

AFZB-KD-C

7 Feb 75

SUBJECT: Evaluation

1. Unit: **C Battery, 3/319th FA BN**
2. Area of Critique **Communications/Electronic Warfare**
3. Strong Points:
 - A. Wire teams were aggressive and knew thier jobs. Wire teams laid intial wire lines and continued to improve thier installation throughout the problem.
 - B. RTO's were well versed on procedures and knew actions to take in case of jamming or imitative deception.
 - C. Moral of communications personnel was high.
4. Weak Points. **N/A**
5. Recommendations. **N/A**

AFZB-KD-C

SUBJECT: Evaluation Summary

1. Unit: C/ 3-319 FA
2. Area of Critique General Subjects and Aggressor Probes
3. Strong Points: Individuals during the aggressor probe in conjunction with riot control agent, reacted very well with proper masking procedures in all individuals observed and alarms were quickly and properly disseminated.
4. Weak Points. Reaction force pursued the aggressor probe beyond their protective fire capability resulting in possible loss of part or all of the reaction force. Also the searching techniques used on the POW's did not produce the intelligence information that was available.
5. Recommendations. Tighter control on reaction force to preclude any unnecessary loss of individuals over zealous nature. More emphasis placed on the proper procedures in the handling and processing of POW should eliminate any confusion on the part of the individual when involved in PW capture.