

psychological and psychosocial disorders appears unrelated to TCDD body burdens in Ranch Hands.

SUMMARY

The psychological assessment was based on analyses of verified psychological disorders; reported sleep disorders; and two psychological instruments, the SCL-90-R and the MCMI, in association with serum dioxin levels. Tables 9-55, 9-56, and 9-57 present the results of these analyses based on initial dioxin for Ranch Hands, current dioxin and time since tour for Ranch Hands, and current dioxin category for Ranch Hands and Comparisons.

Questionnaire: Verified

Five psychological disorders were analyzed in the psychological assessment: psychoses, alcohol dependence, drug dependence, anxiety, and other neuroses. These disorders were self-reported and later verified by a medical record review. Participants with a pre-SEA history of these disorders were excluded from the analyses along with participants with PTSD as determined from the MMPI.

Model 1: Ranch Hands - Log₂ (Initial Dioxin)

In the unadjusted analysis of psychoses, a marginally significant negative association was found with initial dioxin under the minimal assumption. After adjustment for race and education, the negative association between psychoses and initial dioxin became significant (Table 9-55: $p=0.042$) for the minimal cohort.

There were no significant findings in the analysis of alcohol dependence, and there were only two participants (both Comparisons) with a verified self-reported history of a drug dependence.

In the unadjusted analyses of anxiety and the ICD-9-CM code-based category of "other neuroses," the minimal analyses were nonsignificant, but the maximal analyses detected a significant positive association with initial dioxin for both of these psychological disorders (Table 9-55: $p=0.34$ and $p=0.004$). The adjusted analyses of anxiety and other neuroses displayed nonsignificant positive associations with initial dioxin under both assumptions.

Model 2: Ranch Hands - Log₂ (Current Dioxin) and Time

The association between current dioxin and the verified psychological disorder variables did not differ significantly between the time since tour strata for any of the unadjusted analyses. However, for other neuroses, the unadjusted maximal analysis detected a marginally significant current dioxin-by-time interaction. Also, under the maximal assumption, there was a significant positive association between current dioxin and anxiety and between current dioxin and other neuroses in the unadjusted analysis of Ranch Hands with less than or equal to 18.6 years since tour (Table 9-56: $p=0.034$ and $p=0.003$, respectively).

TABLE 9-55.

**Summary of Initial Dioxin Analyses for Psychology Variables
Based on Minimal and Maximal Assumptions
(Ranch Hands Only)**

Variable	Unadjusted		Adjusted	
	Minimal	Maximal	Minimal	Maximal
Questionnaire: Verified				
Psychoses (D)	ns*	NS	-0.042	ns
Alcohol Dependence (D)	NS	NS	ns	NS
Anxiety (D)	NS	+0.034	NS	NS
Other Neuroses (D)	NS	+0.004	NS	NS
Questionnaire: Sleep Disorders				
Trouble Falling Asleep (D)	ns	NS	ns*	ns
Waking Up During the Night (D)	ns	ns	ns	ns
Waking Up Too Early and Can't Go Back to Sleep (D)	ns	NS	** (ns)	ns
Waking Up Unrefreshed (D)	NS	+0.027	NS	NS
Involuntarily Falling Asleep During the Day (D)	ns	NS	** (ns)	** (NS)
Great or Disabling Fatigue During the Day (D)	ns	NS	ns	ns
Frightening Dreams (D)	NS	+0.025	** (NS)	NS*
Talking in Sleep (D)	NS	NS	NS	NS
Sleepwalking (D)	NS	NS	****	NS
Abnormal Movement/Activity During the Night (D)	NS	NS	ns	NS
Sleep Problems Requiring Medication (D)	ns	ns	-0.023	-0.032
Snore Loudly in All Sleeping Positions (D)	ns	NS	** (ns)	NS

TABLE 9-55. (Continued)

**Summary of Initial Dioxin Analyses for Psychology Variables
Based on Minimal and Maximal Assumptions
(Ranch Hands Only)**

Variable	Unadjusted		Adjusted	
	Minimal	Maximal	Minimal	Maximal
Questionnaire: Sleep Disorders (continued)				
Insomnia (D)	ns	ns	ns	ns
Overall Sleep Disorder Index (D)	ns	NS	ns	ns
Average Sleep Each Night ^a (C)	ns	ns	ns	ns
Physical Examination: SCL-90-R				
Anxiety (D)	NS	+0.022	NS	NS
Depression (D)	NS*	+0.029	NS	NS
Hostility (D)	NS	NS	ns	NS
Interpersonal Sensitivity (D)	NS	NS*	** (NS)	NS
Obsessive-Compulsive Behavior (D)	NS*	+0.002	NS	NS*
Paranoid Ideation (D)	ns	ns	ns	ns
Phobic Anxiety (D)	NS	NS	ns	NS
Psychoticism (D)	NS	+0.022	NS	NS*
Somatization (D)	NS	NS*	NS	NS
Global Severity Index (D)	NS*	+0.013	NS	NS
Positive Symptom Total (D)	NS*	+0.043	NS	NS
Positive Symptom Distress Index (D)	NS	NS	NS	NS
Physical Examination: MCMI				
<u>Basic Personality Patterns</u>				
Schizoid Score (C)	+<0.001	+<0.001	+0.002	+0.002
Avoidant Score (C)	+<0.001	+<0.001	** (+0.003)	+0.038
Dependent Score (C)	+0.027	+0.009	+0.018	+0.037
Histrionic Score (C)	-0.003	-0.002	-0.011	-0.037
Narcissistic Score (C)	-0.007	-0.003	ns*	-0.012
Antisocial Score (C)	ns	ns	** (ns)	****
Compulsive Score (C)	ns	ns	NS	NS

TABLE 9-55. (Continued)
Summary of Initial Dioxin Analyses for Psychology Variables
Based on Minimal and Maximal Assumptions
(Ranch Hands Only)

Variable	Unadjusted		Adjusted	
	Minimal	Maximal	Minimal	Maximal
Physical Examination:				
MCMI (continued)				
<u>Basic Personality Patterns (continued)</u>				
Passive-Aggressive Score (C)	+0.046	+<0.001	NS	NS
<u>Pathological Personality Disorders</u>				
Schizotypal Score (C)	+<0.001	+<0.001	+<0.001	+0.001
Borderline Score (C)	NS	+0.028	NS	** (NS)
Paranoid Score (C)	NS	NS	NS	ns
<u>Clinical Symptom Syndromes</u>				
Anxiety Score (C)	+0.046	+<0.001	** (NS*)	****
Somatoform Score (C)	NS	+0.033	NS	+0.011
Hypomania Score (C)	ns*	ns	** (ns*)	** (ns)
Dysthymia Score (C)	NS	+0.031	****	****
Alcohol Abuse Score (C)	NS	NS	ns	ns
Drug Abuse Score (C)	ns	NS	ns	ns
Psychotic Thinking Score (C)	+<0.001	+<0.001	+0.001	+0.021
Psychotic Depression Score (C)	+0.005	+<0.001	+0.035	NS*
Psychotic Delusion Score (C)	NS	NS*	NS	NS

^aNegative slope considered adverse for this variable.

C: Continuous analysis.

D: Discrete analysis.

+: Relative risk 1.00 or greater for discrete analysis; slope nonnegative for continuous analysis.

-: Relative risk less than 1.00 for discrete analysis; slope negative for continuous analysis.

NS/ns: Not significant ($p > 0.10$).

NS*/ns*: Marginally significant ($0.05 < p \leq 0.10$).

** (NS)/** (ns): Log_2 (initial dioxin)-by-covariate interaction ($0.01 < p \leq 0.05$); not significant when interaction is deleted; refer to Appendix Table H-1 for a detailed description of this interaction.

** (NS*)/** (ns*): Log_2 (initial dioxin)-by-covariate interaction ($0.01 < p \leq 0.05$); marginally significant when interaction is deleted; refer to Appendix Table H-1 for a detailed description of this interaction.

** (...): Log_2 (initial dioxin)-by-covariate interaction ($0.01 < p \leq 0.05$); significant when interaction is deleted and p-value is given in parentheses; refer to Appendix Table H-1 for a detailed description of this interaction.

****: Log_2 (initial dioxin)-by-covariate interaction ($p \leq 0.01$); refer to Appendix Table H-1 for a detailed description of this interaction.

Note: P-value given if $p \leq 0.05$.

A capital "NS" denotes relative risk 1.00 or greater for discrete analysis or slope nonnegative for continuous analysis; a lowercase "ns" denotes relative risk less than 1.00 for discrete analysis or slope negative for continuous analysis.

TABLE 9-56.

