

unclassified *the*

SUBJECT: INDOCHINESE REFUGEES: CONSOLIDATED PROCESSING  
GUIDELINES FOR UNACCOMPANIED MINORS - PRIORITIES 3 AND 4

REF: A) SINGAPORE 0010; B) 84 SINGAPORE 4300;  
C) 84 MANILA 27891; D) 84 STATE 378214; E) 84 STATE  
291027; F) 83 STATE 358642; G) 82 STATE 88833

1. THIS IS A JOINT STATE/INS MESSAGE.

2. SUMMARY: AFTER REEXAMINING THE ISSUE OF U.S. LINKS  
FOR UNACCOMPANIED MINORS WHO AND THE GUIDANCE CONTAINED  
IN REF B, THE DEPARTMENT AND INS HAVE DETERMINED THAT  
THOSE WHOSE PARENTS WOULD QUALIFY, OR WOULD HAVE  
QUALIFIED, FOR P-2 OR P-4 STATUS ARE TO BE CONSIDERED  
U.S. LINKED. WHO RESCUED AT SEA BY FOREIGN FLAG VESSELS  
MAY BE EXEMPTED FROM INTERNATIONALIZATION IF THEY HAVE  
U.S. LINKS AS DEFINED BY P-3 OR P-5, OR AS A RESULT OF A  
P-2 OR P-4 STATUS. ALL OTHER WHO ARE TO BE  
INTERNATIONALIZED, WITH THE U.S. TAKING HALF OF THEIR  
END SUMMARY.

3. IN REFS A AND B REFCOORD SINGAPORE REQUESTED  
CLARIFICATION OF REF B, WHICH STATED, IN RESPONSE TO AN  
INQUIRY FROM REFCOORD MANILA CONTAINED IN REF C, THAT WHO  
QUOTE IS P2 AND P4 ARE REGARDED AS HAVING LINKS TO THE U.S.  
BY VIRTUE OF NOTE B OF 82 STATE 68833 UNQUOTE. IN ADDI-  
TION TO REQUESTING CLARIFICATION OF REF B, REFCOORD  
SINGAPORE NOTED THAT THIS GUIDANCE WOULD RESULT IN THE  
UNITED STATES ACCEPTING WHO WHO RECEIVED THEIR STATUS FROM  
THEIR ADULT P-4C PARENTS BUT, AT THE SAME TIME, WOULD  
RESULT IN REJECTING ADULT INDIVIDUALS WHO PRESENTED STRONG  
P-4C CASES AND WHO MAY EVEN HAVE BEEN ON THE SAME BOAT AS  
THE WHO.

4. REFCOORD SINGAPORE WAS CORRECT TO QUESTION THE  
GUIDANCE CONTAINED IN REF B. THE CONSOLIDATED PROCESSING  
GUIDELINES ON WHO CONTAINED IN REF E, PARAS 6(C) AND 12

AS WELL AS THE GUIDANCE CONTAINED IN REF F, PARA 7, WHICH  
WAS NOT SUPERCEDED BY REF E), INDICATE THAT THE ONLY WHO  
HAVING US LINKS ARE THOSE WHO WHO WOULD FALL WITHIN THE  
SPECIFICATIONS OF PRIORITIES 3 AND 5. THE CONSOLIDATED  
PROCESSING GUIDELINES ALSO EMPHASIZE, IN PARAS 4(B) AND  
6(C), THAT THE NORMAL PROCESSING PRIORITIES DO NOT APPLY  
TO WHO EXCEPT INSOFAR AS A US LINK IS DEFINED BY REFERENCE  
TO PRIORITIES 3 AND 5. CONSEQUENTLY, NOTE B OF REF B DID  
NOT AFFECT THE DEFINITION OF WHAT CONSTITUTES A U.S. LINK  
FOR PURPOSES OF PROCESSING WHO. NOTE B STATES THAT WHO  
OF PARENTS WOULD HAVE QUALIFIED UNDER PRIORITIES 2  
AND 4 HAD THEY SURVIVED OR ESCAPED SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN  
THE SAME PRIORITY IN WHICH THEIR PARENTS WOULD HAVE BEEN  
PLACED.)

5. HOWEVER, THE DEPARTMENT AND INS HAVE NOW DECIDED  
THAT, HENCEFORTH, WHO ARE ALSO TO BE CONSIDERED LINKED  
TO THE U.S. THROUGH PARENTS WHO WOULD QUALIFY, OR WOULD  
HAVE QUALIFIED, FOR P-2 OR P-4 STATUS. THUS, WHO  
RESCUED AT SEA WHO HAVE U.S. LINKS, AS DEFINED BY P-3 OR  
P-5, OR AS A RESULT OF A PARENT WHO WOULD QUALIFY OR  
WOULD HAVE QUALIFIED FOR P-2 OR P-4 STATUS, SHOULD BE  
ACCEPTED INTO THE U.S. PROGRAM. ALL OTHERS WOULD BE  
INCLUDED IN THE 50 PERCENT OF THE NON-US LINKED OVERALL  
CASELOAD OF WHO WHOM WE HAVE AGREED TO ACCEPT IN  
ACCORDANCE WITH REF E, PARA 12. THIS POLICY HAS BEEN  
ESTABLISHED BECAUSE OF OUR PROFOUND HUMANITARIAN CONCERN  
FOR WHO.

