

Russians than it does to us. And what is more important to the Russians is: probably their military capability and the economic potential which supports that capability. So that's what part of it is. That's what you want to go after.

R: So assured destruction gets refined to mean an appropriate response to whatever they do?

C: Yea. It means a response ^{which will} ~~to~~ destroy to the maximum extent possible their war making capability and their ability to ~~organize~~ function as an organized society. That's what it ~~is~~ rather than killing off. A lot of people are going to get killed in the process.

R: The second part involves killing a lot of people.

C: Sure. This ~~is~~ kind of footnote, ^{not a distinction} but that ~~is~~ a Kissinger strategic mission not a Schlesinger strategic mission. But since it turned out to be a simple and controversial policy, Kissinger has been quite happy to let Schlesinger stay out front on it. And Kissinger has not publicly associated himself with that policy.

R: Well this is not a policy from which Zumwalt dissents?

C: Oh no. Not at all. The Chiefs in turn have their own (inaudible)

R: But that makes the negotiations a lot more complicated then doesn't it? The shapes of the forces are not as simple as if it ^{was} ~~were~~ just bang-bang? Bang and counter-bang?

C: To go back to the MIRV business, after we went through this realm of trying to sign them up to the test ban and couldn't succeed, and getting them interested in talking about the terms of comprehensive treaty and that didn't succeed. Instead of serious dialogue all we got was a lot of rhetoric. Then Kissinger focused, once more on the ^{setting} ~~of~~ ^{the} ~~the~~ ^{of} ~~of~~ comprehensive treaty/.

8A-12

Russians than it does to us. And what is more important to the Russians is probably their military capability and the economic potential which supports that capability. So that's what part of it is. That's what you want to go after.

R: So assured destruction gets refined to mean an appropriate response to whatever they do?

C: Yea. It means a response ^{which will} ~~to~~ destroy to the maximum extent possible their war making capability and their ability to ~~function~~ function as an organized society. That's what it ~~is~~ is rather than killing off. A lot of people are going to get killed in the process.

R: The second part involves killing a lot of people.

C: Sure. This ~~is~~ is kind of footnote, ^{not a distinction} but that is a Kissinger strategic mission not a Schlesinger strategic mission. But since it turned out to be a simple and controversial policy, Kissinger has been quite happy to let Schlesinger stay out front on it. And Kissinger has not publicly associated himself with that policy.

R: Well this is not a policy from which Zumwalt dissents?

C: Oh no. Not at all. The Chiefs in turn have their own (inaudible)

R: But that makes the negotiations a lot ~~more~~ more complicated then doesn't it? The shapes of the forces are not as simple as if it ~~was~~ ^{was} just bang-bang? Bang and counter-bang?

C: To go back to the MIRV business, after we went through this realm of trying to sign them up to the test ban and couldn't succeed, and getting them interested in talking about the terms of comprehensive treaty and that didn't succeed. Instead of serious dialogue all we had was a lot of rhetoric. Then Kissinger focused once more on the ^{again} ~~idea~~ ^{getting} ~~of~~ ^{of} ~~forget~~ ^{forget} this idea of comprehensive treaty/.