**Summary of Current Dioxin and Time Analyses for Psychology
Variables Based on Minimal and Maximal Assumptions
(Ranch Hands Only)**

Variable	C*T	Unadjusted			C*T	≤18.6	>18.6
		Minimal		Maximal			
		C*T	≤18.6	>18.6		≤18.6	>18.6
Questionnaire:							
Verified							
Psychoses (D)	ns	ns	ns	ns	NS	ns	
Alcohol Dependence (D)	ns	NS	ns	ns	NS	ns	
Anxiety (D)	NS	NS	NS	ns	+0.034	NS	
Other Neuroses (D)	ns	NS	NS	ns*	+0.003	NS	
Questionnaire:							
Sleep Disorders							
Trouble Falling Asleep (D)	NS	ns	NS	ns	NS	ns	
Waking Up During the Night (D)	ns	ns	ns	ns	NS	ns	
Waking Up Too Early and Can't Go Back to Sleep (D)	ns	NS	ns	ns	NS	ns	
Waking Up Unrefreshed (D)	NS	ns	NS	NS	NS	+0.030	
Involuntarily Falling Asleep During the Day (D)	ns	NS	ns	ns*	NS	ns	
Great or Disabling Fatigue During the Day (D)	NS	ns	ns	ns	NS	ns	
Frightening Dreams (D)	ns	NS	NS	ns	+0.011	NS	
Talking in Sleep (D)	ns	NS	NS	ns	NS	NS	
Sleepwalking (D)	NS	ns	NS	NS	NS	NS	
Abnormal Movement/Activity During the Night (D)	ns	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	
Sleep Problems							
Requiring Medication (D)	NS	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	
Snore Loudly in All Sleeping Positions (D)	ns	ns	ns	ns	NS	NS	
Insomnia (D)	ns	NS	ns	ns*	NS	ns	
Overall Sleep Disorder Index (D)	ns	NS	ns	ns	NS	ns	
Average Sleep Each Night ^a (C)	ns	ns	ns	NS	ns	ns	

TABLE 9-56. (Continued)

**Summary of Current Dioxin and Time Analyses for Psychology
Variables Based on Minimal and Maximal Assumptions
(Ranch Hands Only)**

Variable	Unadjusted					
	C*T	Minimal		C*T	Maximal	
		≤18.6	>18.6		≤18.6	>18.6
Physical Examination: SCL-90-R						
Anxiety (D)	NS	NS	+0.031	NS	NS	NS*
Depression (D)	NS	NS	+0.017	ns	NS	NS*
Hostility (D)	ns	NS	NS	ns	NS	NS
Interpersonal Sensitivity (D)	ns	NS*	NS	ns	+0.018	NS
Obsessive-Compulsive Behavior (D)	NS	NS	+0.031	NS	+0.043	+0.018
Paranoid Ideation (D)	ns	ns	ns	ns	NS	ns
Phobic Anxiety (D)	NS	ns	NS	ns	NS	NS
Psychoticism (D)	NS	NS	NS	ns	NS	NS
Somatization (D)	+0.015	ns	NS*	NS	NS	NS
Global Severity Index (D)	NS	NS	+0.026	ns	NS*	NS*
Positive Symptom Total (D)	NS	NS	NS	ns	+0.041	NS
Positive Symptom Distress Index (D)	NS	ns	NS	ns	NS	NS
Physical Examination: MCMJ						
Basic Personality Patterns						
Schizoid Score (C)	NS*	NS	+<0.001	NS*	NS	+<0.001
Avoidant Score (C)	+0.028	NS	+<0.001	NS*	NS	+<0.001
Dependent Score (C)	NS	NS	+0.033	NS	NS	+0.023
Histrionic Score (C)	ns*	ns	-0.001	ns*	ns	-<0.001
Narcissistic Score (C)	ns	ns	-0.015	ns	ns	-0.005
Antisocial Score (C)	ns	NS	ns	ns	NS	ns
Compulsive Score (C)	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Passive-Aggressive Score (C)	NS	NS	+0.037	NS	+0.044	+0.007

TABLE 9-56. (Continued)

**Summary of Current Dioxin and Time Analyses for Psychology
Variables Based on Minimal and Maximal Assumptions
(Ranch Hands Only)**

		Unadjusted				
		Minimal		Maximal		
Variable	C*T	≤18.6	>18.6	C*T	≤18.6	>18.6
Physical Examination:						
MCMC (continued)						
<u>Pathological Personality Disorders</u>						
Schizotypal Score (C)	NS	NS	+<0.001	NS	+0.037	+<0.001
Borderline Score (C)	NS	ns	NS	NS	NS	NS*
Paranoid Score (C)	NS	NS	NS	ns	NS	NS
<u>Clinical Symptom Syndromes</u>						
Anxiety Score (C)	NS	ns	+0.026	ns	+0.038	+0.028
Somatoform Score (C)	NS	NS	NS	ns	NS*	NS
Hypomania Score (C)	ns	ns	ns*	ns	ns	-0.050
Dysthymia Score (C)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS*
Alcohol Abuse Score (C)	NS	ns	NS	NS	ns	NS
Drug Abuse Score (C)	ns	NS	ns	ns	NS	ns
Psychotic Thinking Score (C)	NS*	NS	+<0.001	NS*	NS	+<0.001
Psychotic Depression Score (C)	NS	NS	+0.006	NS	NS	+<0.001
Psychotic Delusion Score (C)	NS	NS	+0.041	NS	NS	+0.020

^aNegative slope considered adverse for this variable.

C: Continuous analysis.

D: Discrete analysis.

+: C*T: Relative risk/slope for ≤18.6 category less than relative risk/slope for >18.6 category.

≤18.6 and >18.6: Relative risk 1.00 or greater for discrete analysis; slope nonnegative for continuous analysis.

-. C*T: Relative risk/slope for ≤18.6 category greater than relative risk/slope for >18.6 category.

≤18.6 and >18.6: Relative risk less than 1.00 for discrete analysis; slope negative for continuous analysis.

NS/ns: Not significant (p>0.10).

NS*/ns*: Marginally significant (0.05<p≤0.10).

Note: P-value given if p≤0.05.

C*T: Log₂ (current dioxin)-by-time interaction hypothesis test.

≤18.6: Log₂ (current dioxin) hypothesis test for Ranch Hands with time since end of tour of 18.6 years or less.

>18.6: Log₂ (current dioxin) hypothesis test for Ranch Hands with time since end of tour greater than 18.6 years.

A capital "NS" denotes relative risk/slope for ≤18.6 category less than relative risk/slope for >18.6 category, relative risk 1.00 or greater for discrete analysis, or slope nonnegative for continuous analysis; a lowercase

"ns" denotes relative risk/slope for ≤18.6 category greater than relative risk/slope for >18.6 category, relative risk less than 1.00 for discrete analysis, or slope negative for continuous analysis.

TABLE 9-56. (Continued)

**Summary of Current Dioxin and Time Analyses for Psychology
Variables Based on Minimal and Maximal Assumptions
(Ranch Hands Only)**

Variable	Adjusted					
	Minimal			Maximal		
	C*T	≤18.6	>18.6	C*T	≤18.6	>18.6
Questionnaire: Verified						
Psychoses (D)	ns	ns	ns	ns	NS	ns
Alcohol Dependence (D)	ns	NS	ns	ns	NS	ns
Anxiety (D)	NS	NS	NS	ns	NS	NS
Other Neuroses (D)	ns	NS	ns	ns	NS*	ns
Questionnaire: Sleep Disorders						
Trouble Falling Asleep (D)	NS	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Waking Up During the Night (D)	****	****	****	****	****	****
Waking Up Too Early and Can't Go Back to Sleep (D)	ns	NS	ns	ns	NS	ns
Waking Up Unrefreshed (D)	** (NS)	** (ns)	** (NS)	NS	ns	NS
Involuntarily Falling Asleep During the Day (D)	ns	NS	ns	ns*	NS	ns
Great or Disabling Fatigue During the Day (D)	****	****	****	ns	NS	ns
Frightening Dreams (D)	****	****	****	** (ns)	** (+0.033)	** (NS)
Talking in Sleep (D)	ns	NS	NS	ns	NS	NS
Sleepwalking (D)	NS	ns	NS	NS	NS	NS
Abnormal Movement/ Activity During the Night (D)	ns	NS	ns	NS	NS	NS
Sleep Problems Requiring Medication (D)	NS	ns*	ns*	NS	ns	ns*
Snore Loudly in All Sleeping Positions (D)	ns	ns	ns	ns	NS	NS
Insomnia (D)	ns	NS	ns	ns*	NS	ns*
Overall Sleep Disorder Index (D)	ns	ns	ns*	ns	NS	ns
Average Sleep Each Night ^a (C)	****	****	****	** (NS)	** (ns)	** (ns)

