</u>	<u>WEIGHT</u>	<u>FACTOR</u>	<u>WEIGHTED GRADE</u>
Navigation	E	92.00	x	5	4.60
Communications	G	82.00	x	5	4.10

#### ENGINE DEPARTMENT - GENERAL PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION

There were no major deficiencies on the previous inspection.

There was one major deficiency on the current inspection:

D-2: There is no means of locking reduction gear inspection plates on the ship's service generators as required by COMSTSPACINST P4700.3B.

R-2: Install locking devices in compliance with COMSTSPACINST P4700.3B.

Department personnel are properly administered; morale appears high and discipline effective. Required publications are on board, and a good training program is in effect. One minor deficiency was noted.

A grade of EXCELLENT with a mark-percentage of 92 is recommended.

#### ENGINE DEPARTMENT - DEPARTMENT ADMINISTRATION

There were no major deficiencies on the previous inspection.

There were no major deficiencies on the current inspection.

With two exceptions, required publications were held by, or available to the department head. Records were maintained in accordance with current directives. Routine maintenance and preservation check lists were excellent and other departmental instructions were very good. Two minor deficiencies were noted.

A grade of EXCELLENT with a mark-percentage of 93 is recommended.

#### ENGINE DEPARTMENT - CLEANLINESS AND PRESERVATION

There were four major deficiencies on the previous inspection. All had been corrected.

There was one major deficiency on the current inspection:

D-3: There were numerous bulkhead areas, particularly in the enginerooms, which were not properly prepared prior to painting or which had weeped, causing peeling.

R-3: Chip and preserve in accordance with COMSTSINST 4750.1.

ENGINE DEPARTMENT - CLEANLINESS AND PRESERVATION (CONT'D)

There has obviously been a great deal of effort made by department personnel, which has resulted in a significant improvement in the cleanliness and preservation of department spaces. The overall appearance of the enginerooms and principal auxiliary machinery spaces was outstanding, and other spaces were good. There were numerous bulkhead areas, however, which were not properly prepared prior to painting. There were four minor deficiencies noted.

A grade of EXCELLENT with a mark-percentage of 91 is recommended.

<u>ENGINE DEPARTMENT</u>		<u>GRADE</u>	<u>MARK-PERCENTAGE</u>	<u>WEIGHT</u>	<u>FACTOR</u>	<u>WEIGHTED GRADE</u>
General Personnel Admin.	E	92.00	x	10		9.20
Pers. Appearance & Bearing	E	90.00	x	5		4.50
Department Administration	E	93.000	x	10		9.30
Cleanliness & Preservation	E	91.00	x	25		22.75
Preventive Medicine	E	90.00	x	10		9.00
Damage Control	E	88.60	x	25		22.15
Safety	G	87.00	x	15		<u>13.05</u>
				100		<u>89.95</u>

Departmental grade - EXCELLENT

STEWARD DEPARTMENT - GENERAL PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION

There were no major deficiencies on the previous inspection.

There were no major deficiencies on the current inspection.

Administration of the Steward Department is considered excellent, morale and discipline are very high. Personnel of the Steward Department have a thorough knowledge of this ship and the mission of MSTS. Maintenance of equipment and Steward Department spaces indicated that an excellent training program is in effect. Personnel are encouraged to participate in the Beneficial Suggestion Program. Crew members are encouraged to up-grade themselves. Outstanding work is recognized and recommendations for promotions are being accomplished.

<u>STEWARD DEPARTMENT</u>		<u>GRADE</u>	<u>MARK-PERCENTAGE</u>	<u>WEIGHT</u>	<u>FACTOR</u>	<u>WEIGHTED GRADE</u>
General Personnel Admin.	E	92.00	x	10		9.20
Pers. Appearance & Bearing	E	92.00	x	5		4.60
Cleanliness & Preservation	E	92.00	x	20		18.40
Preventive Medicine	E	90.00	x	40		36.00
Damage Control	E	93.50	x	10		9.35
Safety	E	91.00	x	15		<u>13.65</u>
				100		<u>91.20</u>

Departmental grade - EXCELLENT

**PURSER DEPARTMENT - GENERAL PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION**

There were no major deficiencies on the previous inspection.

There were no major deficiencies on the current inspection.

