

Mail

DEPARTMENT OF
HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE
FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

July 16, 1957

Mr. Stanley K. Sheinbaum
Vietnam Project - Office of Coordinator
Michigan State University of
Agriculture and Applied Science
East Lansing, Michigan

Dear Mr. Sheinbaum:

The questionnaire on Mr. Duong Vinh Hau transmitted with
your letter of June 10 has been completed and is enclosed.

Sincerely yours,

Marie M. Ramsey

Marie M. Ramsey
Administrative Assistant
Bureau of Enforcement

Enclosure
Questionnaire

In the interests of obtaining a better evaluation of the Vietnamese participants it would be appreciated if you would give us the following details on the period spent in your organization by Duong Vinh Mau

1. Was his or her background adequate for understanding of the material presented?

Yes

2. Was the information given by us or the participant adequate to the preparation of a pertinent program?

Basically, yes. The specific features of our work of most interest or value to participant were decided upon, however, in preliminary discussions with him.

3. In cases where a group rather than a single participant observed, do you think the value to participants was increased.

We do not accept groups for observation or training in laboratory analytical techniques, only individual participants.

4. If the participant joined with other persons (not Vietnamese participants) in a training program or course, can you indicate what their relative standing was.

5. Any other comments you may wish to make.

Nhan

CITY OF SYRACUSE
OFFICE OF URBAN RENEWAL

ARTHUR J. REED
DIRECTOR

GEORGE McCULLOCH
DEPUTY DIRECTOR

July 3, 1957


Miss Elsie Cunningham
Administrative Assistant
Michigan State University
of Agriculture and
Applied Science
East Lansing, Michigan

Dear Miss Cunningham:

The questionnaire concerning Mr. Nhan is enclosed.

We all enjoyed his visit immensely, and feel that he
is a credit to his country.

Sincerely yours,



William O. Wallace
Coordinator

WOW/sp

Encl. 1

In the interests of obtaining a better evaluation of the Vietnamese participants it would be appreciated if you would give us the following details on the period spent in your organization by Mr. Le Phu Nhan

1. Was his or her background adequate for understanding of the material presented?

Yes. Mr. Nhan's background in Urban Renewal and the operations of municipal government was very good. We feel that he was able to understand and benefit by the material which was presented to him by this office.

2. Was the information given by us or the participant adequate to the preparation of a pertinent program?

Yes. Our office has a small staff, (6 members) so Mr. Nhan was able to work along with us and observe the type of operations we are engaged in. We were able to arrange a number of informal conferences where Mr. Nhan was given the opportunity to ask questions concerning Urban Renewal and other phases of municipal government. We also arranged for Mr. Nhan to spend a day at Syracuse University and two other days in Albany. (The state capital)

3. In cases where a group rather than a single participant observed, do you think the value to participants was increased.

Not applicable

4. If the participant joined with other persons (not Vietnamese participants) in a training program or course, can you indicate what their relative standing was.

Not applicable

5. Any other comments you may wish to make.

It was a pleasure to have Mr. Nhan visit our office. We hope that Mr. Nhan benefited by his experiences in Syracuse, because we gained a considerable amount of knowledge from him.

Nhan

In the interests of obtaining a better evaluation of the Vietnamese participants it would be appreciated if you would give us the following details on the period spent in your organization by Mr. Le Phu Nhan

1. Was his or her background adequate for understanding of the material presented? **Yes**
2. Was the information given by us or the participant adequate to the preparation of a pertinent program? **Yes**
3. In cases where a group rather than a single participant observed, do you think the value to participants was increased. **Yes**
4. If the participant joined with other persons (not Vietnamese participants) in a training program or course, can you indicate what their relative standing was. -----
5. Any other comments you may wish to make. ----

Victor Heyner
LANSING PLANNING
COMMISSION

Cumyhan Nhan



HOUSING AND HOME FINANCE AGENCY

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Federal Housing Administration
Public Housing Administration
Federal National Mortgage Association
Community Facilities Administration
Urban Renewal Administration
Federal Flood Indemnity Administration

JUN 25 1957

Mr. Stanley K. Sheinbaum
Acting Coordinator
Vietnam Project
Michigan State University
East Lansing, Michigan

Dear Mr. Sheinbaum:

In accordance with your request of June 11, 1957, I am returning herewith the completed list of questions concerning the training program for Mr. Le Phu Nhan of Vietnam.