TABLE 9-56. (Continued)

**Summary of Current Dioxin and Time Analyses for Psychology
Variables Based on Minimal and Maximal Assumptions
(Ranch Hands Only)**

Variable	Adjusted					
	Minimal			Maximal		
	C*T	≤18.6	>18.6	C*T	≤18.6	>18.6
Physical Examination:						
SCL-90-R						
Anxiety (D)	NS*	ns	+0.028	NS	NS	NS
Depression (D)	NS	ns	NS	ns	NS	NS
Hostility (D)	NS	ns	ns	ns	NS	ns
Interpersonal Sensitivity (D)	ns	NS	NS	ns	NS*	NS
Obsessive-Compulsive Behavior (D)	NS	ns	NS	ns	NS	NS
Paranoid Ideation (D)	ns	ns	ns	ns	NS	ns
Phobic Anxiety (D)	** (NS)	** (ns)	** (NS)	** (ns)	** (NS)	** (NS)
Psychoticism (D)	NS	NS	NS	ns	NS	NS
Somatization (D)	+0.025	ns	NS*	** (NS)	** (NS)	** (NS)
Global Severity Index (D)	** (NS)	** (NS)	** (NS)	ns	NS	NS
Positive Symptom Total (D)	NS	NS	NS	ns	NS	NS
Positive Symptom Distress Index (D)	NS	ns	NS	ns	NS	NS
Physical Examination:						
MCMI						
Basic Personality Patterns						
Schizoid Score (C)	NS*	NS	+0.001	** (+0.044)	** (NS)	** (+<0.001)
Avoidant Score (C)	+0.029	NS	+<0.001	+0.045	ns	+0.006
Dependent Score (C)	NS	NS	+0.020	NS	NS	+0.026
Histrionic Score (C)	ns	ns	-0.006	****	****	****
Narcissistic Score (C)	ns	NS	ns*	ns*	ns	-0.009
Antisocial Score (C)	ns	NS	ns	ns	NS	ns
Compulsive Score (C)	ns	NS	NS	ns	NS	NS
Passive-Aggressive Score (C)	NS	ns	NS	NS	NS	NS
Pathological Personality Disorders						
Schizotypal Score (C)	NS	NS	+ 0.002	NS	NS	+0.002
Borderline Score (C)	NS	ns	NS	NS	NS	NS
Paranoid Score (C)	NS	NS	NS	ns	NS	NS

TABLE 9-56. (Continued)

**Summary of Current Dioxin and Time Analyses for Psychology
Variables Based on Minimal and Maximal Assumptions
(Ranch Hands Only)**

Variable	C*T	Adjusted				
		Minimal		Maximal		
		≤18.6	>18.6	C*T	≤18.6	>18.6
Physical Examination:						
MCMI (continued)						
<u>Clinical Symptom Syndromes</u>						
Anxiety Score (C)	NS	ns	NS*	ns	NS	NS
Somatoform Score (C)	NS	NS	NS	ns	+0.030	NS
Hypomania Score (C)	ns	ns	ns*	ns	NS	-0.045
Dysthymia Score (C)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS*
Alcohol Abuse Score (C)	NS	ns	NS	NS	ns	ns
Drug Abuse Score (C)	ns	NS	ns	ns	NS	ns
Psychotic Thinking Score (C)	NS*	NS	+<0.001	NS*	NS	+0.001
Psychotic Depression Score (C)	NS	NS	+0.034	NS	NS	+0.017
Psychotic Delusion Score (C)	NS	ns	NS	NS	ns	NS*

^aNegative slope considered adverse for this variable.

C: Continuous analysis.

D: Discrete analysis.

+: C*T: Relative risk/slope for ≤18.6 category less than relative risk/slope for >18.6 category.

≤18.6 and >18.6: Relative risk 1.00 or greater for discrete analysis; slope nonnegative for continuous analysis.

-: C*T: Relative risk/slope for ≤18.6 category greater than relative risk/slope for >18.6 category.

≤18.6 and >18.6: Relative risk less than 1.00 for discrete analysis; slope negative for continuous analysis.

NS/ns: Not significant ($p > 0.10$).

NS*/ns*: Marginally significant ($0.05 < p \leq 0.10$).

** (NS)/** (ns): \log_2 (current dioxin)-by-time-by-covariate interaction ($0.01 < p \leq 0.05$); not significant when interaction is deleted; refer to Appendix Table H-1 for a detailed description of this interaction.

** (...): Categorized current dioxin-by-covariate interaction ($0.01 < p \leq 0.05$); significant when interaction is deleted and p-value is given in parentheses; refer to Appendix Table H-1 for a detailed description of this interaction.

****: \log_2 (current dioxin)-by-time-by-covariate interaction ($p \leq 0.01$); refer to Appendix Table H-1 for a detailed description of this interaction.

Note: P-value given if $p \leq 0.05$.

C*T: \log_2 (current dioxin)-by-time interaction hypothesis test.

≤18.6: \log_2 (current dioxin) hypothesis test for Ranch Hands with time since end of tour of 18.6 years or less.

>18.6 \log_2 (current dioxin) hypothesis test for Ranch Hands with time since end of tour greater than 18.6 years.

A capital "NS" denotes relative risk/slope for ≤18.6 category less than relative risk/slope for >18.6 category, relative risk 1.00 or greater for discrete analysis, or slope nonnegative for continuous analysis; a lowercase "ns" denotes relative risk/slope for ≤18.6 category greater than relative risk/slope for >18.6 category, relative risk less than 1.00 for discrete analysis, or slope negative for continuous analysis.

TABLE 9-57.

**Summary of Categorized Current Dioxin Analyses for Psychology Variables
(Ranch Hands and Comparisons)**

		Unadjusted		
Variable	All	Unknown versus Background	Low versus Background	High versus Background
Questionnaire: Verified				
Psychoses (D)	NS	ns	ns	ns
Alcohol Dependence (D)	NS	NS	ns	NS
Anxiety (D)	NS	ns	NS	NS
Other Neuroses (D)	0.008	ns	+0.003	NS
Questionnaire: Sleep Disorders				
Trouble Falling Asleep (D)	NS	ns	ns*	ns
Waking Up During the Night (D)	NS	ns	ns	ns
Waking Up Too Early and Can't Go Back to Sleep (D)	NS	ns	ns	ns
Waking Up Unrefreshed (D)	NS*	ns*	ns	NS
Involuntarily Falling Asleep During the Day (D)	NS	NS	ns	NS
Great or Disabling Fatigue During the Day (D)	NS	ns	NS	NS
Frightening Dreams (D)	0.010	ns	ns	+0.002
Talking in Sleep (D)	NS	ns	ns	+0.038
Sleepwalking (D)	NS	NS	ns	NS
Abnormal Movement/ Activity During the Night (D)	NS	ns	NS	ns
Sleep Problems Requiring Medication (D)	NS	NS	NS	ns
Snore Loudly in All Sleeping Positions (D)	NS	ns	NS	NS
Insomnia (D)	NS	ns	ns	ns
Overall Sleep Disorder Index (D)	NS	ns	NS	NS
Average Sleep Each Night ^a (C)	NS	NS	NS	ns

TABLE 9-57. (Continued)

**Summary of Categorized Current Dioxin Analyses for Psychology Variables
(Ranch Hands and Comparisons)**

Variable	All	Unadjusted		
		Unknown versus Background	Low versus Background	High versus Background
Physical Examination: SCL-90-R				
Anxiety (D)	0.043	ns	NS	+0.012
Depression (D)	NS*	ns	NS	+0.015
Hostility (D)	NS	ns	NS	NS
Interpersonal Sensitivity (D)	NS	ns	ns	NS
Obsessive-Compulsive Behavior (D)	NS*	ns	NS	NS*
Paranoid Ideation (D)	NS	ns	NS	ns
Phobic Anxiety (D)	0.042	-0.024	NS	NS
Psychoticism (D)	NS	ns	ns	NS
Somatization (D)	NS	ns	NS	NS*
Global Severity Index (D)	0.025	ns	NS	+0.005
Positive Symptom Total (D)	NS*	ns	NS	+0.019
Positive Symptom Distress Index (D)	NS*	ns	NS	NS
Physical Examination: MCMI				
<u>Basic Personality Patterns</u>				
Schizoid Score (C)	<0.001	ns	NS	+<0.001
Avoidant Score (C)	0.035	ns	NS	+0.032
Dependent Score (C)	0.033	-0.032	ns*	NS
Histrionic Score (C)	0.014	NS	ns	-0.003
Narcissistic Score (C)	0.025	+0.048	NS	ns
Antisocial Score (C)	NS*	NS	+0.016	NS
Compulsive Score (C)	NS	NS	NS	ns
Passive-Aggressive Score (C)	NS*	ns	NS	NS
<u>Pathological Personality Disorders</u>				
Schizotypal Score (C)	0.003	ns	NS	+0.004
Borderline Score (C)	NS	-0.033	ns	NS
Paranoid Score (C)	NS	NS	NS	NS

TABLE 9-57. (Continued)

**Summary of Categorized Current Dioxin Analyses for Psychology Variables
(Ranch Hands and Comparisons)**

Variable	All	Unadjusted		
		Unknown versus Background	Low versus Background	High versus Background
Physical Examination: MCMJ (continued)				
<u>Clinical Symptom Syndromes</u>				
Anxiety Score (C)	0.038	-0.023	ns	NS
Somatoform Score (C)	NS	ns	ns	NS
Hypomania Score (C)	NS	NS	NS	ns*
Dysthymia Score (C)	NS	ns*	ns	NS
Alcohol Abuse Score (C)	NS	ns	ns	ns
Drug Abuse Score (C)	NS	ns	NS	ns
Psychotic Thinking Score (C)	0.004	ns*	ns	+0.012
Psychotic Depression Score (C)	NS*	ns*	ns	NS
Psychotic Delusion Score (C)	NS*	NS	NS*	+0.026

^aNegative difference considered adverse for this variable.