Personnel files and records were in very good order. It is considered that the purser's office of this ship is one of the best offices of the Class A-3 ships. Personnel are in proper uniform, courteous and cooperative. An improvement over the conditions of the previous inspection was noted.

A grade of EXCELLENT with a mark-percentage of 94.50 is recommended.

**PURSER DEPARTMENT - DEPARTMENT ADMINISTRATION**

There were no major deficiencies on the previous inspection.

There were no major deficiencies on the current inspection.

Files, records and procedures are correct and maintained properly. Office is much improved in its physical layout, with better lighting, fans and a fresh look, which gives the office a fine businesslike and ship-shape appearance. The handling of classified material is particularly well logged, in and out. The absence of all but a minor deficiency indicates a high degree of conscientious attention to administrative details and skill and understanding in this category of ship work.

A grade of OUTSTANDING with a mark-percentage of 95.10 is recommended.

<b>PURSER DEPARTMENT</b>	<b>GRADE</b>	<b>MARK-PERCENTAGE</b>	<b>WEIGHT</b>	<b>FACTOR</b>	<b>WEIGHTED GRADE</b>
General Personnel Admin.	E	94.50	x	10	9.45
Pers. Appearance & Bearing	E	94.50	x	5	4.73
Department Administration	O	95.10	x	85 100	80.84 95.02

Departmental grade - OUTSTANDING

**MILITARY DEPARTMENT - DEPARTMENT ADMINISTRATION**

There were no major deficiencies on the previous inspection.

There were no major deficiencies on the current inspection.

All publications and records were up to date and correct with the following exceptions: NAVSANDA 421, NAVFERS 10873 and NAVPERS 15780 were not on board but are on order. Ample bulletin boards were placed throughout the ship with all the required information posted on them. The office personnel assigned are very well informed and capable of performing all the duties required of them. The organization and administration of the Military Department Office is very good.

A grade of EXCELLENT with a mark-percentage of 89 is recommended.

#### MILITARY DEPARTMENT - PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION

There were no major deficiencies on the previous inspection.

There were no major deficiencies on the current inspection.

There were only three minor deficiencies noted. Personnel records have been maintained in an excellent manner. All officers have been assigned additional and collateral duties in writing. Particularly noted was the cleanliness of spaces under the cognizance of the Military Department. The office was clean, neat and orderly and has been administered in an excellent manner.

A grade of EXCELLENT with a mark-percentage of 89 is recommended.

#### MILITARY DEPARTMENT - CLEANLINESS AND PRESERVATION

There were no major deficiencies on the previous inspection.

There were no major deficiencies on the current inspection.

All spaces assigned to the Military Department are outstanding in regards to cleanliness and preservation. The Military Department personnel are to be commended for the initiative and effort put forth in bringing their spaces up to this standard.

A grade of OUTSTANDING with a mark-percentage of 95 is recommended.

#### MILITARY DEPARTMENT - COMMUNICATIONS

There were no major deficiencies on the previous inspection.

There were no major deficiencies on the current inspection.

A review of the RPS records and publications indicated that the custodian was performing his duties in an excellent manner. The cryptocenter was neat and orderly.

A grade of EXCELLENT with a mark-percentage of 94 is recommended.

#### MILITARY DEPARTMENT - CHAPLAIN'S ACTIVITIES

There were no major deficiencies on the previous inspection.

There were no major deficiencies on the current inspection.

All assigned areas, altar appointments and other equipment were outstanding. The Chaplain's program was well organized and sufficiently flexible to meet the needs of varied deployments. The Chaplain's Office was outstanding.

A grade of OUTSTANDING with a mark-percentage of 95 is recommended.

**MILITARY DEPARTMENT - SPECIAL SERVICES**

There were no major deficiencies on the previous inspection.

There were no major deficiencies on the current inspection.

Physical inventory of all non-expendable property was made and all items were accounted for. Spaces used for special services were maintained in a superior condition in regards to cleanliness and stowage of supplies. Records are neat, up to date, and in excellent condition. Publications required are on board.

A grade of OUTSTANDING with a mark-percentage of 96.20 is recommended.