We appreciated the opportunity of assisting Mr. Nhan and if we can be of any further assistance please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely yours,

for William G. Parker
Weldon J. Brown
Training Officer
International Housing Services

Enclosure

Weldon Brown
HHPA

In the interests of obtaining a better evaluation of the Vietnamese participants it would be appreciated if you would give us the following details on the period spent in your organization by Mr. Le Phu Nhan

1. Was his or her background adequate for understanding of the material presented?

It seemed to be adequate only for the general principles involved.

2. Was the information given by us or the participant adequate to the preparation of a pertinent program?

While the material presented was adequate, greater information on background and interest would have been very helpful.

3. In cases where a group rather than a single participant observed, do you think the value to participants was increased.

a more effective program can be prepared for single participants than for groups.

4. If the participant joined with other persons (not Vietnamese participants) in a training program or course, can you indicate what their relative standing was.

This participant did not join with any other participants in his program.

5. Any other comments you may wish to make.

It would be appreciated if more advance notice could be given as well as a statement listing educational training, fields of interest, position, English ability, study objectives, and organizational memberships.

Nhan

In the interests of obtaining a better evaluation of the Vietnamese participants it would be appreciated if you would give us the following details on the period spent in your organization by Le Phu Nhan

1. Was his or her background adequate for understanding of the material presented?

Yes

2. Was the information given by us or the participant adequate to the preparation of a pertinent program?

Yes

3. In cases where a group rather than a single participant observed, do you think the value to participants was increased.

Unobserved

4. If the participant joined with other persons (not Vietnamese participants) in a training program or course, can you indicate what their relative standing was.

Unobserved

5. Any other comments you may wish to make.

There was somewhat of a language barrier, but I think Le understood most everything after a thorough explanation.

Ed Stangor
MICHIGAN ECONOMIC COM.

Nhan

In the interests of obtaining a better evaluation of the Vietnamese participants it would be appreciated if you would give us the following details on the period spent in your organization by Mr. Le Phu Nhan

1. Was his or her background adequate for understanding of the material presented?

Yes

2. Was the information given by us or the participant adequate to the preparation of a pertinent program?

Yes

3. In cases where a group rather than a single participant observed, do you think the value to participants was increased. not apply

4. If the participant joined with other persons (not Vietnamese participants) in a training program or course, can you indicate what their relative standing was.

As an individual, he participated in the program being observed--very sharp! Has depth of preception--asked logical questions.

5. Any other comments you may wish to make.
Mr. Nhan showed considerable interest in securing material that he might be able to use back home.

Cheney

Nhan

JUN 10 1957

In the interests of obtaining a better evaluation of the Vietnamese participants it would be appreciated if you would give us the following details on the period spent in your organization by Le Phu Nhan

1. Was his or her background adequate for understanding of the material presented?

In my opinion, very definitely yes

2. Was the information given by us or the participant adequate to the preparation of a pertinent program?

Yes

3. In cases where a group rather than a single participant observed, do you think the value to participants was increased.

Yes. All would benefit from the questions of one.

4. If the participant joined with other persons (not Vietnamese participants) in a training program or course, can you indicate what their relative standing was.

See #5

5. Any other comments you may wish to make.

We offered no course and our training here at the office consisted of each staff director spending time with our visitors, going over the different phases of the work. I am inclined to believe, in such a course with many others, the relative standing of all three would be high.

G. Needs - NAMA

Quay

Filed in according to conversation with Mr. Kimberland
on May 31

In the interests of obtaining a better evaluation of the
Vietnamese participants it would be appreciated if you
would give us the following details on the period spent
in your organization by Tran Thi Quay

1. Was his or her background adequate for understanding
of the material presented?

Yes. She seemed to understand most of what was
presented although she was preoccupied.

She was the brightest of the group (Mr. Duc and Mr.
Thanh) and most knowledgeable about banking matters.

2. Was the information given by us or the participant
adequate to the preparation of a pertinent program?

Yes.