C: Continuous analysis.

D: Discrete analysis.

+: Relative risk 1.00 or greater for discrete analysis; difference in means nonnegative for continuous analysis.

-: Relative risk less than 1.00 for discrete analysis; difference in means negative for continuous analysis.

NS/ns: Not significant ($p > 0.10$).

NS*/ns*: Marginally significant ($0.05 < p \leq 0.10$).

Note: P-value given if $p \leq 0.05$.

A capital "NS" denotes relative risk 1.00 or greater for discrete analysis or difference of means nonnegative for continuous analysis; a lowercase "ns" denotes relative risk less than 1.00 for discrete analysis or difference of means negative for continuous analysis; a capital "NS" in the first column does not imply directionality.

TABLE 9-57. (Continued)

**Summary of Categorized Current Dioxin Analyses for Psychology Variables
(Ranch Hands and Comparisons)**

Variable	All	Adjusted		
		Unknown versus Background	Low versus Background	High versus Background
Questionnaire: Verified				
Psychoses (D)	NS	ns	ns	ns
Alcohol Dependence (D)	NS	NS	ns	NS
Anxiety (D)	NS	ns	NS	NS
Other Neuroses (D)	0.024	NS	+0.003	NS
Questionnaire: Sleep Disorders				
Trouble Falling Asleep (D)	NS*	ns	ns*	ns*
Waking Up During the Night (D)	** (NS)	** (ns)	** (ns)	** (ns)
Waking Up Too Early and Can't Go Back to Sleep (D)	NS	ns	ns	ns
Waking Up Unrefreshed (D)	NS	ns*	ns	NS
Involuntarily Falling Asleep During the Day (D)	NS	NS	ns	NS
Great or Disabling Fatigue During the Day (D)	NS	ns	NS	ns
Frightening Dreams (D)	0.035	ns	ns	+0.007
Talking in Sleep (D)	NS	NS	ns	NS
Sleepwalking (D)	NS	NS	ns	NS
Abnormal Movement/ Activity During the Night (D)	NS	ns	NS	ns
Sleep Problems Requiring Medication (D)	NS	NS	NS	ns
Snore Loudly in All Sleeping Positions (D)	0.049	-0.050	NS	NS
Insomnia (D)	NS	ns	ns	ns
Overall Sleep Disorder Index (D)	NS	ns	ns	NS
Average Sleep Each Night ^a (C)	NS	NS	NS	ns

TABLE 9-57. (Continued)

**Summary of Categorized Current Dioxin Analyses for Psychology Variables
(Ranch Hands and Comparisons)**

Variable	All	Adjusted		
		Unknown versus Background	Low versus Background	High versus Background
Physical Examination:				
SCL-90-R				
Anxiety (D)	NS	ns	NS	NS
Depression (D)	NS	ns	NS	NS*
Hostility (D)	NS	ns	NS	ns
Interpersonal Sensitivity (D)	NS	ns	ns	NS
Obsessive-Compulsive Behavior (D)	NS	ns	NS	NS
Paranoid Ideation (D)	NS	ns	NS	ns
Phobic Anxiety (D)	NS*	-0.033	NS	ns
Psychoticism (D)	NS	ns	ns	NS
Somatization (D)	** (NS)	** (NS)	** (NS)	** (NS)
Global Severity Index (D)	NS	ns	NS	NS*
Positive Symptom Total (D)	NS	ns	NS	NS
Positive Symptom Distress Index (D)	NS*	ns	NS	NS
Physical Examination:				
MCMJ				
Basic Personality Patterns				
Schizoid Score (C)	0.027	ns	NS	+0.006
Avoidant Score (C)	NS	ns	NS	NS
Dependent Score (C)	NS	ns	-0.037	NS
Histrionic Score (C)	NS	ns	ns	-0.020
Narcissistic Score (C)	NS*	NS*	NS	ns
Antisocial Score (C)	** (NS*)	** (NS)	** (+0.012)	** (NS)
Compulsive Score (C)	NS	NS	NS	NS
Passive-Aggressive Score (C)	** (NS)	** (ns)	** (NS)	** (NS)
Pathological Personality Disorders				
Schizotypal Score (C)	NS*	ns	ns	+0.029
Borderline Score (C)	** (NS)	** (ns)	** (ns)	** (ns)
Paranoid Score (C)	NS	NS*	NS	NS

TABLE 9-57. (Continued)

**Summary of Categorized Current Dioxin Analyses for Psychology Variables
(Ranch Hands and Comparisons)**

Variable	All	Adjusted		
		Unknown versus Background	Low versus Background	High versus Background
Physical Examination:				
MCMI (continued)				
<u>Clinical Symptom Syndromes</u>				
Anxiety Score (C)	** (NS)	** (ns)	** (ns)	** (NS)
Somatoform Score (C)	** (NS)	** (ns)	** (ns)	** (NS)
Hypomania Score (C)	****	****	****	****
Dysthymia Score (C)	** (NS)	** (ns)	** (ns)	** (NS)
Alcohol Abuse Score (C)	****	****	****	****
Drug Abuse Score (C)	NS	ns	NS	ns
Psychotic Thinking Score (C)	NS	ns	ns	NS
Psychotic Depression Score (C)	NS	ns	ns	NS
Psychotic Delusion Score (C)	NS	NS	NS	NS

^aNegative difference considered adverse for this variable.

C: Continuous analysis.

D: Discrete analysis.

+: Relative risk 1.00 or greater for discrete analysis; difference in means nonnegative for continuous analysis.

-: Relative risk less than 1.00 for discrete analysis; difference in means negative for continuous analysis.

NS/ns: Not significant ($p > 0.10$).

NS*/ns*: Marginally significant ($0.05 < p \leq 0.10$).

** (NS)/** (ns): Categorized current dioxin-by-covariate interaction ($0.01 < p \leq 0.05$); not significant when interaction is deleted; refer to Appendix Table H-1 for a detailed description of this interaction.

** (NS*): Categorized current dioxin-by-covariate interaction ($0.01 < p \leq 0.05$), marginally significant when interaction is deleted; refer to Appendix Table H-1 for a detailed description of this interaction.

** (...): Categorized current dioxin-by-covariate interaction ($0.01 < p \leq 0.05$); significant when interaction is deleted and p-value is given in parentheses; refer to Appendix Table H-1 for a detailed description of this interaction.

****: Log₂ (current dioxin)-by-time-by-covariate interaction ($p \leq 0.01$); refer to Appendix Table H-1 for a detailed description of this interaction.

Note: P-value given if $p \leq 0.05$.

A capital "NS" denotes relative risk 1.00 or greater for discrete analysis or difference of means nonnegative for continuous analysis; a lowercase "ns" denotes relative risk less than 1.00 for discrete analysis or difference of means negative for continuous analysis; a capital "NS" in the first column does not imply directionality.

The adjusted analyses of the verified psychological disorder variables did not detect any significant interactions between current dioxin and time since tour or any significant associations with current dioxin within the time strata. There was a marginally significant positive association exhibited between other neuroses and current dioxin for Ranch Hands in the maximal cohort with 18.6 years or less since the end of their tour.

Model 3: Ranch Hand and Comparisons by Current Dioxin Category

In the unadjusted analyses of the verified psychological variables, other neuroses was the only variable with a significant overall contrast of the four current dioxin categories (Table 9-57: $p=0.008$). For this variable, the percentage of verified cases was significantly higher for the Ranch Hands in the low current dioxin category than for the Comparisons in the background category ($p=0.003$).