<u>MILITARY DEPARTMENT</u>		<u>GRADE</u>	<u>MARK-PERCENTAGE</u>	<u>WEIGHT</u>	<u>FACTOR</u>	<u>WEIGHTED GRADE</u>
Department Administration	E	89.00	x	10		8.90
Personnel Administration	E	89.00	x	10		8.90
Pers. Appearance & Bearing	E	90.00	x	5		4.50
Cleanliness & Preservation	O	95.00	x	10		9.50
Communications	E	94.00	x	10		9.40
Chaplain's Activities	O	95.00	x	5		4.75
Special Services	O	96.20	x	5		4.81
Medical	E	92.00	x	20		18.40
Preventive Medicine	E	90.00	x	10		9.00
Damage Control	E	88.60	x	5		4.43
Safety	E	88.00	x	10		8.80
				100		91.39

Departmental grade - EXCELLENT

**MEDICAL (Transports)**

There were no major deficiencies on the previous inspection.

There were no major deficiencies on the current inspection.

The overall cleanliness of the spaces was excellent.

A grade of EXCELLENT with a mark-percentage of 92.00 is recommended.

**PREVENTIVE MEDICINE (Transports)**

There was one major deficiencie on the previous inspection. This had been corrected.

There were no major deficiencies on the current inspection.

## PREVENTIVE MEDICINE (Transports) (CONT'D)

The overall sanitary condition of the USNS POPE is considered to be excellent. With more attention to general housekeeping the POPE could be within the scope of outstanding.

A grade of EXCELLENT with a mark-percentage of 90 is recommended.

### DAMAGE CONTROL

There were eight major deficiencies on the previous inspection. All had been corrected.

There were no major deficiencies on the current inspection.

There were several minor material deficiencies in the highline locker and in the repair locker. The signal paddles in the highline locker were not marked with the signals, the floatation gear was of the old type, and the "O" distance marker and the station marker were missing, also no distance marker lights were found. The repair locker was missing helmet lights, a maul in each locker and the drills are of the old uninsulated type (See MSTSPAC Notice 9920 of 25 Oct 65). Several items in the lockers needed painting to comply with COMSTS INSTRUCTIONS 4750.1B and 3541.5B. The pipe repair kit is incomplete. It would be a good idea for the inventory lists used in the repair lockers to be standardized in accordance with the Allowance List Part II S-88. The ship should obtain new break glass key boxes as the ones in use are neither authorized or effective.

The following grades and mark-percentages are recommended:

<u>DECK DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>GRADE</u>	<u>MARK-PERCENTAGE</u>	<u>WEIGHT</u>	<u>FACTOR</u>	<u>WEIGHTED GRADE</u>
Equipment & Markings	E	88.00	x	70	61.60
Phase II Training	E	90.00	x	<u>30</u>	<u>27.00</u>
				100	88.60

Departmental grade - EXCELLENT

<u>ENGINE DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>GRADE</u>	<u>MARK-PERCENTAGE</u>	<u>WEIGHT</u>	<u>FACTOR</u>	<u>WEIGHTED GRADE</u>
Equipment & Markings	E	88.00	x	70	61.60
Phase II Training	E	90.00	x	<u>30</u>	<u>27.00</u>
				100	88.60

Departmental grade - EXCELLENT

<u>STEWARD DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>GRADE</u>	<u>MARK-PERCENTAGE</u>	<u>WEIGHT</u>	<u>FACTOR</u>	<u>WEIGHTED GRADE</u>
Equipment & Markings	C	95.00	x	70	66.50
Phase II Training	E	90.00	x	30	27.00
				100	93.50

Departmental grade - EXCELLENT

<u>MILITARY DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>GRADE</u>	<u>MARK-PERCENTAGE</u>	<u>WEIGHT</u>	<u>FACTOR</u>	<u>WEIGHTED GRADE</u>
Equipment & Markings	D	88.00	x	70	61.60
Phase II Training	E	90.00	x	30	27.00
				100	88.60

Departmental grade - EXCELLENT

#### SAFETY

There were no major deficiencies on the previous inspection.

There were no major deficiencies on the current inspection.

The Safety Features Program is organized in accordance with current directives. The condition of safety equipment and safety features, cleanliness and storage of tools and equipment and housekeeping in general indicated considerable effort had been made to prepare for the inspection and to bring the ship up to a high degree of material readiness. Thirty minor deficiencies were noted.

The following grades and mark-percentages are recommended:

<u>DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>GRADE</u>	<u>MARK-PERCENTAGE</u>
DECK	GOOD	87.00
ENGINE	GOOD	87.00
STEWARD	EXCELLENT	91.00
MILITARY	EXCELLENT	88.00