

2 February 1978

Dear Bud,

Herewith the citations and elaboration we discussed on the phone:

Page

3

Detente: I have been unable to uncover any source to prove that the Soviets first used the term. Moscow launched a "relaxation of tensions" campaign sometime in the mid-1960s. There is good coverage of the subject in Defending America which you probably have. I wrote about the "euphoria of detente" which was prevalent in Europe at the time I attended the annual Oxford Conference in 1967--"The High Price of Success," USNI Proceedings, April 1968. Insofar as relations between the United States and the Soviet Union are concerned, detente is generally assumed to have originated in 1972 at the Moscow Summit Meeting. It was a widely used term, however, long before that time. I should have been more precise. I recommend the sentence be reworded as follows:

Despite the euphoria which has periodically been generated in the West by the Soviet-originated term "peaceful co-existence" and its murkily-sired successor detente, the fundamental goal...

3

The Lenin quote is from:

Soviet World Outlook. Department of State Publication 6836, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. Released July 1959, p. 96.

5

"Protracted conflict" is from:

Strausz-Hupé, Robert et al. Protracted Conflict. Harper & Brothers, Publishers, New York: 1959, p. xi.

8

"Tanaka Memorial"

The Tanaka Memorial is one of the most controversial documents in recent history simply because the original work has never been seen outside Japan. Written by Baron Gi-Ichi Tanaka--Premier and

Foreign Minister of Japan in 1927--the Memorial submitted to the Emperor reputedly outlined a plan for eventual world conquest. The Chinese--under Chiang Kai-shek--pieced together segments of the Memorial and published them (admittedly a highly biased version). There has been considerable debate over the actual provisions of the original. Whether it called for world conquest is open to question; whether it called for establishment of a "Greater East-Asia Co-Prosperity Sphere" is far more likely. No one contests the fact of the Memorial. Because of the doubt which surrounds it, I have always restricted my interpretation to the more limited objective. The following citations are pertinent:

Bergamini, David. Japan's Imperial Conspiracy. Panther Books Limited, London: 1972, pp. 358-359. (For the view that the Memorial was all a huge fraud perpetrated by the Chinese.)

Collier's Encyclopedia. Volume 18. P.F. Collier and Son Corporation, New York: 1956, p. 411. (For a more balanced evaluation.)

Currently I have two pieces in the works. One is a survey of Soviet machinations around the world as illuminated by the extent of the British Empire at its peak. The theme being that our Red friends have taken a page from the British book and are busily installing themselves and their new navy in and around all the maritime choke points...with predictable dangers for the West in the years ahead. The second is a Mahanian-type examination of sea power's importance to the insular United States. Both will be in the 25-30 thousand word range and I will try to get the Georgetown Center or the National Strategy Information Center to publish them. I have two queries out on my book. And I recently signed an independent consultant contract with Booz-Allen as well as a possible one with Tetra Tech...the latter looking for advice on a project in Iran.

I hope the dope on the article answers the mail. Let me know when the book is due out; I'll dash out an pick one up. Skip joins in sending our very best to you and all the family. Please remember, when you or Mouza come to town baitnaa baitkum, as the Arabs say: our house is your house.

Best regards,

*Bob*