The adjusted analyses showed results similar to those of the unadjusted analyses. Other neuroses was the only verified psychological variable to have a significant overall contrast (Table 9-57: $p=0.024$), and the contrast of the low versus background category was again significant ($p=0.003$) with the percentage of verified cases higher for the low category.

Questionnaire: Sleep Disorders

Based on participants' responses to a series of questions regarding sleep problems, 12 disorders were analyzed. In addition, insomnia (defined using 3 of the 12 disorders), are overall sleep disorder index, and average sleep each night were analyzed. Ranch Hands and Comparisons with PTSD based on the 1985 MMPI were excluded from the analyses of the sleep disorder variables.

Model 1: Ranch Hands - Log₂ (Initial Dioxin)

Unadjusted analyses found that none of the sleep disorders was significantly associated with initial dioxin under the minimal assumption. However, under the maximal assumption, the sleep disorders of waking up unrefreshed and frightening dreams were significantly related to an increase in initial dioxin (Table 9-55: $p=0.027$ and $p=0.025$, respectively).

Under both assumptions, the adjusted analysis found significant negative relationships between initial dioxin and the disorder of sleep problems that required medication (Table 9-55: $p=0.023$ for the minimal assumption and $p=0.032$ for the maximal assumption). Also, under the maximal assumption, there was a marginally significant positive association between initial dioxin and the percentage of Ranch Hands who reported having trouble falling asleep. Similarly, under the maximal assumption, there was a marginally significant positive association between initial dioxin and the frequency of Ranch Hands who experienced frightening dreams.

Table 9-58 lists several significant initial dioxin-by-covariate interactions in the adjusted analyses. Stratified results showed older Ranch Hands and Ranch Hands with a college education generally had a positive association between initial dioxin and the sleep disorders while younger Ranch Hands and Ranch Hands with a high school education had a

TABLE 9-58.

**Summary of Dioxin-by-Covariate Interactions from
Adjusted Analyses of Psychology Variables**

Variable	Assumption	Covariate
Model 1: Ranch Hands - Log₂ (Initial Dioxin)		
Waking Up Too Early and Can't Go Back to Sleep	Minimal	AGE
Involuntarily Falling Asleep During the Day	Minimal	RACE
Involuntarily Falling Asleep During the Day	Maximal	RACE
Frightening Dreams	Minimal	EDUC
Sleepwalking	Minimal	EDUC
Snore Loudly in All Sleeping Positions	Minimal	AGE
Interpersonal Sensitivity (SCL-90-R)	Minimal	EDUC
Avoidant Score (MCMI)	Minimal	EDUC
Antisocial Score (MCMI)	Minimal	ALC
Antisocial Score (MCMI)	Maximal	ALC
Borderline Score (MCMI)	Maximal	EDUC
Anxiety Score (MCMI)	Minimal	RACE
Anxiety Score (MCMI)	Maximal	RACE
Hypomania Score (MCMI)	Minimal	RACE
Hypomania Score (MCMI)	Maximal	RACE
Dysthymia Score (MCMI)	Minimal	RACE
Dysthymia Score (MCMI)	Maximal	RACE
Model 2: Ranch Hands - Log₂ (Current Dioxin) and Time		
Waking Up During the Night	Minimal	AGE
Waking Up During the Night	Maximal	ALC
Waking Up Unrefreshed	Minimal	AGE
Great or Disabling Fatigue During the Day	Minimal	AGE
Frightening Dreams	Minimal	RACE
Frightening Dreams	Maximal	RACE
Average Sleep Each Night	Minimal	RACE
Average Sleep Each Night	Maximal	RACE
Phobic Anxiety (SCL-90-R)	Minimal	RACE
Phobic Anxiety (SCL-90-R)	Maximal	RACE
Somatization (SCL-90-R)	Maximal	ALC
Global Severity Index (SCL-90-R)	Minimal	RACE
Schizoid Score (MCMI)	Maximal	DRKYR

TABLE 9-58. (Continued)

**Summary of Dioxin-by-Covariate Interactions from
Adjusted Analyses of Psychology Variables**

Variable	Assumption	Covariate
Model 3: Ranch Hands and Comparisons by Current Dioxin Category		
Histrionic Score (MCMI)	--	RACE
Waking Up During the Night	--	RACE
Somatization (SCL-90-R)	--	RACE
Antisocial Score (MCMI)	--	ALC
Passive-Aggressive Score (MCMI)	--	AGE
Borderline Score (MCMI)	--	EDUC
Anxiety Score (MCMI)	--	RACE
Somatoform Score (MCMI)	--	ALC, DRKYR
Hypomania Score (MCMI)	--	RACE
Dysthymia Score (MCMI)	--	RACE
Alcohol Abuse Score (MCMI)	--	RACE

corresponding negative association. After deletion of these interactions from the adjusted models, none of the variables exhibited a significant association with initial dioxin.

Model 2: Ranch Hands - Log₂ (Current Dioxin) and Time

The unadjusted current dioxin and time since tour analyses of the sleep disorder variables did not exhibit any significant results under the minimal assumption. The maximal unadjusted analyses detected a marginally significant current dioxin-by-time interaction for the variables involuntarily falling asleep during the day and insomnia. However, the associations within the time strata were nonsignificant for both of these variables.

Also under the maximal assumption, the unadjusted analysis displayed a significant positive association between current dioxin and waking up unrefreshed for the time greater than 18.6 years stratum and between current dioxin and frightening dreams for the less than or equal to 18.6 years time stratum (Table 9-56: $p=0.030$ and $p=0.011$, respectively).

The adjusted analysis of the minimal cohort was similar to the corresponding unadjusted analysis. There were no significant current dioxin-by-time since tour interactions for the minimal cohort, but there were marginally significant negative associations between current dioxin and sleep problems requiring medication for both time strata and between current dioxin and the overall sleep disorder index for Ranch Hands with early tours. Under the maximal assumption, the current dioxin-by-time since tour interaction was marginally significant for both involuntarily falling asleep during the day and insomnia. Also, after the deletion of a current dioxin-by-time-by-race interaction, the maximal analysis detected a significant positive association between current dioxin and frightening dreams for the less than or equal to 18.6 years time stratum (Table 9-56: $p=0.033$). For Ranch Hands with early tours, there were marginally significant negative associations with current dioxin for sleep problems requiring medication and insomnia.

For several of the sleep disorder variables, there was a significant interaction among current dioxin, time since tour, and one of the covariates (listed in Table 9-58). Four of these interactions were with the race covariate and were mainly caused by the sparse number of Blacks with sleep disorders in the analyses.

Model 3: Ranch Hands and Comparisons by Current Dioxin Category

The unadjusted and adjusted analyses of the sleep disorder variables and categorized current dioxin were generally not significant. In the unadjusted analysis of trouble falling asleep, the contrast of the low versus background current dioxin category was marginally significant with the prevalence of trouble falling asleep lower for the Ranch Hands in the low category than for the Comparisons in the background category. The unadjusted analysis of waking up unrefreshed found a marginally significant overall difference among the current dioxin categories, with the percentage of cases of the sleep disorder lower for the Ranch Hands in the unknown category than for the Comparisons in the background category.

In the unadjusted analysis of the sleep disorder variables and categorized current dioxin, frightening dreams was the only variable with a significant overall contrast of the four

current dioxin categories (Table 9-57: $p=0.010$). The percentage of Ranch Hands in the high category who reported frightening dreams was significantly higher than the corresponding percentage of Comparisons in the background category ($p=0.002$). Similarly, the unadjusted analysis of talking in sleep displayed a significantly higher prevalence of the sleep disorder for Ranch Hands in the high current dioxin category than for Comparisons in the background category ($p=0.038$).

The adjusted analysis of trouble falling asleep detected a marginally significant overall contrast of the four current dioxin categories. The contrasts of low versus background and high versus background were also marginally significant with the prevalence of trouble falling asleep higher for Comparisons in the background category than for Ranch Hands in the low and high categories. The unknown versus background contrast for waking up unrefreshed was also marginally significant with the unknown category having a lower percentage of participants with the sleep disorder.

In the adjusted analysis, the only sleep disorders with a significant overall contrast of the four current dioxin categories were frightening dreams and snore loudly in all sleeping positions (Table 9-57: $p=0.035$ and $p=0.049$, respectively). The analysis of frightening dreams found the percentage of Ranch Hands in the high category who reported frightening dreams was significantly higher than the percentage of Comparisons in the background category ($p=0.007$). In contrast, the percentage of Ranch Hands in the unknown category who snored loudly in all sleeping positions was significantly lower than the corresponding percentage of Comparisons in the background category ($p=0.050$).