6. ADULTS, HOWEVER, ARE TREATED DIFFERENTLY WHEN RESCUED  
AT SEA. CONSISTENT WITH THE CONSOLIDATED PROCESSING  
GUIDELINES FOR WHO AND NOTE F OF REF G, THE ADULT P-4C  
INDIVIDUALS WHO DISEMBARKEED FROM THE FOREIGN-FLAG VESSEL

ON DECEMBER 17, 1984, SHOULD BE INTERNATIONALIZED.  
SUNLIZ

3/85

Unclassified

SUBJECT: INDOCHINESE REFUGEES: CONSOLIDATED PROCESSING  
GUIDELINES FOR UNACCOMPANIED MINORS - PRIORITIES 2 AND 4

REF: A) SINGAPORE 8019; B) 84 SINGAPORE 4300;  
C) 84 MANILA 27891; D) 84 STATE 378214; E) 84 STATE  
201807; F) 83 STATE 354642; G) 82 STATE 69833

1. THIS IS A JOINT STATE/INS MESSAGE.

2. SUMMARY: AFTER REEXAMINING THE ISSUE OF U.S. LINKS FOR UNACCOMPANIED MINORS WHO AND THE GUIDANCE CONTAINED IN REF D, THE DEPARTMENT AND INS HAVE DETERMINED THAT THOSE WHOSE PARENTS WOULD QUALIFY, OR WOULD HAVE QUALIFIED, FOR P-2 OR P-4 STATUS ARE TO BE CONSIDERED U.S. LINKED. WHO RESCUED AT SEA BY FOREIGN FLAG VESSELS MAY BE EXEMPTED FROM INTERNATIONALIZATION IF THEY HAVE U.S. LINKS AS DEFINED BY P-3 OR P-5, OR AS A RESULT OF A P-2 OR P-4 STATUS. ALL OTHER WHO ARE TO BE INTERNATIONALIZED, WITH THE U.S. TAKING HALF OF THEIR END SUMMARY.

3. IN REFS A AND D REFCOORD SINGAPORE REQUESTED CLARIFICATION OF REF D, WHICH STATED, IN RESPONSE TO AN INQUIRY FROM REFCOORD MANILA CONTAINED IN REF C, THAT WHO QUOTE IN P2 AND P4 ARE REGARDED AS HAVING LINKS TO THE U.S. BY VIRTUE OF NOTE B OF 82 STATE 69833 UNQUOTE. IN ADDITION TO REQUESTING CLARIFICATION OF REF D, REFCOORD SINGAPORE NOTED THAT THIS GUIDANCE WOULD RESULT IN THE UNITED STATES ACCEPTING WHO WHO RECEIVED THEIR STATUS FROM THEIR ADULT P-4C PARENTS BUT, AT THE SAME TIME, WOULD RESULT IN REJECTING ADULT INDIVIDUALS WHO PRESENTED STRONG P-4C CASES AND WHO MAY EVER HAVE BEEN ON THE SAME BOAT AS THE WHO.

4. REFCOORD SINGAPORE WAS CORRECT TO QUESTION THE GUIDANCE CONTAINED IN REF D. THE CONSOLIDATED PROCESSING GUIDELINES ON WHO CONTAINED IN REF E, PARAS 6 (C) AND 12

(AS WELL AS THE GUIDANCE CONTAINED IN REF F, PARA 7, WHICH WAS NOT SUPERCEDED BY REF E), INDICATE THAT THE ONLY WHO HAVING US LINKS ARE THOSE WHO WOULD FALL WITHIN THE SPECIFICATIONS OF PRIORITIES 3 AND 5. THE CONSOLIDATED PROCESSING GUIDELINES ALSO EMPHASIZE, IN PARAS 4 (B) AND 6 (C), THAT THE NORMAL PROCESSING PRIORITIES DO NOT APPLY TO WHO EXCEPT INsofar AS A US LINK IS DEFINED BY REFERENCE TO PRIORITIES 3 AND 5. CONSEQUENTLY, NOTE B OF REF G DID NOT AFFECT THE DEFINITION OF WHAT CONSTITUTES A U.S. LINK FOR PURPOSES OF PROCESSING WHO. QUOTE D STATES THAT WHO OF PARENTS WOULD HAVE QUALIFIED UNDER PRIORITIES 2 AND 4 HAD THEY SURVIVED OR ESCAPED SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN THE SAME PRIORITY IN WHICH THEIR PARENTS WOULD HAVE BEEN PLACED.)

5. HOWEVER, THE DEPARTMENT AND INS HAVE NOW DECIDED THAT, HENCEFORTH, WHO ARE ALSO TO BE CONSIDERED LINKED TO THE U.S. THROUGH PARENTS WHO WOULD QUALIFY, OR WOULD HAVE QUALIFIED, FOR P-2 OR P-4 STATUS. THUS, WHO RESCUED AT SEA WHO HAVE U.S. LINKS, AS DEFINED BY P-3 OR P-5, OR AS A RESULT OF A PARENT WHO WOULD QUALIFY OR WOULD HAVE QUALIFIED FOR P-2 OR P-4 STATUS, SHOULD BE ACCEPTED INTO THE U.S. PROGRAM. ALL OTHERS WOULD BE INCLUDED IN THE 50 PERCENT OF THE NON-US LINKED OVERALL CASELOAD OF WHO WHOM WE HAVE AGREED TO ACCEPT IN ACCORDANCE WITH REF E, PARA 12. THIS POLICY HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED BECAUSE OF OUR PROFOUND HUMANITARIAN CONCERN FOR WHO.

6. ADULTS, HOWEVER, ARE TREATED DIFFERENTLY WHEN RESCUED AT SEA. CONSISTENT WITH THE CONSOLIDATED PROCESSING GUIDELINES FOR WHO AND NOTE F OF REF G, THE ADULT P-4C INDIVIDUALS WHO DISEMBARKED FROM THE FOREIGN-FLAG VESSEL

ON DECEMBER 17, 1984, SHOULD BE INTERNATIONALIZED.  
SHULTZ