

From: Dept of the Air Force  
3630 Fly Tng Wg  
Sheppard AFB, Tx 76311

465

SUBJECT: Correction of Airman Performance Report

TO: CBPO-QC

In accordance with para 2, AFR 31-11, the following information is submitted:

a. MSgt James Ray Dill, FR 3630 Fly Tng Wg (DO), Sheppard AFB, Tx 76311; CBPO Sheppard AFB, Tx 76311. I will be reassigned to 366 Tac Ftr Wg (TDO), APO San Francisco 96288, effective 1 December 1969.

b. APR for the period 1 Jan 69 thru 30 Jun 69.

c. Void AFR.

d. Reasons to support application. (The applicant should give all of his reasons as to why he believes the record is inaccurate, unjust, or prejudicial and cite his evidence to support his claims).

e. I certify that I first has access to this report on 31 July 1969 (See para 6b, AFR 31-11).

f. State that a previous request has or has not been submitted.

g. All information presented is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

JAMES R. DILL, MSgt  
FR

3 Atch

1. Statement, CMSgt Bell, 1 Aug 69
2. Statement, Capt Will, 1 Aug 69
3. AF Form 623

Requests considered under AFR 31-11.

1. Correct any error in an evaluation report which is not prohibited by paragraph 4.
2. Void an evaluation report or delete any portion of the comments of the reporting or indorsing officials (paragraph 7a).
3. Void an indorsement and the indorsing official's rating to an evaluation report.
4. Attach a letter from a rating official in explanation or mitigation of a rating or evaluation. These letters must have a distinct bearing on the report and add validity to the evaluations or cite unusual circumstances that were not known at the time the report was rendered, rather than relate to a comparison of ratings for different periods.

Request not considered under AFR 31-11.

1. Attach a letter recommending promotion.
2. Enter, upgrade, or change a rating or evaluation. Ratings are accepted as the considered judgment of the rating officials at the time made and will not be modified, except as specified in paragraph 3c, AFR 31-11.
3. Entirely void an evaluation report, if the error or injustice can be corrected by deleting the objectionable portion.
4. Void an evaluation report but leave the attached letter of evaluation in the file.
5. Attach letters from officials other than a reporting or indorsing official of the appealed report.
6. Attach a letter in explanation or mitigation of a rating or evaluation based on a retrospective comparison of ratings for different periods.