Only one significant categorized current dioxin-by-covariate interaction was detected (listed in Table 9-58). The analysis was of waking up during the night, and the covariate was race. This interaction was also most likely caused by the sparse number of Blacks who reported waking up during the night.

Physical Examination: SCL-90-R Variables

The SCL-90-R, a multidimensional self-reported symptom inventory designed to measure symptomatic psychological distress, presented nine primary symptom measures and three global indices of distress for evaluation. Participants with PTSD based on the 1985 MMPI were excluded from the analysis of these variables.

Model 1: Ranch Hands - Log₂ (Initial Dioxin)

In the unadjusted analysis of the minimal cohort, there was a marginally significant positive association between initial dioxin and the following SCL-90-R variables: depression, obsessive-compulsive behavior, global severity index, and positive symptom total. Under the maximal assumption, the positive association with initial dioxin became significant for each of the aforementioned variables. The maximal unadjusted analysis also detected significant positive associations with initial dioxin for anxiety and psychoticism and marginally significant positive associations for interpersonal sensitivity and somatization.

After adjusting for covariate information, the minimal analysis of the SCL-90-R variables did not detect a significant association with initial dioxin for any of the variables. The maximal adjusted analysis did detect a marginally significant positive association between initial dioxin and obsessive-compulsive behavior and psychoticism.

The adjusted analysis of the SCL-90-R variables and initial dioxin only detected one significant initial dioxin-by-covariate interaction. Under the minimal assumption, the analysis of interpersonal sensitivity displayed a significant interaction between initial dioxin and education (listed in Table 9-58), but stratified results did not show a significant initial dioxin effect for Ranch Hands with either a high school or college education level. After deletion of this interaction from the model, the analysis of interpersonal sensitivity remained nonsignificant.

Model 2: Ranch Hands - Log₂ (Current Dioxin) and Time

The association between current dioxin and the SCL-90-R variables did not differ significantly between time since tour strata for any of the unadjusted analyses except the minimal analysis of somatization (Table 9-56: $p=0.015$). The minimal unadjusted analysis of this variable also detected a marginally significant positive association with current dioxin for Ranch Hands with more than 18.6 years since tour.

For anxiety and depression, the unadjusted analysis under the minimal assumption detected significant positive associations with current dioxin for Ranch Hands with greater than 18.6 years since tour (Table 9-56: $p=0.031$ and $p=0.017$, respectively). Similar marginally significant associations existed for the corresponding analyses under the maximal assumption. The unadjusted analysis of interpersonal sensitivity displayed a marginally significant positive association with current dioxin for Ranch Hands with less than or equal to 18.6 years since tour under the minimal assumption. A significant positive association existed for the same analysis under the maximal assumption ($p=0.018$).

For the SCL-90-R obsessive-compulsive behavior symptom, the minimal unadjusted analysis detected a significant positive association with current dioxin for Ranch Hands with more than 18.6 years since tour (Table 9-56: $p=0.031$). The maximal analysis of this same variable displayed a significant positive associations with current dioxin for both time strata (≤ 18.6 : $p=0.043$; >18.6 : $p=0.018$). The unadjusted analysis of the global severity index also found a significant positive association with current dioxin for Ranch Hands in the greater than 18.6 years time stratum of the minimal cohort ($p=0.026$). The maximal analysis of the global severity index detected a positive association with current dioxin of borderline significance for both time strata. Under the maximal assumption, the unadjusted analysis of the positive symptom total exhibited a significant positive association with current dioxin for Ranch Hands with 18.6 years or less since tour ($p=0.041$).

The adjusted minimal analyses found a marginally significant current dioxin-by-time since tour interaction for anxiety and significant current dioxin-by-time interaction for somatization (Table 9-56: $p=0.025$). In contrast, the same analyses restricted to Ranch Hands with more than 18.6 years since tour found a significant positive association between anxiety and current dioxin ($p=0.028$) and marginally significant positive association between

current dioxin and somatization. Under the maximal assumption, the interaction between current dioxin and time was not significant for any SCL-90-R variables. However, for Ranch Hands with less than or equal to 18.6 years since tour, there was a marginally significant positive association between current dioxin and interpersonal sensitivity.

Table 9-58 lists four current dioxin-by-time-by-covariate interactions for the SCL-90-R analyses. Three of these interactions were with the covariate race and were consequently caused by the sparse number of Blacks with abnormal scores. After deletion of these interactions from the models, the analyses were all nonsignificant.

Model 3: Ranch Hands and Comparisons by Current Dioxin Category

The unadjusted analyses of categorized current dioxin found significant overall differences among the four current dioxin categories for anxiety, phobic anxiety, and the global severity index (Table 9-57: $p=0.043$, $p=0.042$, and $p=0.025$) and marginally significant overall differences significant overall differences for depression, obsessive-compulsive behavior, the positive symptom total, and the positive symptom distress index. Specifically, Ranch Hands in the high current dioxin category had a significantly higher prevalence of anxiety and depression ($p=0.012$ and $p=0.015$, respectively), and a significantly greater percentage of participants classified as abnormal on the global severity index and positive symptom total ($p=0.005$ and $p=0.019$) than the Comparisons in the background category. These Ranch Hands also had a marginally higher risk of obsessive-compulsive behavior and somatization. In contrast, Ranch Hands in the unknown current dioxin category had a significantly lower prevalence of phobic anxiety than the Comparisons in the background category ($p=0.042$). The Ranch Hands in the low current dioxin category did not differ from the Comparisons for any of the 12 SCL-90-R variables.

The Ranch Hands in the unknown current dioxin category had a lower risk of all the SCL-90-R symptoms than the Comparisons in the background category. However, the Ranch Hands in the low category had a higher risk for all but two of the SCL-90-R variables (interpersonal sensitivity and psychoticism) and those in the high current dioxin category had a higher risk for all of the SCL-90-R symptoms (except paranoid ideation) than the Comparisons in the background category.

In the adjusted analyses of categorized current dioxin, the overall contrasts of the four current dioxin categories were marginally significant for phobic anxiety and the positive symptom distress index. Also, in the adjusted analysis of depression and the global severity index, the contrast of the background versus high category was marginally significant with the Ranch Hands in the high category having a higher risk than the Comparisons in the background category. Similar to the unadjusted analysis, the Ranch Hands in the unknown current dioxin category had a significantly lower prevalence of phobic anxiety than the Comparisons in the background category (Table 9-57: $p=0.033$).

The adjusted analyses of categorized current dioxin with the SCL-90-R variables only detected one significant interaction between categorized current dioxin and a covariate (listed in Table 9-58). The interaction was with race in the analysis of somatization and was caused

by the sparse number of Blacks with abnormal somatization scores in the analysis. After deletion of this interaction, the analysis was nonsignificant.

Physical Examination: MCMI Variables

The MCMI, a self-administered test, presented scores for eight basic personality patterns, three pathological personality disorders, and nine clinical symptom syndromes to be evaluated. Participants with PTSD based on the 1985 MMPI were excluded from the analyses.

Model 1: Ranch Hands - Log₂ (Initial Dioxin)

Unadjusted analyses found that initial dioxin was positively associated with the MCMI schizoid, avoidant, dependent, passive-aggressive, schizotypal, anxiety, psychotic thinking, and psychotic depression scores under both the minimal and the maximal assumptions (Table 9-55: $p < 0.03$ for all analyses). For the histrionic and narcissistic scores, there were a significant negative associations with initial dioxin under both assumptions ($p < 0.01$ for all analyses). The unadjusted analyses of the borderline, somatoform, and dysthymia scores detected significant positive associations with initial dioxin for the maximal cohort ($p < 0.04$ for all analyses). For the hypomania score, there was a negative association with initial dioxin of borderline significance under the minimal assumption. The unadjusted analysis of the psychotic delusion score found a marginally significant positive association with initial dioxin under the maximal assumption. For the remaining five MCMI variables (antisocial, compulsive, paranoid, alcohol abuse, and drug abuse scores), the unadjusted results were nonsignificant under both assumptions.

The adjusted analyses of the MCMI variables were similar to the unadjusted analyses. Significant positive associations with initial dioxin were displayed for the schizoid, avoidant (after deletion of an initial dioxin-by-education interaction), dependent, schizotypal, and psychotic thinking scores under both assumptions (Table 9-55: $p < 0.04$ for all analyses). The adjusted analysis of the MCMI histrionic score detected a significant negative association with initial dioxin for both the maximal and the minimal cohorts ($p < 0.04$ for both analyses). The minimal analysis of the narcissistic score detected a marginally significant negative association with initial dioxin while the maximal analysis displayed a similar significant association.