3. In cases where a group rather than a single parti-
cipant observed, do you think the value to participants
was increased.

4. If the participant joined with other persons (not
Vietnamese participants) in a training program or
course, can you indicate what their relative standing
was.

She did well during the short period of her training.
Felt that the Bank of Vietnam needed more personnel
with her ability.

5. Any other comments you may wish to make.

copy

In the interests of obtaining a better evaluation of the Vietnamese participants it would be appreciated if you would give us the following details on the period spent in your organization by Miss Tran Thi Quy

1. Was his or her background adequate for understanding of the material presented?

Background was adequate.

2. Was the information given by us or the participant adequate to the preparation of a pertinent program?

Yes


3. In cases where a group rather than a single participant observed, do you think the value to participants was increased.

Not necessarily.

4. If the participant joined with other persons (not Vietnamese participants) in a training program or course, can you indicate what their relative standing was.

not applicable

5. Any other comments you may wish to make.
If several participants are interested in a particular field, they should be sent to Washington in a group because it is easier to arrange programs with the various Federal agencies for groups.



Gordon V. Potter June 12, 1957
Training Branch

Public Administration Division
International Cooperation Administration

6i

In the interests of obtaining a better evaluation of the Vietnamese participants it would be appreciated if you would give us the following details on the period spent in your organization by ~~Nguyen Si Tu~~ *Miss Tang Thi Ti*

1. Was his or her background adequate for understanding of the material presented?

yes

2. Was the information given by us or the participant adequate to the preparation of a pertinent program?

No - same as for Mr. Dong

3. In cases where a group rather than a single participant observed, do you think the value to participants was increased.

4. If the participant joined with other persons (not Vietnamese participants) in a training program or course, can you indicate what their relative standing was.

5. Any other comments you may wish to make.

Miss Ti made a hit with everyone. She was quick to learn and very friendly.

U. S. Civil Service Commission

8i
JUN 10 1972

In the interests of obtaining a better evaluation of the Vietnamese participants it would be appreciated if you would give us the following details on the period spent in your organization by Tang Thi Ti

1. Was his or her background adequate for understanding of the material presented?

In my opinion, very definitely yes.

2. Was the information given by us or the participant adequate to the preparation of a pertinent program?

Yes

3. In cases where a group rather than a single participant observed, do you think the value to participants was increased.

Yes. All would benefit from the questions of one.

4. If the participant joined with other persons (not Vietnamese participants) in a training program or course, can you indicate what their relative standing was.

See # 5

5. Any other comments you may wish to make.

We offered no course and our training here at the office consisted of each staff director spending time with our visitors going over the different phases of the work. I am inclined to believe, in such a course with many others, the relative standing of all three would be high.

G. Needs - NAM II

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

ADDRESS ONLY
"CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION"
IN YOUR REPLY REFER TO
FILE JDH:eg
AND DATE OF THIS LETTER

June 18, 1957

Mr. Stanley K. Sheinbaum
Vietnam Project
Michigan State University
East Lansing, Michigan

Dear Mr. Sheinbaum:


Your letter of June 6 requested an evaluation of
Messrs. Hau, Dong, and Tu.

Mr. Tu did not visit the Commission for training. Miss
Tang Thi Ti spent a couple of months with us so I could
comment on her. Mr. Dong was also here as well as Mr. Hau.
However, I saw very little of Mr. Hau and really don't feel
qualified to comment on him. However, Miss Ti and Mr. Dong
spent a great deal of time with me.

I hope to receive more of your students.

Best wishes.

Sincerely,



J. Douglas Hoff,
Manager
College and Foreign Visitor Program

64

In the interests of obtaining a better evaluation of the Vietnamese participants it would be appreciated if you would give us the following details on the period spent in your organization by Mr. Nguyen Si Tu

1. Was his or her background adequate for understanding of the material presented?

Yes

2. Was the information given by us or the participant adequate to the preparation of a pertinent program?

Yes

3. In cases where a group rather than a single participant observed, do you think the value to participants was increased.

Yes

4. If the participant joined with other persons (not Vietnamese participants) in a training program or course, can you indicate what their relative standing was.

No such evaluation was made

5. Any other comments you may wish to make.

Det with magazine
23

Det with C8C