

IOM Meeting



Print Message | Close

From : Al Young [REDACTED] >  
 To : [REDACTED]  
 Subject : Re: Invitation to IOM meeting April 30  
 Date : Sun, Apr 08, 2007 04:22 PM

Paul, Excellent letter! Some suggestions:

1. Rather than using "Columbia Model", the IOM calls it the "Agent Orange Exposure Reconstruction Model." The IOM is reluctant to say "Columbia Model", wanting to remain impartial (?).
2. You mention "fails to accurately identify the physical areas sprayed", but I think it is an issue of placing too much accuracy that simply isn't realistic. They suggest 50 m, but as you have shown repeatedly aircraft formation and other factors would not have allowed such accuracy.
3. Although RANCH HAND was responsible for the dissemination of 95% of the tactical herbicides, only 62% percent of the herbicide was contaminated with dioxin (check your spelling).

Perhaps I am now guilty of too much detail.

Lastly, I would recommend you enclose a brief one page biography.

I think this letter will alert members of the Committee to some additional issues.

Hope you have had a Happy Easter!

Best regards,

Al

In a message dated 4/8/2007 12:59:54 P.M. Mountain Daylight Time, [REDACTED] writes:

Al:  
 Cold here with snowflakes and sleet occasionally. Typical Texas with 90 one day and 30 the next.  
 Attached is my proposed letter to Dr. Joellenbeck, with copies to the two Texas Senators. I think it is time to get adamant about the flaws in the Columbia model (through error, not intent). Perhaps if the Senators get involved we may attract more attention. Let me know your thoughts. I would like to get it off today, via snail-mail.  
 Paul

See what's free at AOL.com.

90v