

AID 1070 25810-70	PROJECT NO. 615-11-650-927	PAR FOR PERIOD 6/69 TO 8/70	COUNTRY KENYA	PAR SERIAL NO. 71-1
PAGE 2 PAR				

II. PERFORMANCE OF KEY INPUTS AND ACTION AGENTS

A. INPUT OR ACTION AGENT CONTRACTOR, PARTICIPATING AGENCY OR VOLUNTARY AGENCY	B. PERFORMANCE AGAINST PLAN							C. IMPORTANCE FOR ACHIEVING PROJECT PURPOSE (X)				
	UNSATISFACTORY		SATISFACTORY			OUTSTANDING		LOW		MEDIUM		HIGH
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
1. Allstate University												
2.												
3.												

Comment on key factors determining rating

4. PARTICIPANT TRAINING	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
-------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Comment on key factors determining rating

5. COMMODITIES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
----------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Comment on key factors determining rating

6. COOPERATING COUNTRY	a. PERSONNEL	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
	b. OTHER												

Comment on key factors determining rating

7. OTHER DONORS	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5
-----------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

(See Next Page for Comments on Other Donors)

AID 1020-25(10-70)	PROJECT NO. 615-11-650-927	PAR FOR PERIOD: 6/69 8/70	COUNTRY KENYA	PAR SERIAL NO. 71-1
--------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------	------------------	------------------------

II. 7. Continued: Comment on key factors determining rating of Other Donors

N. A.

III. KEY OUTPUT INDICATORS AND TARGETS

A. QUANTITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS		TARGETS (Percentage/Rate/Amount)					
		'70 CUMU- LATIVE PRIOR FY	'71 CURRENT FY		FY 72	FY 73	END OF PROJECT
			TO DATE	TO END			
Trained key personnel	PLANNED	8	8	10	12	15	15
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	0	0				
	REPLANNED			1	6	12	18
Trained supporting personnel	PLANNED	75	85	150	210	270	270
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	36	43				
	REPLANNED			90	150	210	270
Courses broadcast and materials printed	PLANNED	4	8	8	12	16	18
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	4	8				
	REPLANNED			8	12	16	18
Student enrollment (cum. man years)	PLANNED	1,500	1,500	3,500	6,500	10,500	20,000
	ACTUAL PERFORMANCE	900	900				
	REPLANNED			2,500	5,500	9,500	19,500
B. QUALITATIVE INDICATORS FOR MAJOR OUTPUTS		COMMENT:					
1. Lesson marking system in operation		Target: 4-week maximum turn around time Comment: 6-7 week delay is average today but is coming down.					
2. Research on effectiveness		COMMENT: Target: 8,000 pass the P-2 exam by 1975, and research to indicate the R/C program is providing effective education. Comment: 810 have passed the P-2. Research has not been started.					
3.		COMMENT:					

AID 1020-25 (110-70)	PROJECT NO.	PAR FOR PERIOD: 6/69	COUNTRY	PAR SERIAL NO.
PAGE 4 PAR	615-11-650-927	8/70	KENYA	71-1

IV. PROJECT PURPOSE

1. Statement of purpose as currently envisaged.

2. Same as in PROP? YES NO

Create a viable radio/correspondence unit to provide secondary education, especially upgrade primary school teachers.

B. 1. Conditions which will exist when above purpose is achieved.	2. Evidence to date of progress toward these conditions.
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. R/C staff directs and operates entire program for at least one year with university personnel in strictly advisory role. 2. At least 9,000 people have successfully completed a two-year secondary education program via R/C by 1975. 3. Budget of \$260,000 per year from GOK is assured. 4. R/C courses are accredited. 5. Evidence that R/C System and Trained System are effective 6. 8,000 teachers trained through R/C passed the P-2 Examination by 1975. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No progress. All operations directed by contractor. 2. 950 have completed a two-year course. All of these, however, already had most of their secondary education completed. 3. GOK financing has been entirely satisfactory. 4. No progress. Request cannot be made until more courses are written. 5. Research has not been started. 6. 810 have passed the P-2.

V. PROGRAMMING GOAL

A. Statement of Programming Goal

Reduce dependence on expatriates by preparing qualified Africans in jobs requiring secondary level education.

B. Will the achievement of the project purpose make a significant contribution to the programming goal, given the magnitude of the national problem? Cite evidence.