After the deletion of an initial dioxin-by-race interaction, the minimal analysis detected a marginally significant positive relationship between initial dioxin and the anxiety score and a marginally significant negative association between initial dioxin and the hypomania score. Under the maximal assumption, there was a significant positive association between initial dioxin and the somatoform score (Table 9-55: $p = 0.011$). The minimal analysis of the psychotic depression score exhibited a significant positive association with initial dioxin ($p = 0.035$), and there was a similar marginally significant association under the maximal assumption.

Table 9-58 lists several initial dioxin-by-covariate interactions for the MCMI variables. Stratified analyses of the interactions between initial dioxin and education indicated a

stronger positive relationship for Ranch Hands with a college education than for those with a high school education. Also, the interactions involving current alcohol use showed a stronger negative association with initial dioxin for Ranch Hands with increased current alcohol levels. In the stratified analyses of the initial dioxin-by-race interactions for the anxiety and dysthymia scores, Blacks had a negative association with initial dioxin while non-Blacks displayed a positive association. In contrast, the stratified analysis of the initial dioxin-by-race interaction for the hypomania score exhibited a positive association with initial dioxin for Blacks and a negative association for non-Blacks. However, the results of the stratified analyses of the initial dioxin-by-race interactions may have been distorted by the small number of Blacks in the analyses.

Model 2: Ranch Hands - Log₂ (Current Dioxin) and Time

In the unadjusted analysis of the MCMI variables, the avoidant score was the only variable with a significant current dioxin-by-time since tour interaction under the minimal assumption (Table 9-56: $p=0.028$). Marginally significant interactions between current dioxin and time were exhibited for the schizoid, histrionic, and psychotic thinking scores. There were no significant results for the time less than or equal to 18.6 years stratum for the MCMI variables under the minimal assumption. However, for Ranch Hands with greater than 18.6 years since tour, there were significant positive associations with current dioxin for the schizoid, avoidant, dependent, passive-aggressive, schizotypal, anxiety, psychotic thinking, psychotic depression, and psychotic delusion scores ($p<0.05$ for each analysis). Also, the analyses of the histrionic and narcissistic scores detected significant negative associations with current dioxin for this same time stratum under the minimal assumption, and a similar marginally significant negative relationship for the hypomania score.

Under the maximal assumption, the unadjusted analyses did not detect any significant current dioxin-by-time since tour interactions for the MCMI variables. However, the interaction between current dioxin and time since tour was marginally significant for the schizoid, avoidant, histrionic, and psychotic thinking scores. The maximal unadjusted analyses of the passive-aggressive, schizotypal, and anxiety scores detected significant positive associations with current dioxin for both time strata. (Table 9-56: $p<0.05$ for all analyses).

Similar to the minimal unadjusted analyses, the maximal analyses of the schizoid, avoidant, dependent, psychotic thinking, psychotic depression, and psychotic delusion scores exhibited significant positive associations with current dioxin for Ranch Hands with greater than 18.6 years since tour. Also, the same analyses of the histrionic, narcissistic, and hypomania scores displayed significant negative associations with current dioxin. For Ranch Hands in the time less than or equal to 18.6 years stratum, the maximal unadjusted analysis detected a marginally significant positive association between the somatoform score and current dioxin. The analysis of the borderline and dysthymia scores displayed a marginally significant positive association with current dioxin for the greater than 18.6 years stratum.

Similar to the minimal unadjusted analyses, the minimal adjusted analyses of the MCMI variables detected a significant current dioxin-by-time since tour interaction for only the avoidant score under the minimal assumption (Table 9-56: $p=0.029$). There were also marginally significant current dioxin-by-time interactions for the schizoid and psychotic

thinking scores. As in the unadjusted analyses, there were no significant results for the time less than or equal to 18.6 years stratum under the minimal assumption.

For Ranch Hands in the greater than 18.6 years since tour time stratum of the minimal cohort, there were significant positive associations with current dioxin for the schizoid, avoidant, dependent, schizotypal, psychotic thinking, and psychotic depression scores (Table 9-56: $p < 0.035$ for each analysis). For this same time stratum, there was a significant negative association between current dioxin and the histrionic score ($p = 0.006$) and a similar marginally significant negative relationship between current dioxin and the narcissistic and hypomania scores. Also, there was a marginally significant positive association between current dioxin and the anxiety score.

Under the maximal assumption, the current dioxin-by-time since tour interaction was significant only for the schizoid score (after deletion of a current dioxin-by-time-by-lifetime alcohol history interaction) and the avoidant score (Table 9-56: $p = 0.044$ and $p = 0.045$). There were also marginally significant current dioxin-by-time interactions for the narcissistic and psychotic thinking scores.

The adjusted maximal analysis detected a significant positive association between current dioxin and the somatoform score for Ranch Hands with less than or equal to 18.6 years since tour (Table 9-56: $p = 0.030$). This was the only significant result found for the maximal adjusted analyses of this time stratum.

For the greater than 18.6 years time stratum, several of the maximal adjusted analyses were significant. A significant positive association was detected with current dioxin for the following MCMI variables: schizoid score (after deletion of the current dioxin-by-time-by-lifetime alcohol history interaction), avoidant score, dependent score, schizotypal score, psychotic thinking score, and psychotic depression score (Table 9-56: $p < 0.30$ for each analysis). There were also significant negative associations with current dioxin for the narcissistic and hypomania scores. The positive associations between current dioxin and the dysthymia score and between current dioxin and the psychotic delusion score were marginally significant.

Only two current dioxin-by-time-by-covariate interactions were detected in the maximal adjusted analysis (Table 9-58).

Model 3: Ranch Hands and Comparisons by Current Dioxin Category

In the unadjusted analysis of the four current dioxin categories, 8 out of 20 of the MCMI variables displayed a significant overall contrast of the four categories: schizoid, avoidant, dependent, histrionic, narcissistic, schizotypal, anxiety, and psychotic thinking scores (Table 9-57: $p < 0.04$ for all analyses). The unadjusted analyses also exhibited marginally significant overall simultaneous contrasts of the four current dioxin categories for the antisocial, passive-aggressive, psychotic depression, and psychotic delusion scores.

The unadjusted analyses found that Ranch Hands in the unknown current dioxin category had significantly lower mean dependent, borderline, and anxiety scores than the

Comparisons in the background category (Table 9-57: $p < 0.04$ for all analyses). These Ranch Hands also had marginally lower average dysthymia, psychotic thinking, and psychotic depression scores than the Comparisons. In contrast, the unadjusted analyses showed the Ranch Hands in the unknown category had a significantly higher mean narcissistic score than the Comparisons in the background category ($p = 0.048$).

Very few differences were found between the mean MCMI scores of the Ranch Hands in the low current dioxin category and the Comparisons in the background category in the unadjusted analyses. These Ranch Hands did have a significantly higher mean antisocial score than the Comparisons (Table 9-57: $p = 0.016$) and a marginally higher mean psychotic delusion score. The contrast of the mean dependent score of the Ranch Hands in the low category versus the mean score of the Comparisons in the background category was of borderline significance with the Ranch Hands having a lower mean dependent score than the Comparisons.

The unadjusted analyses detected significantly higher mean schizoid, avoidant, schizotypal, psychotic thinking, and psychotic delusion scores for the Ranch Hands in the high current dioxin category than the Comparisons in the background category (Table 9-57: $p < 0.04$ for all analyses). These Ranch Hands also had a significantly lower mean histrionic score ($p = 0.003$) and a marginally lower hypomania score than the Comparisons in the background category.

Only 7 of the 20 MCMI variables had significant or marginally significant results after adjusting for covariate information. The overall contrast of the four current dioxin categories was significant for only the schizoid score (Table 9-57: $p = 0.027$). Marginally significant overall differences among the four current dioxin categories were also found for the narcissistic, antisocial (after deletion of a categorized current dioxin-by-current alcohol use interaction), and schizotypal scores.

In the adjusted analysis, the contrast of the Ranch Hands in the unknown category and the Comparisons in the background category was of borderline significance for the narcissistic score and the paranoid score with Ranch Hands having higher mean scores than the Comparisons. The adjusted analyses also found Ranch Hands in the low current dioxin category had a significantly lower mean dependent score than Comparisons in the background category (Table 9-57: $p = 0.037$). In addition, after the deletion of a categorized current dioxin-by-current alcohol use interaction, the adjusted analysis found that the Ranch Hands in the low category had a significantly higher mean antisocial score than the Comparisons ($p = 0.012$). The adjusted analyses also showed that Ranch Hands in the high current dioxin category had significantly higher mean schizoid and schizotypal scores ($p = 0.006$ and $p = 0.029$) and a significantly lower histrionic score ($p = 0.020$) than the Comparisons in the background category.

