

BUDGET

~~PR~~

I Tucker Briefing 7 JAN 72 0-1

A. deals w/ weapons systems costs

1. to be given to all key personnel 7 JAN 72 0-2
2. shows reasons for cost growth
3. Tucker explains approaches to cost estimation
4. Discusses Services' parametric cost capability
5. better data base needed for reporting data from contractors
6. discussion over Tucker failing to take cognizance of basic matters such as changes in weapon system between time of original cost estimate and time system became operational
 - a. parametric was a tool but not complete answer ^{7 JAN 72} 0-3
 - b. consensus that Services will find it difficult not to go w/ low bidder

II 2/Laird TELCON 8 APR 72 C-1

A. Discussion on LANTFLT/WESTPAC Cruise

1. SECDEF solicits 2's help
2. retention could be a problem

III CJCS/SECDEF testimony in Congress on impact of SALT agreement/escalated costs of VN war on budget 7 JUN 72 A-1

A. increased cost for operations up \$3B-5B

1. SECDEF turned down or supplemented so FY 73 adjustment necessary
2. Congressional approval needed to raise VN war ceiling costs

B. Joint Staff working on 3 papers to reflect ~~SALT agreement~~

1. 1st deals w/ strategic forces
2. 2nd is gen war paper resulting from accelerated hostilities in VN
3. 3rd deals w/ SALT talks impact and adjustments in conventional force posture.

A. FY 73 Budget amendment forwarded to OMB

1. support is difficult
 2. additional adjustments made by hand due to late inputs
- B. impact on FY 73 on SALT not yet fully understood or supported

1. can't take cuts in Trident/B-1
2. US must be firm w/ Soviets
3. Soviet superiority discussed

C. expenditures

1. \$38M ahead and need to keep pressure on but situation reversed in 73

D. pleased w/ JCS on JFM job 12 JUN 72 A-2

1. POMs fit fiscal guidelines

E. ~~need~~ need for Sea control / TACAIR study

1. Z says of no help - dog feet

F. Navy turns out only 6000 short at end of FY

V. Budget discussion ~~SECDEF testimony~~ for General Purpose Forces in Assurance Paper 12 JUN 72 C-3

A. SECDEF outlines specific strategic programs for SALT TWO to Congress

1. amount to 168M in FY 73
2. provided SVN add on costs for over 30
3. Army/Navy feel GP forces should be included in current paper
4. Z's views sought
5. CJS feels GP forces shouldn't be addressed in this paper 12 JUN 72 C-4
6. Z feels JFM update needed
7. Palmer disagrees w/ OSA figures
8. Z points out FY 73 SALT savings already used
9. SECDEF doesn't believe in expand now/pay later
 - a. OMB didn't want SECDEF to do this
10. Woalen's views sought

11. CJS discusses his proposal 12 JUN 72 C-5

12. Z agrees w/ CJS proposal

- a. 168M limitation discussed

13. CJS feels Services should come in w/ one time get well package for SALT II and then go to GP forces programs needed due to SALT

14. same plan/budget discussion 12 JUN 72 C-6

~~MEMO~~
BUDGET

~~II~~ CNOPT addresses temporary deployment of sub-tender and sub-into
Med 14 APR 72 C-2
A. awaiting clearance of STATE

~~III~~ 2/ Schlessinger TELCON re OMB and funding of OLEAS 22 JUN 72 C-1

~~III~~ 2/ Dr Tucker TELCON 22 JUN 72 C-2

- A. discussion on hang up ~~on program~~ ^{on reprogram} of \$2.8M for CAMPS I hel request
1. 2 describes modification/purpose to Tucker
 2. Tucker to look into it

~~VII~~ 2/ RADM Murphy TELCON 22 JUN 72 C-2

- A. Laird supported budget amendment as add on to programming
1. flight going on to get it out of White House
 2. SA might be ones causing problems
- B. Discussion on NSC staff asking CIA for cost study of Smeething

~~IX~~ 2/ D. DASELEY TELCON 22 JUN 72 C-3

- A. met w/ Sanders on programming
- B. NAVCOMPT taking actions to save MPN funds

CONT ON NEXT PG

~~MAP~~

BUDGET

~~X~~

LOEN SEIGNIOUS Brief^(MAP) 16 FEB 72 B-1

A. budget discussion for MAP FY 72

1. large cut to be taken

2. barely survival level

3. losing credibility w/ allies

4. supplemental recommended

B. problem in modernizing AP in Turkey, Korea, China

C. ship loan problem addressed

D. Military Sales Program going well