The adjusted analyses of the MCMI variables detected several categorized current dioxin-by-covariate interactions (listed in Table 9-58). After deletion of these interactions, the adjusted analyses were nonsignificant except as stated above for the antisocial score. The stratified analyses of these interactions did not detect any overlying dioxin effects or patterns for the individual strata.

CONCLUSION

In general, the results of the analyses of the verified psychological disorders, reported sleep disorders, and the SCL-90-R variables did not reveal significant associations with initial dioxin or current dioxin and time since tour or find significant differences among the four current dioxin categories. In contrast, several of the analyses of the MCMI variables displayed significant results. However, there was a lack of consistency across similar variables included in the SCL-90-R, MCMI, and reported information. Additionally, the continuous scale of the MCMI variables allowed for a greater ability to detect small differences in the mean MCMI scores than the capability of the discrete analyses of the other three psychological abnormalities. In conclusion, the body burden of dioxin does not appear to be related to psychological or psychophysiological disorders.

CHAPTER 9

REFERENCES

1. Peterson, R.E., M.D. Seefeld, B.J. Christian, C.L. Potter, C.K. Kelling, and R.E. Keesey. 1984. The wasting syndrome in 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin toxicity: Basic features and their interpretation. In *Banbury Report 18: Biological mechanisms of dioxin action*, eds. A. Poland and R.D. Kimbrough. Cold Spring Harbor, New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.
2. Schantz, S.L., and R.E. Bowman. 1989. Learning in monkeys exposed perinatally to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD). *Neurotoxicology Teratol.* 11:13-19.
3. Ashe, W.F., and R.R. Suskind. 1949, 1950. Reports on chloracne cases. In *Report of the Kettering Laboratory*. Nitro, West Virginia: Monsanto Chemical Company.
4. Suskind, R.R. 1953. A clinical and environmental survey. In *Report of the Kettering Laboratory*. Nitro, West Virginia: Monsanto Chemical Company.
5. Moses, M., R. Lilis, K.D. Crow, J. Thornton, A. Fischbein, H.A. Anderson, and I.J. Selikoff. 1984. Health status of workers with past exposure to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin in the manufacture of 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid: Comparison of findings with and without chloracne. *Am. J. Ind. Med.* 5:161-82.
6. Suskind, R.R., and V.S. Hertzberg. 1984. Human health effects of 2,4,5-T and its toxic contaminants. *JAMA* 251:2372-80.
7. Baader, E.W., and A.J. Bauer. 1951. Industrial intoxication due to pentachlorophenol. *Ind. Med. Surg.* 20:289-90.
8. Suskind, R.R. 1977. Chloracne and associated health problems in the manufacture of 2,4,5-T. Report to the Joint Conference, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences and International Agency for Research on Cancer, World Health Organization, January, at Lyon, France.
9. Goldman, P.J. 1973. Schweist akute Chlorakne, eine Massenintoxikation durch 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzodioxin (severe, acute chloracne, a mass intoxication due to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-dioxin). *Der Hautarzt.* 24:149-52.
10. Vos, J.G., T.J. Sterringa, D. Zellenrath, H.J. Docter, and L.M. Daldkerup. 1977. TCDD accident at a chemical factory in the Netherlands. Report to the Joint Conference, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences and International Agency for Research on Cancer, World Health Organization, January, at Lyon, France.
11. Telegina, K.A., and L.J. Bikbulatova. 1970. Affection of the follicular apparatus of the skin in workers employed in the production of the butyl ester of 2,4,5-T. *Vestnik. Derm. Ven.* 44:35-39.
12. Jirasek, L., J. Kalensky, K. Kubec, J. Pazderova, and E. Lucas. 1974. Part 2, Acne chlorina, porphyria cutanea tarda and other manifestations of general intoxication during the manufacture of herbicides. *Czech. Dermatol.* 49:145-57.
13. Pazderova-Vejlupkova, J., M. Nemcova, J. Pickova, L. Jirasek, and E. Lukas. 1981. The development and prognosis of chronic intoxication by tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin in men. *Arch. Environ. Health* 36:5-11.

14. Poland, A.P., D. Smith, G. Metter, and P. Possick. 1971. A health survey of workers in a 2,4,-D and 2,4,5-T plant, with special attention to chloracne, porphyria cutanea tardas, and psychologic parameters. *Arch. Environ. Health* 22:316-27.
15. Oliver, R.M. 1975. Toxic effects of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-1,4-dioxin in laboratory workers. *Br. J. Ind. Med.* 32:46-53.
16. Hoffman, R.E., P.A. Stehr-Green, K.B. Webb, G. Evans, A.P. Knutsen, W.F. Schramm, J.L. Staake, B.B. Gibson, and K.K. Steinberg. 1986. Health effects of long-term exposure to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. *JAMA* 225:2031-38.
17. Robinowitz, R., W.R. Roberts, M.P. Dolan, E.T. Patterson, H.L. Charles, H.G. Atkins, and W.E. Penk. 1989. Carcinogenicity and teratogenicity vs. psychogenicity: Psychological characteristics associated with self-reported Agent Orange exposure among Vietnam combat veterans who seek treatment for substance abuse. *J. Clin. Psychol.* 45:718-28.
18. American Psychiatry Association. 1980. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders*. 3d ed. Washington, DC.
19. Faltus, F.J., A.D. Sirota, J. Parsons, M. Daamen, and M.L. Schare. 1986. Exacerbation of post-traumatic stress disorder symptomatology in Vietnam veterans. *Military Med.* 151:648-49.
20. Van Putten, T., and J. Yager. 1984. Post-traumatic stress disorder. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 41:411-13.
21. Atkinson, R.M., R.G. Henderson, L.F. Sparr, and S. Deale. 1982. Assessment of Vietnam veterans for post-traumatic stress disorder in veterans disability claims. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 129:1118-21.
22. Flicker, M.R., and A.L. Young. 1983. Evaluation of veterans for Agent Orange exposure. Presented at the Symposium on Chlorinated Dioxins and Dibenzofurans in the Total Environment, September, before the Division of Environmental Chemistry, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC.
23. Blackburn, A.B. 1983. Review of the effects of Agent Orange: A psychiatric perspective on the controversy. *Military Med.* 148:333-40.
24. True, W.R., J. Goldberg, and S.A. Eisen. 1988. Stress symptomatology among Vietnam veterans. In Part 2, Analysis of the Veterans Administration survey of veterans. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 128:85-92.
25. Krogeski, G.P., and G.R. Leon. 1983. Correlates of self-reported and objectively determined exposure to Agent Orange. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 140:1443-49.
26. Levy, C.J. 1988. Agent Orange exposure and post-traumatic stress disorder. *J. Nerv. Ment. Dis.* 176:242-45.
27. Stellman, S.D., J.D. Stellman, and J.F. Sommer, Jr. 1988. Combat and herbicide exposures in Vietnam among a sample of American Legionnaires. *Environ. Res.* 47:112-28.
28. Stellman, J.D., S.D. Stellman, and J.F. Sommer, Jr. 1988. Social and behavioral consequences of the Vietnam experience among American Legionnaires. *Environ. Res.* 47:129-49.

29. U.S. Centers for Disease Control. 1988. Health status of Vietnam veterans. In Part 1, Psychosocial characteristics. *JAMA* 259:2701-02.
30. Thomas, W.F., W.D. Grubbs, T.G. Karrison, M.B. Lustik, R.H. Roegner, D.E. Williams, W.H. Wolfe, J.E. Michalek, J.C. Miner, and R.W. Ogershok. 1990. Epidemiologic investigation of health effects in Air Force personnel following exposure to herbicides: 1987 followup examination results, NTIS: AD A 222 573. USAF School of Aerospace Medicine, Human Systems Division, Brooks Air Force Base, Texas.
31. Bixler, E.O., A. Kales, C.R. Soldatos, J.D. Kales, and S. Healy. 1979. Prevalence of sleep disorders in the Los Angeles metropolitan area. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 136:1257-62.
32. Keane, T.M., R.F. Malloy, and J.A. Fairbank. 1984. Empirical development of an MMPI subscale for the assessment of combat-related post-traumatic stress disorder. *J. Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 52:888-91.
33. Derogatis, L.R. 1975. *The SCL-90-R*. Baltimore, Maryland: Clinical Psychometrics Research.
34. Millon, T. 1983. *Millon Clinical Multiaxial Inventory Manual*. 3rd ed. Minneapolis: Interpretive Scoring Systems, National Computer Systems, Inc.
35. Choca, J.P., C.A. Peterson, and L.A. Shanley. 1986. Factor analysis of the Millon Clinical Multiaxial Inventory. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 54:253-55.
36. Goldberg, J., W.R. Hines, III, and S.A. True. 1990. A twin study of the effects of the Vietnam war on post-traumatic stress disorder. *JAMA* 263:1227-32.